Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **6**, 2004, 215–222

New Results on Generalized Graph Coloring

Vladimir E. Alekseev[1] and Alastair Farrugia[2] and Vadim V. Lozin[3]

[1] *Department of Mathematical Logic, University of Nizhny Novgorod, Gagarina 23, 603950 RUSSIA.*
E-mail: `ave@uic.nnov.ru`
[2] *Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L6G1.*
E-mail: `afarrugia@alumni.math.uwaterloo.ca`
[3] *RUTCOR, Rutgers University, 640 Bartholomew Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8003, USA.*
E-mail: `lozin@rutcor.rutgers.edu`

received 25 Apr 2003, revised 02 Feb 2004, accepted 15 Feb 2004.

For graph classes $\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_k$, Generalized Graph Coloring is the problem of deciding whether the vertex set of a given graph G can be partitioned into subsets $V_1,\ldots,V_k$ so that V_j induces a graph in the class $\mathcal{P}_j$ $(j=1,2,\ldots,k)$. If $\mathcal{P}_1=\cdots=\mathcal{P}_k$ is the class of edgeless graphs, then this problem coincides with the standard vertex k-COLORABILITY, which is known to be NP-complete for any $k\geq 3$. Recently, this result has been generalized by showing that if all $\mathcal{P}_i$'s are additive hereditary, then the generalized graph coloring is NP-hard, with the only exception of bipartite graphs. Clearly, a similar result follows when all the $\mathcal{P}_i$'s are co-additive.

In this paper, we study the problem where we have a mixture of additive and co-additive classes, presenting several new results dealing both with NP-hard and polynomial-time solvable instances of the problem.

Keywords: Generalized Graph Coloring; Polynomial algorithm; NP-completeness

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, without loops and multiple edges. For a graph G we denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the vertex set and the edge set of G, respectively. By $N(v)$ we denote the neighborhood of a vertex $v\in V(G)$, i.e. the subset of vertices of G adjacent to v. The subgraph of G induced by a set $U\subseteq V(G)$ will be denoted $G[U]$. We say that a graph G is H-free if G does not contain H as an induced subgraph. As usual, K_n and P_n stand for the complete graph and chordless path on n vertices, respectively, and the complement of a graph G is denoted $\overline{G}$.

An isomorphism-closed class of graphs, or synonymously graph property, $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be *hereditary* [2] if $G\in\mathcal{P}$ implies $G-v\in\mathcal{P}$ for any vertex $v\in V(G)$. We call $\mathcal{P}$ *monotone* if $G\in\mathcal{P}$ implies $G-v\in\mathcal{P}$ for any vertex $v\in V(G)$ and $G-e\in\mathcal{P}$ for any edge $e\in E(G)$. This terminology has been used by other authors too, but it is not standard; in particular, some papers use ”hereditary” for the properties that we call ”monotone”. Clearly every monotone property is hereditary, but the converse statement is not true in general. A property $\mathcal{P}$ is *additive* if $G_1\in\mathcal{P}$ and $G_2\in\mathcal{P}$ with $V(G_1)\cap V(G_2)=\emptyset$ implies $G=(V(G_1)\cup V(G_2),E(G_1)\cup E(G_2))\in\mathcal{P}$. The class of graphs containing no induced subgraphs isomorphic to graphs in a set Y will be denoted $Free(Y)$. It is well known that a class of graphs $\mathcal{P}$ is hereditary if and only if $\mathcal{P} = Free(Y)$ for some set Y.

A property is said to be non-trivial if it contains at least one, but not all graphs. The *complementary property* of $\mathcal{P}$ is $\overline{\mathcal{P}} := \{\overline{G} \mid G \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}$ is hereditary if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is. So a *co-additive hereditary* property, i.e. the complement of an additive hereditary property, is itself hereditary.

Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k$ be graph properties with $k > 1$. A graph $G = (V, E)$ is $(\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k)$*-colorable* if there is a partition $(V_1, \ldots, V_k)$ of $V(G)$ such that $G[V_j] \in \mathcal{P}_j$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, k$. The problem of recognizing $(\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k)$-colorable graphs is usually referred to as Generalized Graph Coloring [8]. When $\mathcal{P}_1 = \cdots = \mathcal{P}_k$ is the class $\mathcal{O}$ of edgeless graphs, this problem coincides with the standard k-COLORABILITY, which is known to be NP-complete for $k \geq 3$. Generalized Graph Coloring remains difficult for many other cases. For example, Cai and Corneil [10] showed that $(Free(K_n), Free(K_m))$-coloring is NP-complete for any integers $m, n \geq 2$, with the exception $m = n = 2$. Important NP-completeness results were obtained by Brown [8] and Achlioptas [1] (when the $\mathcal{P}_i$'s are identical), and Kratochvíl and Schiermeyer [18] (when the $\mathcal{P}_i$'s may be different) (see [2] for more results on this topic). These lead to the following recent generalization [11]:

Theorem 1 *If $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k$ ($k > 1$) are additive hereditary properties of graphs, then the problem of recognizing $(\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k)$-colorable graphs is NP-hard, unless $k = 2$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2$ is the class of edgeless graphs.*

Clearly, a similar result follows for co-additive properties. In the present paper we focus on the case where we have a mixture of additive and co-additive properties.

The *product* of graph properties $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k$ is $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{P}_k := \{G \mid G \text{ is } (\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k)\text{-colorable}\}$. A property is *reducible* if it is the product of two other properties, otherwise it is *irreducible* [3]. It can be easily checked that the product of additive hereditary (or monotone) properties is again additive hereditary (respectively, monotone); and that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{P}_k} = \overline{\mathcal{P}_1} \circ \cdots \circ \overline{\mathcal{P}_k}$. So, without loss of generality we shall restrict our study to the case $k = 2$ and shall denote throughout the paper an additive property by $\mathcal{P}$ and co-additive by $\mathcal{Q}$. We will refer to the problem of recognizing $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$-colorable graphs as $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we show that $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION cannot be simpler than $\mathcal{P}$- or $\mathcal{Q}$-RECOGNITION. In particular, we prove that $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION is NP-hard whenever $\mathcal{P}$- or $\mathcal{Q}$-RECOGNITION is NP-hard. Then, in Section 3, we study the problem under the assumption that both $\mathcal{P}$- and $\mathcal{Q}$-RECOGNITION are polynomial-time solvable and present infinitely many classes of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$-colorable graphs with polynomial recognition time. These two results together give a complete answer to the question of complexity of $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION when $\mathcal{P}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ are additive monotone. When $\mathcal{P}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ are additive hereditary (but not both monotone), there remains an unexplored gap that we discuss in the concluding section of the paper.

2 NP-hardness

In this section we prove that if $\mathcal{P}$-RECOGNITION (or $\mathcal{Q}$-RECOGNITION) is NP-hard, then so is $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION. This is a direct consequence of the theorem below. In this theorem we use uniquely colorable graphs, which are often a crucial tool in proving coloring results.

A graph G is *uniquely* $(\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k)$*-colorable* if $(V_1, \ldots, V_k)$ is its only $(\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k)$-partition, up to some permutation of the V_i's. If, say, $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2$, then $(V_2, V_1, V_3, \ldots, V_k)$ will also be a $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k)$-coloring of G; such a permutation (of V_i's that correspond to equal properties) is a *trivial interchange*. A graph is *strongly uniquely* $(\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_k)$*-colorable* if $(V_1,\ldots,V_k)$ is the only $(\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_k)$-coloring, up to trivial interchanges.

When $\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_k$ are irreducible hereditary properties, and each $\mathcal{P}_i$ is either additive or co-additive, there is a strongly uniquely $(\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_k)$-colorable graph with each V_i non-empty. This important construction, for additive $\mathcal{P}_i$'s, is due to Mihók [20], with some embellishments by Broere and Bucko [6]. The proof that these graphs are actually uniquely colorable follows from [7], [14, Thm. 5.3] or [13]. Obviously, similar results apply to co-additive properties. The generalization to mixtures of additive and co-additive properties can be found in [12, Cor. 4.3.6, Thm. 5.3.2]. For irreducible additive monotone properties, there is a much simpler proof of the existence of uniquely colorable graphs [21].

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ be additive hereditary properties. Then there is a polynomial-time reduction from $\mathcal{P}$-*RECOGNITION *to* $(\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_1\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q}_1\circ\cdots\circ\mathcal{Q}_r$, where the $\mathcal{P}_i$'s and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_j$'s are the irreducible additive hereditary factors whose existence is guaranteed by the unique factorization theorem [20, 13]. As noted above, there is a strongly uniquely $(\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{Q}_r)$-colorable graph H with partition $(U_1,\ldots,U_n,W_1,\ \ldots,\ W_r)$, where each U_i and W_j is non-empty. Define $U:=U_1\cup\cdots\cup U_n$ and $W:=W_1\cup\cdots\cup W_r$. Arbitrarily fix a vertex $u\in U_1$, and define $N_W(u):=N(u)\cap W$. For any graph G, let the graph G_H consist of disjoint copies of G and H, together with edges $\{vw \mid v\in V(G), w\in N_W(u)\}$. We claim that $G_H\in\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$ if and only if $G\in\mathcal{P}$.



Fig. 1: Using H to construct G_H.

If $G\in\mathcal{P}$, then, by additivity, $G\cup H[U]$ is in $\mathcal{P}$, and thus G_H is in $\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$. Conversely, suppose $G_H\in\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$, i.e. it has a $(\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{Q}_r)$-partition, say $(X_1,\ldots,X_n,Y_1,\ldots,Y_r)$. Since H is strongly uniquely partitionable, we can assume that, for $1\le i\le r$, $Y_i\cap V(H)=W_i$. Now, suppose for contradiction that, for some k, there is a vertex $v\in V(G)$ such that $v\in Y_k$; without loss of generality, let $k=r$. Then $G_H[W_r\cup\{v\}]\cong H[W_r\cup\{u\}]$ is in $\mathcal{Q}_r$, so $(U_1\setminus\{u\},U_2,\ldots,U_n,W_1,\ldots,W_{r-1},W_r\cup\{u\})$ is a new

$(\mathcal{P}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{P}_n,\mathcal{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{Q}_r)$-partition of H, which is impossible. Thus, $V(G)\subseteq X_1\cup\cdots\cup X_n$, and hence $G\in\mathcal{P}$, as claimed.

Since H is a fixed graph, G_H can be constructed in time linear in $|V(G)|$, so the theorem is proved. □

3 Polynomial time results

Lemma 1 *For any $\mathcal{P}\subseteq Free(K_n)$ and $\mathcal{Q}\subseteq Free(\overline{K}_m)$, there exists a constant $\tau=\tau(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ such that for every graph $G=(V,E)\in\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$ and every subset $B\subseteq V$ with $G[B]\in\mathcal{P}$, at least one of the following statements holds:*

(a) there is a subset $A\subseteq V$ such that $G[A]\in\mathcal{P}$, $G[V-A]\in\mathcal{Q}$, and $|A-B|\leq\tau$,

(b) there is a subset $C\subseteq V$ such that $G[C]\in\mathcal{P}$, $|C|=|B|+1$, and $|B-C|\leq\tau$.

Proof. By the Ramsey Theorem [17], for each positive integers m and n, there is a constant $R(m,n)$ such that every graph with more than $R(m,n)$ vertices contains either a $\overline{K}_m$ or a K_n as an induced subgraph. For two classes $\mathcal{P}\subseteq Free(K_n)$ and $\mathcal{Q}\subseteq Free(\overline{K}_m)$, we define $\tau=\tau(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ to be equal $R(m,n)$. Let us show that with this definition the proposition follows.

Let $G=(V,E)$ be a graph in $\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$, and B a subset of V such that $G[B]\in\mathcal{P}$. Consider an arbitrary subset $A\subseteq V$ such that $G[A]\in\mathcal{P}$ and $G[V-A]\in\mathcal{Q}$. If (a) does not hold, then $|A-B|>\tau$. Furthermore, $G[B-A]\in\mathcal{P}\cap\mathcal{Q}\subseteq Free(K_n,\overline{K}_m)$, and hence $|B-A|\leq\tau$. Therefore, $|A|>|B|$. But then any subset $C\subseteq A$ such that $A\cap B\subseteq C$ and $|C|=|B|+1$ satisfies (b). □

Lemma 1 suggests the following recognition algorithm for graphs in the class $\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$.

Algorithm $\mathcal{A}$

Input: A graph $G=(V,E)$.
Output: **YES** if $G\in\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$, or **NO** otherwise.

(1) Find in G any inclusion-wise maximal subset $B\subseteq V$ inducing a K_n-free graph.

(2) If there is a subset $C\subseteq V$ satisfying condition (b) of Lemma 1,
then set $B:=C$ and repeat Step (2).

(3) If G contains a subset $A\subseteq V$ such that

$$|B-A|\leq\tau,$$
$$|A-B|\leq\tau,$$
$$G[A]\in\mathcal{P},$$
$$G[V-A]\in\mathcal{Q},$$

output **YES**, otherwise output **NO**.

Theorem 3 *If graphs on p vertices in a class $\mathcal{P}\subseteq Free(K_n)$ can be recognized in time $O(p^k)$ and graphs in a class $\mathcal{Q}\subseteq Free(\overline{K}_m)$ can be recognized in time $O(p^l)$, then Algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ recognizes graphs on p vertices in the class $\mathcal{P}\circ\mathcal{Q}$ in time $O(p^{2\tau+\max\{(k+2),\max\{k,l\}\}})$, where $\tau=\tau(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$.*

Proof. Correctness of the algorithm follows from Lemma 1. Now let us estimate its time complexity. In Step (2), the algorithm examines at most $\binom{p}{\tau}\binom{p}{\tau+1}$ subsets C and for each of them verifies whether $G[C] \in \mathcal{P}$ in time $O(p^k)$. Since Step (2) loops at most p times, its time complexity is $O(p^{2\tau+k+2})$. In Step (3), the algorithm examines at most $\binom{p}{\tau}^2$ subsets A, and for each A, it verifies whether $G[A] \in \mathcal{P}$ in time $O(p^k)$ and whether $G[V-A] \in \mathcal{Q}$ in time $O(p^l)$. Summarizing, we conclude that the total time complexity of the algorithm is $O(p^{2\tau+\max\{(k+2),\max\{k,l\}\}})$. □

Notice that Theorem 3 generalizes several positive results on the topic under consideration. For instance, the split graphs [16], which are $(Free(K_2),Free(\overline{K_2}))$-colorable by definition, can be recognized in polynomial time. More general classes have been studied under the name of polar graphs in [9, 19, 22]. By definition, a graph is $(m-1,n-1)$ polar if it is $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$-colorable with $\mathcal{P} = Free(K_n,P_3)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = Free(\overline{K}_m,\overline{P}_3)$. It is shown in [19] that for any particular values of $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, $(m-1,n-1)$ polar graphs on p vertices can be recognized in time $O(p^{2m+2n+3})$.

Further examples generalizing the split graphs were examined in [4] and [15], where the authors showed that classes of graphs partitionable into at most two independent sets and two cliques can be recognized in polynomial time. These are special cases of $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION with $\mathcal{P} \subseteq Free(K_3)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq Free(\overline{K}_3)$.

4 Concluding results and open problems

Theorems 2 and 3 together provide complete answer to the question of complexity of $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION in case of monotone properties $\mathcal{P}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{P}$ is an additive monotone non-trivial property, then $\mathcal{P} \subseteq Free(K_n)$ for a certain value of n, since otherwise it includes all graphs. Similarly, if $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is additive monotone, then $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq Free(\overline{K}_m)$ for some m. Hence, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 4 *If $\mathcal{P}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ are additive monotone properties, then $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-*RECOGNITION *has polynomial-time complexity if and only if $\mathcal{P}$- and $\mathcal{Q}$-*RECOGNITION *are both polynomial-time solvable; moreover, $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-*RECOGNITION *is in NP if and only if $\mathcal{P}$- and $\mathcal{Q}$-*RECOGNITION *are both in NP.*

If $\mathcal{P}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ are general additive hereditary properties (not necessarily monotone), then there is an unexplored gap containing properties $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ can both be recognized in polynomial time, but $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ (where $\mathcal{K} := \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is the set of cliques). In the rest of this section we show that this gap contains both NP-hard and polynomial-time solvable instances, and propose several open problems to study.

For a polynomial time result we refer the reader to [22], where the authors claim that $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION is polynomial-time solvable if $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of edgeless graphs and $\mathcal{Q} = Free(\overline{P}_3)$. Notice that $Free(\overline{P}_3)$ contains all edgeless graphs and hence Theorem 3 does not apply to this case. Interestingly enough, when we extend $\mathcal{P}$ to the class of bipartite graphs, we obtain an NP-hard instance of the problem, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 5 *If $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of bipartite graphs and $\mathcal{Q} = Free(\overline{P}_3)$, then $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-*RECOGNITION *is NP-hard.*

Proof. We reduce the standard 3-COLORABILITY to our problem. Consider an arbitrary graph G and let G' be the graph obtained from G by adding a triangle $T = (1,2,3)$ with no edges between G and T. We claim that G is 3-colorable if and only if G' is $(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$-colorable.

First, assume that G is 3-colorable and let V_1, V_2, V_3 be a partition of $V(G)$ into three independent sets. We define $V'_j = V_j \cup \{j\}$ for $j = 1,2,3$. Then $G'[V'_1 \cup V'_2]$ is a bipartite graph and $G'[V'_3] \in Free(\overline{P}_3)$, and the proposition follows.

Conversely, let $U \cup W$ be a partition of $V(G')$ with $G'[U]$ being a bipartite graph and $G'[W] \in Free(\overline{P}_3)$. Clearly, $T \nsubseteq U$. If $T - U$ contains a single vertex, then $G'[W - T]$ is an edgeless graph, since otherwise a $\overline{P}_3$ arises. If $T - U$ contains more than one vertex, then $W - T = \emptyset$ for the same reason. Clearly, in both cases G is a 3-colorable graph. □

This discussion presents the natural question of exploring the boundary that separates polynomial from non-polynomial time solvable instances in the above-mentioned gap. As one of the smallest classes in this gap with unknown recognition time complexity, let us point out $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$-COLORABLE graphs with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = Free(2K_2, P_4)$, where $2K_2$ is the disjoint union of two copies of K_2.

Another direction for prospective research deals with $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$-colorable graphs where $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{Q}$ is neither additive nor co-additive. This area seems to be almost unexplored and also contains both NP-hard and polynomial-time solvable problems. To provide some examples, let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the class of complete bipartite graphs, which is obviously neither additive nor co-additive. The class of graphs partitionable into an independent set and a complete bipartite graph has been studied in [5] under the name of bisplit graphs and has been shown there to be polynomial-time recognizable. Again, extension of $\mathcal{P}$ to the class of all bipartite graphs transforms the problem into an NP-hard instance.

Theorem 6 *If $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of bipartite graphs and $\mathcal{Q}$ is the class of complete bipartite graphs, then* $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$-RECOGNITION *is NP-hard.*

Proof. The reduction is again from 3-COLORABILITY. For a graph G, we define G' to be the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex adjacent to every vertex of G. It is a trivial exercise to verify that G is 3-colorable if and only if G' is $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$-COLORABLE. □

Acknowledgements

The second author wishes to thank R. Bruce Richter, his doctoral supervisor, for his valuable comments; as well as the Canadian government, which is fully funding his studies in Waterloo through a Canadian Commonwealth Scholarship.

References

[1] D. ACHLIOPTAS, The complexity of G-free colorability, *Discrete Math.* **165/166** (1997) 21–30.

[2] M. BOROWIECKI, I. BROERE, M. FRICK, P. MIHÓK and G. SEMANIŠIN, Survey of hereditary properties of graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **17** (1997) 5–50.

[3] M. BOROWIECKI and P. MIHÓK, Hereditary properties of graphs, in V.R. Kulli, ed., *Advances in Graph Theory* (Vishwa International Publication, Gulbarga, 1991) 42–69.

[4] A. BRANDSTÄDT, V.B. LE, and T. SZYMCZAK, The complexity of some problems related to graph 3-colorability, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **89** (1998) 59–73.

[5] A. BRANDSTÄDT, P.L. HAMMER, V.B. LE and V.V. LOZIN, Bisplit Graphs, *DIMACS Technical Report* **2002-44** (2002) Rutgers University (available on-line at http://dimacs.rutgers.edu/TechnicalReports/2002.html)

[6] I. BROERE and J. BUCKO, Divisibility in additive hereditary properties and uniquely partitionable graphs, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **18** (1999), 79–87.

[7] I. BROERE, B. BUCKO and P. MIHÓK, Criteria of the existence of uniquely partionable graphs with respect to additive induced-hereditary properties, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **22** (2002) 31–37.

[8] J. BROWN, The complexity of generalized graph colorings, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **69** (1996) 257–270.

[9] ZH. A. CHERNYAK and A. A. CHERNYAK, About recognizing (α,β) classes of polar graphs, *Discrete Math.* **62** (1986) 133–138.

[10] L. CAI and D.G. CORNEIL, A generalization of perfect graphs — *i*-perfect graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **23** (1996) 87–103.

[11] A. FARRUGIA, Vertex-partitioning into fixed additive induced-hereditary properties is NP-hard, submitted to *Electron. J. Combin*.

[12] A. FARRUGIA, Uniqueness and complexity in generalised colouring. Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. February 2003. (available on-line at http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/∼afarrugia/thesis.ps or http://etheses.uwaterloo.ca)

[13] A. FARRUGIA, P. MIHÓK, R.B. RICHTER and G. SEMANIŠIN, Factorisations and characterisations of induced-hereditary and compositive properties, submitted.

[14] A. FARRUGIA and R.B. RICHTER, Unique factorization of additive induced-hereditary properties, to appear in *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*.

[15] T. FEDER, P. HELL, S. KLEIN and R. MOTWANI, Complexity of graph partition problems, *ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing (Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 1999)* 464–472.

[16] S. FOLDES and P.L. HAMMER, Split graphs, *Congres. Numer*. 19 (1977) 311–315.

[17] R.L. GRAHAM, B.L. ROTHSCHILD, and J.H. SPENCER, Ramsey Theory, Wiley, New York, 1980.

[18] J. KRATOCHVÍL and I. SCHIERMEYER, On the computational complexity of (O,P)-partition problems, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* **17** 2(1997), 253–258.

[19] O. MEL'NIKOV and P.P. KOZHICH, Algorithms for recognizing the polarity of a graph with bounded parameters, *Izvestia Akad. Nauk BSSR, ser. Fiz. Mat. Nauk* **6** (1985) 50–54 (in Russian).

[20] P. MIHÓK, Unique Factorization Theorem, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* **20** (2000), 143–153.

[21] P. MIHÓK, G. SEMANIŠIN and R. VASKY, Additive and hereditary properties of graphs are uniquely factorizable into irreducible factors, *J. Graph Theory* **33** (2000) 44–53.

[22] R.I. TYSHKEVICH and A.A. CHERNYAK, Algorithms for the canonical decomposition of a graph and recognizing polarity, *Izvestia Akad. Nauk BSSR, ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk* **6** (1985) 16–23 (in Russian).