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#### Abstract

A vertex partition of a graph into disjoint subsets $V_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ is said to be a $P_{4}$-free coloring if each color class $V_{i}$ induces a subgraph without a chordless path on four vertices (denoted by $P_{4}$ ). Examples of $P_{4}$-free 2-colorable graphs (also called $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs) include parity graphs and graphs with "few" $P_{4}$ s like $P_{4}$-reducible and $P_{4}$-sparse graphs. We prove that, given $k \geq 2, P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is NP-complete even for comparability graphs, and for $P_{5}$-free graphs. We then discuss the recognition, perfection and the Strong Perfect Graph Conjecture (SPGC) for $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs with special $P_{4}$-structure. In particular, we show that the SPGC is true for $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs with one $P_{3}$-free color class meeting every $P_{4}$ at a midpoint.
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## 1 Introduction

A graph $G$ is perfect if, for each induced subgraph $H$ of $G$, the chromatic number of $H$ is equal to the clique number of $H$. Claude Berge introdued perfect graphs and conjectured around 1960's that a graph is perfect if and only if it has no induced cycle of odd length at least five or the complement of such a cycle. Nowadays this conjecture is known as the Strong Perfect Graph Conjecture (SPGC) and is still open. We refer to [4] for more information on perfect graphs.

A measure of a graph's imperfection has been considered by Brown and Corneil [8] as follows. Given a graph $G$ and a positive integer $k$, a map $\pi: V(G) \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, k\}$ is a perfect $k$-coloring of $G$ if the subgraphs induced by each color class $\pi^{-1}(i)$ is perfect. Thus, a graph is perfect if and only if it is perfect $1-$ colorable. Note also that, by the Perfect Graph Theorem [33], a graph $G$ is perfect $k$-colorable if and only if its complement $\bar{G}$ is perfect $k$-colorable. In this paper we consider a particular example of perfect colorings. Our discussion is motivated by the fact that the perfection of a graph depends only on the structure of its induced paths on four vertices (denoted by $P_{4}$ ); see [36]. In this sense, graphs with empty $P_{4}$-structure ( $P_{4}$-free graphs) form a somewhat based graph class in discussing graph's perfection; they are indeed perfect by a result due to Seinsche [38] (see also Jung [31]).

[^0]Now, we call a perfect $k$-coloring of a graph $P_{4}$-free $k$-coloring if the subgraphs of that graph induced by the color classes are $P_{4}$-free. Note that the $P_{4}$ is self-complementary, hence $G$ is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable if and only if $\bar{G}$ is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable. For general graphs, Brown [6] proved that $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is NP-complete for $k \geq 3$, and in [ [ ] ], Achlioptas proved a more general result implying the NP-completeness of $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability for $k \geq 2$. In the next section we shall prove that, for any integer $k \geq 2$, $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is NP-complete even for (particular) perfect graphs, and for $P_{5}$-free graphs. In Section 3 we shall give some examples of $P_{4}$-free 2 -colorable graphs, which we also call $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs. Many well understood classes of perfect graphs consists of $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs only. In Sections 4 and 5, perfect $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs and the SPGC for $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs with special $P_{4}$-structure will be discussed.

The complement of a graph $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$. Graphs having no induced subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph $H$ are called $H$-free. If $X$ is a set of vertices in $G, G[X]$ is the subgraph of $G$ induced by $X$, and $N_{G}(X)$ is the neighborhood of $X$ in $G$; that is, the set of all vertices outside $X$ adjacent to some vertex in $X$. If the context is clear, we simply write $N(X)$. The path on $m$ vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}$ with edges $v_{i} v_{i+1}(1 \leq i<m)$ is denoted by $P_{m}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{m}$. The vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{m}$ are the endpoints of that path, the other vertices are the midpoints. The cycle on $m$ vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}$ with edges $v_{i} v_{i+1}(1 \leq i<m)$ and $v_{1} v_{m}$ is denoted by $C_{m}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{m} . C_{2 k+1}$ and $\overline{C_{2 k+1}}, k \geq 2$, are also called odd holes, respectively, odd antiholes. Graphs without odd holes and odd antiholes are called Berge graphs.

## 2 NP-completeness results

We now consider the following problem for fixed positive integer $k$.
$P_{4}$-FREE $k$-COLORABILITY Is a given graph $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable?
We show in this section that, for fixed $k \geq 2, P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is NP-complete for perfect graphs. Notice that $P_{4}$-free 1-colorability (that is, recognizing $P_{4}$-free graphs) is solvable in linear time [14]. We shall reduce the following NP-complete problem ([37], see also [16]) to $P_{4}$-Free $k$-ColorabiLITY.
Not-All-EQUAL 3Sat Given a collection Cof clauses over set $V$ of Boolean variables such that each clause has exactly three literals. Is there a truth assigment for $V$ such that each clause in $C$ has at least one true literal and at least one false literal?
A comparability graph $G$ is one which admits a transitive orientation $\vec{G}$ : If $(x, y)$ and $(y, z)$ are $\operatorname{arcs}$ of $\vec{G}$, then $(x, z)$ is also an arc of $\vec{G}$. It is well known that comparability graphs are perfect. A typical example of comparability graphs are $P_{4}$-free graphs, as proved by Jung [31].
Lemma 1 Given a comparability graph $G$, it is NP-complete to decide whether $G$ is $P_{4}$-bipartite.
Proof. The problem is clearly in NP. We shall reduce Not-All-EQUAL 3Sat to our problem. Let $\mathcal{C}=\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\}$ be any set of clauses $C_{i}=\left(c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}, c_{i 3}\right)$ given as input for Not-ALL-EQUAL 3SAT, where the literals $c_{i k}(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq 3)$ are taken from the set of variables $V$. We shall construct a comparability graph $G$ which has a partition into two $P_{4}$-free graphs if and only if $C$ is satisfiable. For convenience, we call a vertex partition of a graph into two $P_{4}$-free graphs a good partition of that graph. For each variable $v \in V$ let $G(v, \bar{v})$ be the graph shown in Figure 11(left).
Observation $1 G(v, \bar{v})$ has a good partition. Every good partition of $G(v, \bar{v})$ must contain the labelled vertex $v$ in one part and the labelled vertex $\bar{v}$ in the other part. $\diamond$

For each clause $C_{i}$, let $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ be the graph shown in Figure [1] (right).
Observation $2 G\left(C_{i}\right)$ has a good partition. Every good partition of $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ must contain two of the labelled vertices $c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}, c_{i 3}$ in one part and the other labelled vertex in the other part. Moreover, every partition of $\left\{c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}, c_{i 3}\right\}$ into two non-empty subsets can be extended to a good partition of $G\left(C_{i}\right)$.


Fig. 1: The graphs $G(v, \bar{v})$ (left) and $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ (right)

The proofs of the observations will follow by inspection, hence are omitted. We now create the graph $G=G(C)$ from the graphs $G(v, \bar{v})(v \in V)$ and the graphs $G\left(C_{i}\right)(1 \leq i \leq m)$ as follows: For each $v \in V$ and each $1 \leq i \leq m$, connect the vertex $x \in\{v, \bar{v}\}$ in $G(v, \bar{v})$ with the vertex $c_{i k}$ in $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ by an edge if, and only if, $x$ is the literal $c_{i k}$ in the clause $C_{i}$. Thus, in $G$, every $c_{i k}(1 \leq k \leq 3)$ has exactly one neighbor outside $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ which is one of the labelled vertices $v, \bar{v}$ in a graph $G(v, \bar{v})$ (with $c_{i k} \in\{v, \bar{v}\}$ in the given Not-All-EQUAL 3SAT instance).

Suppose that $G$ has a good partition into two $P_{4}$-free graphs $A$ and $B$. Then it is easy to see that, for all $v \in V$, if $x \in\{v, \bar{v}\}$ is adjacent to $c_{i k}$, then $x$ and $c_{i k}$ are in different parts $A, B$. We define a truth assigment for Not-All-EQUAL 3SAT as follows:
$v$ is true if and only if the labelled vertex $v$ in $G(v, \bar{v})$ belongs to $A$.
By Observation [1, this assignment is well-defined. By Observation 2, it is clear that each clause $C_{i}$ has at least one but not all true literals.

Conversely, suppose that there is a truth assigment satisfying Not-All-EQUAL 3Sat. Then let $A(v, \bar{v})$, $B(v, \bar{v})$ be a good partition of $G(v, \bar{v})$ such that $A(v, \bar{v})$ contains the true vertex in $\{v, \bar{v}\}$ and $B(v, \bar{v})$ contains the false vertex of them. Such a good partition exists by Observation I. Let $A_{i}, B_{i}$ be a good partition of $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ such that $A_{i}$ contains the false literals vertices in $\left\{c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}, c_{i 3}\right\}$ and $B_{i}$ contains the true vertices of them. Such a good partition exists by Observation $\mathbb{Z}$, and the fact that every $C_{i}$ has at least one but not all true literals. Set

$$
A=\bigcup_{v \in V} A(v, \bar{v}) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} A_{i}, \quad B=\bigcup_{v \in V} B(v, \bar{v}) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} B_{i} .
$$

Clearly, $V(G)=A \cup B$. Now, each $A(v, \bar{v})$ and each $A_{i}$ is a $P_{4}$-free graph, and no edge exists between two parts of the $A(v, \bar{v})$ 's and $A_{i}$ 's, hence $A$ is a $P_{4}$-free subgraph of $G$. similarly, $B$ is $P_{4}$-free. Thus, $G$ is $P_{4}$-bipartite.

To complete the proof, note that each $G(v, \bar{v})$ and each $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ admits a transitive orientation such that the labelled vertices $v, \bar{v}$ are sinks and the labelled vertices $c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}, c_{i 3}$ are sources. To obtain a transitive orientation of $G$, direct the edges $x y, x \in\{v, \bar{v}\}$ and $y \in\left\{c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}, c_{i 3}\right\}$ with $x=y$ in the given instance of Not-All-EQUAL 3SAT, from $y$ to $x$.

Theorem 1 Given a comparability graph $G$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, it is NP-complete to decide whether $G$ is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable.

Proof. The case $k=2$ is settled by Lemma 1]. We shall make use of a construction for vertex-critical $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable graphs in [7] to reduce the case $k=2$ to the case $k \geq 3$. Let $H$ be a comparability graph, and let $G$ be the graph obtained from an induced $P_{4}$ by substituting three (arbitrary) vertices by the graph $H$. Then $G$ is clearly a comparability graph, and it can easily be seen that $G$ is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable if and only if $H$ is $P_{4}$-free $(k-1)$-colorable.

We shall remark that Brown [6] and Achlioptas [i]] showed the NP-completeness of $P_{4}$-Free $k$-COLORABILITY for fixed $k \geq 3$ by reducing $k$-COLORABILITY to $P_{4}$-FREE $k$-COLORABILITY. Since $k$-COLORABILITY can be decided in polynomial time when considering perfect graphs (see [17]), Brown's and Achlioptas's reduction cannot be used in proving NP-completeness of $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability for perfect graphs.

Since a graph is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable if and only if its complement is, $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is NP-complete for cocomparability graphs as well. Graphs which are both comparability graphs and cocomparability graphs are called permutation graphs. We do not know the complexity of $P_{4}$-Free CoLORABILITY on permutation graphs.

Problem 1 Find a polynomial time algorithm for solving $P_{4}$-FREE $k$-COLORABILITY on permutation graphs, or prove that the problem is NP-complete for the class of permutation graphs.
Notice that, using the construction mentioned in the proof of Theorem [1, one can show that for every fixed $k \geq 1$ there are $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable permutation graphs which are not $P_{4}$-free $(k-1)$-colorable.

We now are going to show that $P_{4}$-Free $k$-COLORABILITY is NP-complete for $\left(C_{4}, C_{5}\right)$-free graphs. As a consequence, $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is also NP-complete for $P_{5}$-free graphs. This is best possible in the sense that the problem is trivial for $P_{4}$-free graphs.

Lemma 2 Given a $\left(C_{4}, C_{5}\right)$-free graph $G$, it is $N P$-complete to decide whether $G$ is $P_{4}$-bipartite.
Proof. We shall reduce Not-All-EQUAL 4Sat to our problem (the NP-completeness of Not-AllEqual 4Sat follows easily from that of Not-All-EQUAL 3SAt). Let $\mathcal{C}=\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\}$ be any set of clauses $C_{i}=\left(c_{i 1}, c_{i 2}, c_{i 3}, c_{i 4}\right)$ given as input for Not-AlL-EQUAL 4 SAT, where the literals $c_{i k}$ $(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq 4)$ are taken from the set of variables $V$. We may assume that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every } v \in V \text {, no clause } C_{i} \text { contains both } v \text { and } \bar{v} \text {. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now construct a $\left(C_{4}, C_{5}\right)$-free graph $G$ which has a partition into two $P_{4}$-free graphs if and only if $C$ is satisfiable. For each variable $v \in V$ let $G(v, \bar{v})$ be the graph shown in Figure 2 (left). For each clause $C_{i}$, let $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ be the $P_{4}$ shown in Figure $\downarrow$ (right). We create the graph $G=G(C)$ from the graphs $G(v, \bar{v})$ $(v \in V)$ and the graphs $G\left(C_{i}\right)(1 \leq i \leq m)$ as follows: For each $v \in V$ and each $1 \leq i \leq m$, connect the vertex $x \in\{v, \bar{v}\}$ in $G(v, \bar{v})$ with the vertex $c_{i k}$ in $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ by an edge if, and only if, $x$ is the literal $c_{i k}$ in the clause $C_{i}$. Clearly, the construction and assumption (II) guarantee that $G$ cannot contain an induced $C_{4}$ or $C_{5}$.

Now, we can show, similar to Lemma 1 , that $G$ is $P_{4}$-bipartite if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is satisfiable.
Theorem 2 Given a $\left(C_{4}, C_{5}\right)$-free graph $G$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, it is $N P$-complete to decide whether $G$ is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable.


Fig. 2: The graphs $G(v, \bar{v})$ (left) and $G\left(C_{i}\right)$ (right)

Proof. The case $k=2$ is settled by Lemma 2. Let $k \geq 3$. Let $H$ be a ( $C_{4}, C_{5}$ )-free graph. Construct a graph $G$ as follows: Take $k+2$ disjoint copies $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k+2}$ of $H$ and $k+2$ new vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+2}$, and connect every pair $v_{i}, v_{j}(1 \leq i \neq j \leq k+2)$ by an edge and connect every vertex in $G_{i}$ with $v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k+2)$ by an edge. Clearly, $G$ is also $\left(C_{4}, C_{5}\right)$-free.

Suppose that $H$ is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable. Then $G$ is $P_{4}$-free $(k+1)$-colorable by coloring the vertices $v_{i}$ 's with one new color.

Suppose, conversely, that $G$ is $P_{4}$-free $(k+1)$-colorable. Then $H$ is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable. If not, consider two distinct vertices $v_{i}, v_{j} \in\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+2}\right\}$ with the same color $c$ in a $P_{4}$-free $(k+1)$-coloring of $G$. Since $H$ is not $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable, the color $c$ must appear in every copy of $H$. Say, for some $i \neq j, x \in G_{i}$ and $y \in G_{j}$ are colored by $c$. But then $x v_{i} v_{j} y$ is a monochromatic $P_{4}$ in $G$, a contradiction. Thus, $H$ must be $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable, as claimed.

Since $C_{4}$-free graphs are $\overline{P_{5}}$-free, Theorem 2 implies that $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is NP-complete for $\overline{P_{5}}$-free graphs, and, by considering complementation, for $P_{5}$-free graphs as well. This is best possible in the sense that $P_{4}$-FREE $k$-COLORABILITY is trivial for $P_{4}$-free graphs.

Also, Theorem 2 implies that $P_{4}$-Free $k$-Colorability is NP-complete for $\left(C_{5}, \overline{C_{4}}\right)$-free graphs as well. Notice that graphs which are both $\left(C_{5}, C_{4}\right)$-free and $\left(C_{5}, \overline{C_{4}}\right)$-free, i.e., split graphs, are $P_{4}$-free 2-colorable.

## 3 Examples of $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs

$P_{4}$-bipartite graphs generalize in a very natural way the well understood bipartite graphs, split graphs and cographs. Below we are going to list other well structured (perfect) graph classes that contain $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs only. See [5] for a survey on these and related graph classes.

PROPER INTERVAL GRAPHS. Interval graphs without induced $K_{1,3}$ are called proper interval graphs. In [2], it was shown that every proper interval graph can be partitioned into two $P_{3}$-free subgraphs. In particular, proper interval graphs are $P_{4}$-bipartite. Notice that, for every $k$, there exists an interval graph that is $P_{4}$-free $k$-colorable, but not $P_{4}$-free $(k-1)$-colorable.
DISTANCE-HEREDITARY AND PARITY GRAPHS. Distance-hereditary graphs are those graphs in which for all vertices $u, v$, all induced paths connecting $u$ and $v$ have equal length [24]. In [9], Burlet and Uhry introduced the bigger class of parity graphs; these graphs are defined by the condition that all induced paths connecting $u$ and $v$ have equal parity. Let $G$ be a parity graph, and let $v$ be a vertex in $G$. In [ 9, Lemma 4] (see also [35]) it was shown that, for each $i$, the set $N^{i}(v)$ of vertices at distance exactly $i$ from $v$ induces a $P_{4}$-free subgraph in $G$. Thus, $\bigcup N^{2 i}(v)$ and $\bigcup N^{2 i+1}(v)$ is a $P_{4}$-free bipartition of $G$. We thank Stephan Olariu and Luitpold Babel for their hint to this fact on parity graphs.

In order to give other well known classes that consist of $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs only we need the term of $p$-connectedness introduced by Jamison and Olariu [30]. A graph is called p-connected if, for every partition of its vertex set into two nonempty, disjoint subsets, there is an induced $P_{4}$ with vertices in both parts. A p-component of a graph is a maximal p-connected subgraph of that graph. Clearly, a graph is a $P_{4}$-bipartite graph if and only if each of its $p$-components is a $P_{4}$-bipartite graph.
$P_{4}$-REDUCIBLE AND $P_{4}$-SPARSE GRAPHS. $\quad P_{4}$-reducible graphs are those graphs in which each vertex belongs to at most one induced $P_{4}$ [26]. In [20], Hoàng introduced the bigger class of $P_{4}$-sparse graphs; these are defined by the condition that each set of at most five vertices induces at most one $P_{4}$. It was shown in [29] that every $p$-component of a $P_{4}$-sparse graph is a split graph. Since split graphs are $P_{4}$ bipartite, all $P_{4}$-sparse graphs are $P_{4}$-bipartite.
$P_{4}$-EXtendible and $P_{4}$-LIte GRaphs. $\quad P_{4}$-extendible graphs [28] are those graphs in which each $p$ component has at most five vertices. $P_{4}$-lite graphs [27] are those graphs in which every induced subgraph with at most six vertices either has at most two $P_{4}$ s or is a (special) split graph. It was shown in [3] that every $p$-component of a $P_{4}$-lite graph is a split graph or has at most six vertices. Notice that all graphs with at most six vertices are $P_{4}$-bipartite, hence $P_{4}$-lite and $P_{4}$-extendible graphs are $P_{4}$-bipartite.

Cograph contractions. In [25] Hujter and Tuza introduced the graphs called cograph contractions. These are graphs obtained from a cograph by contracting some pairwise disjoint stable sets and then making the 'contracted vertices' pairwise adjacent. It was shown in [32] that a graph is a cograph contraction if and only if it admits a clique meeting each $P_{4}$ in a midpint and each $\overline{P_{5}}$ in both endpoints of the $P_{5}$. In particular, cograph contractions are $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs.
Notice that the complements of the graphs mentioned above are also $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs.

## 4 Which $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs are perfect?

Let $G$ be a graph whose vertices are colored red and white (each vertex receives only one color). A $P_{4}$ abcd of $G$ is said to be of type

1 (or RRRR) if $a, b, c, d$ are red,
2 (or WRRR) if $a$ is white and $b, c, d$ are red,
3 (or RWRR) if $a, c, d$ are red and $b$ is white,
4 (or RRWW) if $a, b$ are red and $c, d$ are white,
5 (or RWRW) if $a, c$ are red and $b, d$ are white,
6 (or RWWR) if $a, d$ are red and $b, c$ are white,
7 (or WRRW) if $a, d$ are white and $b, c$ are red,
8 (or RWWW) if $a$ is red and $b, c, d$ are white,
9 (or WRWW) if $a, c, d$ are white and $b$ is red,
10 (or WWWW) if $a, b, c, d$ are white.

Clearly, $G$ is $P_{4}$-bipartite if and only if its vertices can be colored red and white in such a way that no $P_{4}$ is of type 1 or 10 . We also write $G=(R, W, E)$ for $P_{4}$-bipartite graph $G=(V, E)$ with partition $V=R \cup W$ such that $G[R]$ and $G[W]$ are $P_{4}$-free subgraphs in $G$.

For non-empty subset $S \subseteq\{2,3, \ldots, 9\}$, we call a graph $G$ a $S$-graph if the vertices of $G$ can be colored red and white such that every $P_{4}$ of $G$ is of type $t \in S$. Thus $S$-graphs are $P_{4}$-bipartite. Bipartite graphs (respectively, complements of bipartite graphs) are, for instance, $\{5\}$-graphs (respectively, $\{4\}$-graphs).

Many classes of perfect $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs have been described in terms of types of $P_{4} \mathrm{~s}$. In [21], Hoàng proved that "odd $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs" are perfect; here the $P_{4}$-bipartite graph $G=(R, W, E)$ is odd if every $P_{4}$ of $G$ has odd number of vertices in $R$ (hence in $W$ ). Thus, odd $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs are exactly the $\{2,3,8,9\}$-graphs. Chvátal, Lenhart and Sbihi [13], Theorem 2], and independently Gurvich [19] extended odd $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs to a larger class of perfect $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs; they proved that all $\{2,3,4,5,8,9\}$-graphs are perfect. These results and more related results in [IL2, 113] motivate the following question:

What are the maximal subsets $S \subset\{2,3, \ldots, 9\}$ with the property that all $S$-graphs are perfect?

We shall point out that the complete answer to this question already follows by the results in [IL2, [13].
Theorem 3 Let $S$ be a maximal subset of $\{2,3, \ldots, 9\}$ such that all $S$-graphs are perfect. Then $S$ is exactly one of the follwing sets: $S_{1}=\{4,5,6,7\}, S_{2}=\{2,3,4,5,8,9\}, S_{3}=\{3,4,5,6,8\}$, and $S_{4}=\{2,4,5,7,9\}$.
Proof. First, color the odd hole $C_{9}$ in the way RRWRRWRRW. Then every $P_{4}$ of this $C_{9}$ is of type 3 or 7, and every $P_{4}$ of the complement of this $C_{9}$ is of type 2 or 6 . Second, color the odd hole $C_{9}$ in the way WWRWWRWWR. Then every $P_{4}$ of this $C_{9}$ is of type 6 or 9 , and every $P_{4}$ of the complement of this $C_{9}$ is of type 7 or 8 . Therefore, as odd holes and odd antiholes are imperfect,

$$
\text { none of }\{3,7\},\{2,6\},\{6,9\} \text { and }\{7,8\} \text { is a subset of } S \text {. }
$$

Now, it is straightforward to show that $S$ must be contained in one of the sets $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$, or $S_{4}$.
Finally, all $S_{1}$-graphs are perfect [12], all $S_{2}$-graphs are perfect [13], Theorem 2] (see also [19]), all $S_{3}$-graphs and all $S_{4}$-graphs are perfect [13], Theorem 6].

We now turn to the recognition problem for $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs addressed in Theorem 3]. Given a graph $G$, we consider the system of linear equations

$$
w+x+y+z=2 \quad\left(w, x, y, z \text { induce a } P_{4} \text { in } G\right) .
$$

It is easy to see the $G$ is a $S_{1}$-graph if and only if this system of linear equations has a $0 / 1$-solution. Thus, $S_{1}$-graphs can be recognized in polynomial time. Also, $S_{3}$-graphs can be recognized in polynomial time; the task reduces to the 2 Sat problem as follows.

For each $P_{4} w x y z$ in $G$, let $(x \vee y) \wedge(\bar{w} \vee \bar{z})$ be a Boolean formula.
The 2SAT formula for $G$ is the product of such all formulas corresponding to the $P_{4} \mathrm{~s}$ in $G$. Now, if $G$ is a $S_{3}$-graph with a $P_{4}$-free coloring $V(G)=R \cup W$, then the truth assigment $v:=$ true $\Leftrightarrow v \in W$ satisfies our 2Sat formula. If, conversely, our 2Sat formula is satisfied, then $W:=\{v: v$ is true $\}, R:=\{v: v$ is false $\}$ is a $P_{4}$-free 2-coloring of $G$ such that every $P_{4}$ of $G$ is of type $t \in S_{3}$. Since a graph is a $S_{4}$-graph if and only if its complement is a $S_{3}$-graph, $S_{4}$-graphs can be recognized in polynomial time, too.

The recognition problem of $S_{2}$-graphs remains open; see also [10].

Problem 2 Given a graph $G$. Can you find in polynomial time a $P_{4}$-free 2 -coloring of $G$ such that every $P_{4}$ of $G$ is of type $t \in S_{2}$, or prove that such a coloring does not exist?
We remark that it can be shown that Problem 2 is NP-complete if $S_{2}$ is replaced by $S_{2} \cup\{6\}$, or replaced by $S_{2} \cup\{7\}$.

## $5 \quad P_{4}$-bipartite graphs and the SPGC

The results in [21, [13, [19] mentioned in previous section will be implied by the truth of the following
Conjecture 1 The SPGC is true for $P_{4}$-bipatite graphs.
Conjecture $\mathbb{T}$ has been proved for some particular cases. The following theorem is a consequence of previously known results (see also [23]). It proves Conjecture $\square$ for $P_{4}$-free graphs with one color class being a stable set or a clique.
Theorem 4 Let $G$ have a stable set (or a clique) $T$ such that $T$ meets every $P_{4}$ of $G$. If $G$ has no odd hole (respectively, no odd antihole), then $G$ is perfect.
Also, in [23], Conjecture 11 is proved for $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs with one color class inducing a $\left(P_{4}, C_{4}, \overline{C_{4}}\right)$ free graph and meeting every $P_{4}$ in certain way as follows:
Theorem 5 Let $G$ have a subset $T \subseteq V(G)$ such that
(i) $T$ induces a threshold graph,
(ii) $T$ meets every $P_{4}$ in an endpoint, or meets every $P_{4}$ in a midpoint.

If $G$ is Berge, then $G$ is perfect.
Therorem 4 suggests the following weaker conjecture for $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs with one color class consisting of vertex-disjoint cliques.
Conjecture 2 The SPGC is true for $P_{4}$-bipatite graphs with one $P_{3}$-free color class.
The main result of this section is the following theorem which is related to Therorem 5 and proves Conjecture $\rrbracket$ for the case when the $P_{3}$-free color class meets the $P_{4}$ s in a certain way.
Theorem 6 Let $G$ have a subset $T \subseteq V(G)$ such that
(i) $T$ induces a $P_{3}$-free graph,
(ii) $T$ meets every $P_{4}$ in an endpoint, or meets every $P_{4}$ in a midpoint.

If $G$ is Berge, then $G$ is perfect.
The proof of Theorem 6 relies on several known results on $P_{4}$-free graphs and minimal imperfect graphs. First, Seinsche [38] proved that
a $P_{4}$-free graph or its complement is disconnected.
Two vertices $x, y$ are twins if, for all other vertices $z, z$ is adjacent to $x$ if and only if $z$ is adjacent to $y$. The next property of $P_{4}$-free graphs is well known and can be derived from (2).

Every $P_{4}$-free graph with at least two vertices has a pair of twins.

A graph is minimal imperfect if it is not perfect but each of its proper induced subgraphs is. The well known Perfect Graph Theorem due to Lovász implies that
the complement of a minimal imperfect graph is also minimal imperfect.
Two (nonadjacent) vertices $x$ and $y$ form an even-pair if every induced path connecting $x$ to $y$ has even length. Meyniel [34] showed that

> no minimal imperfect graph has an even-pair.

In particular, no minimal imperfect graph has a two-pair which is a pair of vertices $x, y$ such that every induced path connecting $x$ to $y$ has exactly two edges.
A cutset $S$ of $G$ is called a star-cutset, respectively, a stable-cutset, respectively, a complete multipartitecutset if $G[S]$ has a universal vertex, respectively, has no edge, respectively, is a complete multipartite graph (a complete multipartite graph is one whose vertex set can be partitioned into stable sets $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$ such that, for $i \neq j$, every vertex in $S_{i}$ is adjacent to every vertex in $S_{j}$ ). Chvátal [IT] showed that
no minimal imperfect graph has a star-cutset.
In particular,
no minimal imperfect graph has a clique-cutset,
and
in a minimal imperfect graph, no vertex dominates another vertex.
Here, the vertex $x$ dominates the vertex $y$ if $N(y) \subseteq N(x) \cup x$. The next property of minimal imperfect graphs was found by Tucker [39] saying that
no minimal imperfect graph has a stable-cutset, unless it is is an odd hole.
Finally, Cornuéjols and Reed [15] showed that
no minimal imperfect graph has a complete multipartite-cutset.
Proof of Theorem 6. Suppose that $T$ meets every $P_{4}$ in an endpoint. Color the verices in $T$ with color red and vertices outside $T$ with color white. Then $G$ has only $P_{4}$ s of types $3,4,5,6$, or 8 . In particular, $G$ is an $S_{3}$-graph, hence perfect (see Theorem (3).

Let us consider the case when $T$ meets every $P_{4}$ in a midpoint, and assume that $G$ is a minimal imperfect Berge graph. Further, we may assume that
$G-T$ is disconnected.
Otherwise, by (2), $\bar{G}-T$ is disconnected and so $T$ would be a stable-cutset or a complete multipartitecutset of $\bar{G}$, contradicting (4) and (9) or (10). In particular, by (7),
$T$ consists of $m \geq 2$ vertex-disjoint cliques.

For convenience, we call a $P_{4}$ bad if its both midpoints are outside $T$. By our hypothesis, no $P_{4}$ in $G$ is bad.

CASE 1. $\quad G-T$ has two adjacent twins $x, y$.
In this case, we claim that

$$
x, y \text { form an even-pair in } \bar{G} .
$$

To see this, consider an induced path $P=x x_{1} \cdots x_{k} y, k \geq 2$, in $\bar{G}$ connecting $x$ and $y$. As $x, y$ are twins in $\bar{G}-T, x_{1}$ must belong to $T$. Furthermore,
$P$ has no edge in $\bar{G}[T]$.
For if $P$ has an edge in $\bar{G}[T]$, then, since $\bar{G}[T]$ is a complete multipartite graph and $x_{1} \in T$, this edge must be $x_{1} x_{2}$, and $P$ is the $P_{4} x x_{1} x_{2} y$. But then $x_{1} y x x_{2}$ is a bad $P_{4}$ in $G$, a contradiction.
$P$ has no edge in $\bar{G}-T$.
Otherwise, let $i$ be minimal such that $x_{i} x_{i+1}$ is an edge in $\bar{G}-T$. Note that $i>1$. Set $x_{0}:=x$. Then $x_{i-1} \in T$ and $x_{i-2} \in \bar{G}-T$. But then $x_{i-1} x_{i+1} x_{i-2} x_{i}$ is a bad $P_{4}$ in $G$, a contradiction.

Thus, $P$ has even number of edges, as claimed. This contradicts (4) and (5), and Case 1 is settled.
CASE 2. $G-T$ has no adjacent twins.
Write $G[T]=C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup \cdots \cup C_{m}$ with vertex-disjoint cliques $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}$. Recall that $m \geq 2$.
Observation 3 For all cliques $C=C_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, and all component $H$ of $G-T$, if $N(C) \cap H \neq \emptyset$, then $H \subseteq N(C)$.
Proof of Observation 3 Assume the contrary, and let $H$ be a component of $G-T$ and let $C$ be a clique of $T$ such that $N(C) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ and $H-N(C) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in N(C) \cap H$ having a neighbor $y$ in $H-N(C)$, and let $v \in C$ be a neighbor of $x$.

By (8), there exists a vertex $z$ adjacent to $y$ but not to $x . z \in N(C) \cap H$, otherwise $z y x v$ would be a bad $P_{4}$. The same argument shows that $x$ and $z$ have the same neighbors in $C$. Moereover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for all } u \in T-C \text {, if } u \text { is adjacent to } y \text {, then } u \text { is adjacent to both } x \text { and } z \text {. } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Else uyxv or uyzv would be a bad $P_{4}$ ), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for all } u \in T, u \text { is adjacent to } x \text { if and only if } u \text { is adjacent to } z \text {. } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is clear for $u \in C$. Suppose $u \in T-C$ is adjacent to $x$ but not to $z$, then (II) implies that $u$ is nonadjacent to $y$ and so $u x y z$ is a bad $P_{4}$, a contradiction. The case when $u$ is adjacent to $z$ but not $x$ can be settled in a similar manner. Thus, (12) holds.

We now show that $x, z$ form a two-pair. Let $P=x x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{k} z$ be a chordless path connecting $x$ and $z$, and assume that $k \geq 2$. By (I2), $x_{1} \in H$, hence $x_{1}$ is adjacent to $y$ (because $H$ is $P_{4}$-free). $x_{2}$ also belongs to $H$, otherwise, by (II), $x_{2}$ and $y$ are nonadjacent and, by (I2), $x_{2}$ and $z$ are nonadjacent. But then $x_{2} x_{1} y z$ is a bad $P_{4}$.
Thus, $x_{1}, x_{2} \in H$. But then $x_{3} x_{2} x_{1} x$ (or $z x_{2} x_{1} x$ if $k=2$ ) is a bad $P_{4}$. This contradiction proves Observation [3]. $\diamond$

By (Y) and $m \geq 2, G-T$ has a nontrivial component $H$. By (3), $H$ has twins $x, y$ which are nonadjacent by the hypothesis in this case. Write

$$
N=N_{H}(x)=N_{H}(y), R=H-N-\{x, y\} .
$$

Since $H$ is connected, $N$ is nonempty.
Observation 4 For all vertices $v \in T$, if $v$ is adjacent to $x$ or $y$ but not both, then $v$ is adjacent to all vertices in $N$.
Proof of Observation 4 Otherwise, there would be a bad $P_{4} . \diamond$
By (8), there exists a vertex $x^{\prime}$ adjacent to $x$ but nonadjacent to $y$, and a vertex $y^{\prime}$ adjacent to $y$ but nonadjacent to $x$. As $x, y$ are twins in $G-T, x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ belong to $T$.
Observation 5 Such vertices $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ can be chosen in different cliques $C_{i}, C_{j}$.
Proof of Observation 5 Assume that there are vertices $a, b$ in a clique $C$ of $T$ such that $a$ is adjacent to $x$ but not to $y$, and $b$ is adjacent to $y$ but not to $x$. As $C$ is not a clique-cutset of $G$ (see (7)), some vertex of $H$ has a neighbor in another clique $C^{\prime} \neq C$ of $T$. By Observation 3, $x$ has a neighbor $c \in C^{\prime} . c$ cannot be adjacent to $y$, otherwise $c x a b y c$ would be a $C_{5}$, contradicting the minimality of $G$. Now, Observation 5 follows by setting $C_{i}=C^{\prime}, C_{j}=C, x^{\prime}=c$, and $y^{\prime}=b . \diamond$

From now on, let $x^{\prime} \in C_{i}, y^{\prime} \in C_{j}$ with $i \neq j$. By Observation $\ddagger, x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ are adjacent to all vertices in $N$.
Observation 6 For all $C \in\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\}, C \neq C_{i}$ or $C \neq C_{j}$, and for all $z \in N, N_{C}(x) \subseteq N_{C}(z)$ and $N_{C}(y) \subseteq N_{C}(z)$.
Proof of Observation 6 If there is a vertex $v \in N_{C}(x)-N_{C}(z)$, then, by Observation $\sqrt{6}, v$ must be adjacent to $y$. But then $v y z x^{\prime}$ (if $C \neq C_{i}$ ) or $v x z y^{\prime}$ (if $C \neq C_{j}$ ) is a bad $P_{4}$. Thus, $N_{C}(x) \subseteq N_{C}(z)$. By symmetry, $N_{C}(y) \subseteq N_{C}(z) . \diamond$
Observation $7 N$ cannot have a vertex $z^{*}$ that is adjacent to all vertices in $N-z^{*}$.
Proof of Observation $\square$ Such a vertex $z^{*}$ would dominate $x$ (contradicting (8)): If $v$ is a neighbor of $x$ in $T$, and $v \in C$ for a clique $C \in\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\}$, then, as $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ are different cliques, $C \neq C_{i}$ or $C \neq C_{j}$, hence, by Observation 6, $v$ must be adjacent to $z^{*}$. $\diamond$

By Observation $\nabla$, there exist two nonadjacent vertices $z_{1}, z_{2}$ in $N$. We are going to show that $z_{1}, z_{2}$ form a two-pair. This contradiction to (5) settles Case 2.

Consider an induced path $P=z_{1} t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{k} z_{2}$ in $G$, and assume that $k \geq 2$. Then $t_{1}$ must belong to $N$.

For, if $t_{1} \in R$, then $t_{1} z_{1} x z_{2}$ would be a bad $P_{4}$; if $t_{1} \in C$, say $C \neq C_{j}$, then $t_{1} z_{1} x z_{2}$ (if $t_{1}$ is not adjacent to $x$ ) or $t_{1} x z_{2} y^{\prime}$ (if $t_{1}$ is adjacent to $x$ ) is a bad $P_{4}$, a contradiction. The case $t_{1} \in C_{j}$ is similar. Now,
$t_{2}$ must belong to $T$,
otherwise, $z_{1} t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}$ would be a bad $P_{4}$ (set $t_{k+1}:=z_{2}$ ). Thus, $t_{2} \in C$ for a clique $C$ of $T$, say $C \neq C_{j}$. Moreover,

$$
t_{2} \text { is adjacent to } x \text { and } y
$$

otherwise, $t_{2} z_{2} x z_{1}$ or $t_{2} z_{2} y z_{1}$ (if $t_{2}$ and $z_{2}$ are adjacent), or $t_{2} t_{1} x z_{2}$ or $t_{2} t_{1} y z_{2}$ (if $t_{2}$ and $z_{2}$ are nonadjacent) would be a bad $P_{4}$, a contradiction.

But then $t_{2} x z_{1} y^{\prime}$ is a bad $P_{4}$. The case $t_{2} \in C_{j}$ is similar. Thus, there is no induced path of length $>2$ connecting $x$ and $y$, and so $x, y$ form a two-pair. The proof of Theorem 6 is complete.

The class of perfect graphs described in Theorem 6 contains all $P_{4}$-free graphs, split graphs, cograph contractions, complements of cograph contractions, strongly $P_{4}$-stable graphs, complements of strongly $P_{4}$-stable graphs ([23]), bipartite graphs, and complements of bipartite graphs. In particular, this new class is not contained in BIP* ([II]), not in the class of strict-quasi parity graphs ([34]). We do not know whether there is a perfect graph described in Theorem 6 that is not quasi-parity ([34]). Also, we shall remark that these new perfect $P_{4}$-bipartite graphs do not belong to any class of the classes of $S_{i}$-graphs, $i=1, \ldots, 4$, described in Theorem 33. This can be seen as follows. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from the $\overline{C_{6}}$ by subdividing the three edges not belonging to a triangle (thus $G$ has nine vertices). Then $G$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6 with $T$ consisting of the two disjoint triangles, but $G$ is not an $S_{i}$-graph, for any $i=1, \ldots, 4$.

To conclude the paper, we remark that Fonlupt (see [22]) conjectures that no minimal imperfect Berge graph contains a cutset that induces a $P_{3}$-free graph. Clearly, Conjecture $\square$ is implied by Fonlupt's conjecture together with (21) and (10).
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