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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is a 2-tree if $G=K_{3}$, or $G$ has a vertex $v$ of degree 2 , whose neighbors are adjacent, and $G-v$ is a 2 -tree. Clearly, if $G$ is a 2-tree on $n$ vertices, then $|E(G)|=2 n-3$. A non-increasing sequence $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of nonnegative integers is a graphic sequence if it is realizable by a simple graph $G$ on $n$ vertices. Yin and Li (Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 25(2009)795-802) proved that if $k \geq 2, n \geq \frac{9}{2} k^{2}+\frac{19}{2} k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is a graphic sequence with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}>(k-2) n$, then $\pi$ has a realization containing every tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph. Moreover, the lower bound $(k-2) n$ is the best possible. This is a variation of a conjecture due to Erdős and Sós. In this paper, we investigate an analogue extremal problem for 2-trees and prove that if $k \geq 3, n \geq 2 k^{2}-k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is a graphic sequence with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$, then $\pi$ has a realization containing every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph. We also show that the lower bound $\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$ is almost the best possible.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $K_{m}$ be the complete graph on $m$ vertices. A graph $G$ is a 2-tree if $G=K_{3}$, or $G$ has a vertex $v$ of degree 2 , whose neighbors are adjacent, and $G-v$ is a 2 -tree. It is easy to see that if $G$ is a 2 -tree on $n$ vertices, then $|E(G)|=2 n-3$. An ear in a 2-tree is a vertex of degree 2 whose neighbors are adjacent.

The set of all non-increasing sequences $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of nonnegative integers with $d_{1} \leq n-1$ is denoted by $N S_{n}$. A sequence $\pi \in N S_{n}$ is said to be graphic if it is the degree sequence of a simple graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, and such a graph $G$ is called a realization of $\pi$. The set of all graphic sequences in $N S_{n}$ is denoted by $G S_{n}$. For a nonnegative integer sequence $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, we denote $\sigma(\pi)=d_{1}+\cdots+d_{n}$. Yin and Li [12] investigated a variation of a conjecture due to Erdős and Sós (see [1], Problem 12 in page 247), that is, an extremal problem for a sequence $\pi \in G S_{n}$ to have a realization containing every tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph, and obtained the following Theorem 1.1 .

[^0]Theorem 1.1 ([|2]) If $k \geq 2, n \geq \frac{9}{2} k^{2}+\frac{19}{2} k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>(k-2) n$, then $\pi$ has a realization $H$ containing every tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph. Moreover, the lower bound ( $k-2$ ) $n$ is the best possible.
This kind of extremal problem was firstly introduced by Erdős et al. (see [5-6]). The purpose of this paper is to investigate an analogous extremal problem for a sequence $\pi \in G S_{n}$ to have a realization containing every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph. We establish the following Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 .
Theorem 1.2 If $k \geq 3, n \geq 2 k^{2}-k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$, then $\pi$ has a realization $H$ containing every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph.
The lower bound $\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$ in Theorem 1.2 is almost the best possible.
Theorem 1.3 For $k \equiv i(\bmod 3)$, there exists a sequence $\pi \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)=2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor n-2 n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor^{2}+$ $\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+1-(-1)^{i}$ such that $\pi$ has no realization containing every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need some known results. Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in N S_{n}$ and $k$ be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let

$$
\pi_{k}^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}\left(d_{1}-1, \ldots, d_{k-1}-1, d_{k+1}-1, \ldots, d_{d_{k}+1}-1, d_{d_{k}+2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right), & \text { if } d_{k} \geq k, \\ \left(d_{1}-1, \ldots, d_{d_{k}}-1, d_{d_{k}+1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right), & \text { if } d_{k}<k\end{cases}
$$

Let $\pi_{k}^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$, where $d_{1}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n-1}^{\prime}$ is a rearrangement in non-increasing order of the $n-1$ terms of $\pi_{k}^{\prime \prime}$. We say that $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$ is the residual sequence obtained from $\pi$ by laying off $d_{k}$. It is easy to see that if $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$ is graphic then so is $\pi$, since a realization $G$ of $\pi$ can be obtained from a realization $G^{\prime}$ of $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$ by adding a new vertex of degree $d_{k}$ and joining it to the vertices whose degrees are reduced by one in going from $\pi$ to $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$. In fact, more is true:
Theorem 2.1 ([7]) $\pi \in G S_{n}$ if and only if $\pi_{k}^{\prime} \in G S_{n-1}$.
Theorem 2.2 ([4]) Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in N S_{n}$, where $\sigma(\pi)$ is even. Then $\pi \in G S_{n}$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i} \leq t(t-1)+\sum_{i=t+1}^{n} \min \left\{t, d_{i}\right\}$ for any $t$ with $1 \leq t \leq n-1$.
Theorem 2.3 ([11]) Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in N S_{n}$, where $d_{1}=m$ and $\sigma(\pi)$ is even. If there exist an integer $n_{1} \leq n$ and some integer $h \geq 1$ such that $d_{n_{1}} \geq h$ and $n_{1} \geq \frac{1}{h}\left\lfloor\frac{(m+h+1)^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$, then $\pi \in G S_{n}$.
Theorem 2.4 ([|6|) If $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in N S_{n}$ has a realization $G$ containing $H$ as a subgraph, then there exists a realization $G^{\prime}$ of $\pi$ containing $H$ as a subgraph so that the vertices of $H$ have the largest degrees of $\pi$.

Theorem 2.5 ([10]) Let $n \geq r$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $d_{r} \geq r-1$. If $d_{i} \geq 2 r-2-i$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-2$, then $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{r}$.
Theorem 2.6([9]) If $r \geq 1, n \geq 2 r-1$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi) \geq 2 n(r-2)+2$, then $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{r}$.

Theorem 2.7 Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$.
(1) [5] If $n \geq 6$ and $\sigma(\pi) \geq 2 n$, then $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{3}$.
(2) [8] If $n \geq 7$ and $\sigma(\pi) \geq 3 n-1$, then $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{4}-e$, where $K_{4}-e$ is the graph obtained from $K_{4}$ by removing one edge.
(3) [13] If $n \geq 9$ and $\sigma(\pi) \geq 5 n-6$, then $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{5}-e$, where $K_{5}-e$ is the graph obtained from $K_{5}$ by removing one edge.

We note that a 2 -tree can be constructed from an edge by repeatedly adding a new vertex and making it adjacent to the two ends of an edge in the graph formed so far. We refer to the initial edge in constructing such a 2 -tree as a base of the 2 -tree. Some properties of 2 -trees can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 2.8 ([2, 3]) Let $G$ be a 2 -tree with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then
(1) $G$ has at least two ears,
(2) Every vertex of degree 2 in $G$ is an ear,
(3) No two ears in $G$ are adjacent unless $G=K_{3}$,
(4) Every edge of $G$ can be a base.

We know that $G$ is a 2-tree if either $G=K_{3}$, or $G$ has an ear $u$ such that $G^{\prime}=G-u$ is a 2-tree. In other words, every 2 -tree $G \neq K_{3}$ can be obtained from some 2-tree $G^{\prime}$ by adding a new vertex $u$ adjacent to two vertices, $v$ and $w$, where $v w \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. We call this process attaching $u$ to $v w$ and denote $v w=e(u)$. For a 2-tree $G$, we denote $B(G)$ to be the set of all ears in $G$ and $C(G)=\{e(u) \mid u \in B(G)\}$. For $x y \in C(G)$, we denote $B(x y)=\{u \mid u \in B(G)$ and $e(u)=x y\}$. Denote $T(k)=K_{2}+\overline{K_{k-2}}$ (a star in 2 -trees), where $\overline{K_{k-2}}$ is the complement of $K_{k-2}$ and + denotes 'join'. Clearly, $T(k)$ is a 2 -tree with $k$ vertices and $k-2$ ears, and every ear attaches to the edge of $K_{2}$. We also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 Let $G$ be a 2-tree on $k \geq 6$ vertices and $G \neq T(k)$. Then $|C(G)| \geq 2$.
Proof: If $|C(G)|=1$, let $C(G)=\{x y\}$, then $u$ attaches to $x y$ for each $u \in B(G)$. Let $G^{\prime}=G \backslash B(G)$. Since $G \neq T(k)$, we have that $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 3, G^{\prime}$ is a 2-tree and each vertex of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\{x, y\}$ has degree at least 3 in $G^{\prime}$. This implies that $G^{\prime} \neq K_{3}$, and $x$ and $y$ are exactly two ears in $G^{\prime}$ by Theorem 2.8(1). This is impossible by Theorem 2.8(3).

Lemma 2.2 Let $G$ be a 2-tree on $k \geq 6$ vertices. Let $x y \in C(G)$ so that $x y$ is attached to as few ears as possible, and let s be the number of these ears. Denote $H=G \backslash(B(x y) \cup\{x, y\})$. Then $H$ is a spanning subgraph of some 2 -tree on $k-s-2$ vertices.

Proof: Clearly, Lemma 2.2 is trivial for $G=T(k)$. Assume $G \neq T(k)$. Let $G^{\prime}=G \backslash B(x y)$, where $|B(x y)|=s$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is a 2 -tree on $k-s$ vertices. If $s=1$, then by $k \geq 6$, we have $k-s \geq 5$. If $s \geq 2$, then by $|C(G)| \geq 2$ (Lemma 2.1) and the minimality of $s$, we have $k-s \geq(s+1)+2 \geq 5$. By Theorem 2.8 (4), $G^{\prime}$ can be constructed from $x y$ by repeatedly adding a new vertex and making it adjacent to the two ends of an edge in the graph formed so far. In the process of constructing $G^{\prime}$ from $x y$, we let $y^{\prime}$ be the first vertex that is attached to $x y$. Since $x y$ can not be attached to an ear in $G^{\prime}$, we have that $d_{G^{\prime}}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. This implies that $x y^{\prime}$ or $y y^{\prime}$ must be attached to a new vertex. Let $x^{\prime}$ be the first vertex that is attached to $x y^{\prime}$ or $y y^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x^{\prime}$ is attached to $x y^{\prime}$. Let
$\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right\}$ be the subset of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ so that $x_{i}$ is attached to $x x^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$ and $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{t^{\prime}}\right\}$ be the subset of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ so that $y_{j}$ is attached to $y y^{\prime}$ for $j=1, \ldots, t^{\prime}$. Denote

$$
G^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime}-\left\{x x_{1}, \ldots, x x_{t}\right\}-\left\{y y_{1}, \ldots, y y_{t^{\prime}}\right\}+\left\{y^{\prime} x_{1}, \ldots, y^{\prime} x_{t}\right\}+\left\{x^{\prime} y_{1}, \ldots, x^{\prime} y_{t^{\prime}}\right\}-\left\{x y, x y^{\prime}\right\}
$$

In $G^{\prime \prime}$, we first delete edges $x x^{\prime}$ and $y y^{\prime}$, and then identify the vertex $x$ to the vertex $x^{\prime}$ and identify the vertex $y$ to the vertex $y^{\prime}$, the resulting graph is denoted by $G^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Then $G^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a simple graph and is a 2-tree on $k-s-2$ vertices. Moreover, $H=G \backslash(B(x y) \cup\{x, y\})=G^{\prime} \backslash\{x, y\}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $k \geq 6, n \geq k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$. Then $d_{i} \geq k-\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil$ for $i=1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil$.
Proof: If there is an even $r$ with $2 \leq r \leq\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil$ such that $d_{r} \leq k-\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil-1=k-\frac{r}{2}-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & \leq(r-1)(n-1)+\left(k-\frac{r}{2}-1\right)(n-r+1) \\
& =\frac{r^{2}}{2}-r\left(k-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+k n-2 n+k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $f(r)=\frac{r^{2}}{2}-r\left(k-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right)+k n-2 n+k$. Since $2 \leq r \leq \frac{2 k+2}{3}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & \leq f(r) \leq \max \left\{f(2), f\left(\frac{2 k+2}{3}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}-\left(\frac{(k-2) n}{3}+k-1\right), \frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}-\frac{4\left(k^{2}-k\right)+1}{9}\right\} \\
& <\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
If there is an odd $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil$ such that $d_{r} \leq k-\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil-1=k-\frac{r+1}{2}-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & \leq(r-1)(n-1)+\left(k-\frac{r+1}{2}-1\right)(n-r+1) \\
& =\frac{r^{2}}{2}-r\left(k-\frac{n}{2}\right)+k n+k-\frac{5 n}{2}-\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $g(r)=\frac{r^{2}}{2}-r\left(k-\frac{n}{2}\right)+k n+k-\frac{5 n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. Since $1 \leq r \leq \frac{2 k+2}{3}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & \leq g(r) \leq \max \left\{g(1), g\left(\frac{2 k+2}{3}\right)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}-\frac{k n}{3}-\frac{n}{3}, \frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{13 n}{6}-\frac{4 k^{2}}{9}+\frac{7 k}{9}-\frac{5}{18}\right\} \\
& <\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
Lemma 2.4 Let $k \geq 6, n \geq k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$. Then $d_{i} \geq$ $2(k+1-i)$ for $i=\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil+1, \ldots, k$.

Proof: If there is an $r$ with $\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil+1 \leq r \leq k$ such that $d_{r} \leq 2 k-2 r+1$, then by Theorem 2.2 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} d_{i}+\sum_{i=r}^{n} d_{i} \leq\left((r-2)(r-1)+\sum_{i=r}^{n} \min \left\{r-1, d_{i}\right\}\right)+\sum_{i=r}^{n} d_{i} \\
& =(r-2)(r-1)+2 \sum_{i=r}^{n} d_{i} \leq(r-2)(r-1)+2(2 k-2 r+1)(n-r+1) \\
& =5 r^{2}-(4 k+4 n+9) r+4 k n+4 k+2 n+4
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $f(r)=5 r^{2}-(4 k+4 n+9) r+4 k n+4 k+2 n+4$. Since $\frac{2 k+3}{3} \leq r \leq k$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi) & \leq f(r) \leq \max \left\{f\left(\frac{2 k+3}{3}\right), f(k)\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\frac{4 k n}{3}-2 n-\frac{4 k^{2}}{9}+\frac{2 k}{3}, k^{2}-5 k+2 n+4\right\} \\
& <\max \left\{\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}-\left[\left(\frac{2 k}{3}\right)^{2}-\frac{2 k}{3}\right]-\frac{n}{3}, \frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}-\left[(k-3)\left(\frac{4 n}{3}-k\right)+\frac{n}{3}+2 k-4\right]\right\} \\
& <\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{3 n}{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
We now define a new graph $G(k)$ as follows: Let $V\left(K_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil}\right\}$, and $G(k)$ be the graph obtained from $K_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil}$ by adding new vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor}$ and joining $x_{i}^{3}$ to $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor$. It is easy to see that $|V(G(k))|=k$.
Lemma 2.5 If $G$ is a 2 -tree on $k$ vertices, then $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.
Proof: We use induction on $k$. It is easy to check that Lemma 2.5 holds for $k=3,4,5$. If $G=T(k)$, then it is easy to see that $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph. Assume that $k \geq 6$ and $G \neq T(k)$. Let $x y \in C(G)$ so that $x y$ is attached to as few ears as possible, and let $s$ be the number of these ears. Denote $H=G \backslash(B(x y) \cup\{x, y\})$. By Lemma 2.2. $H$ is a spanning subgraph of some 2-tree $G^{\prime}$ on $k-s-2$ vertices. Denote $m=k-s-2$. We consider the following cases.
Case 1. $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\frac{2 k-2 m}{3}}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $G^{\prime}$ as a subgraph. This implies that $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Putting $x$ and $y$ on $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ respectively and taking $B(x y)=$ $\left\{v_{3}, \ldots, v_{2 k-2 m}^{3}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m}{3}}\right\}$, we can see that $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.
Case 2. $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\underline{2 k-2 m-4}}^{3}, v_{\frac{2 k}{3}}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m-2}{3}}, x_{\frac{k}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $G^{\prime}$ as a subgraph, and hence contains $H$ as a subgraph. Putting $x$ and $y$ on $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ respectively and taking

$$
B(x y)=\left\{v_{3}, \ldots, v_{\frac{2 k-2 m-4}{3}}, v_{\frac{2 k}{3}}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m-2}{3}}, x_{\frac{k}{3}}\right\},
$$

we can see that $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.
Case 3. $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\underline{2 k-2 m-2}}^{3}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m-1}{3}}, x_{\frac{k}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Clearly, $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.
Case 4. $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\frac{2 k-2 m-2}{3}}^{3}, v_{\frac{2 k+1}{3}}^{3}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m-1}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Clearly, $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.

Case 5. $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\frac{2 k-2 m}{3}}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Clearly, $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.

Case 6. $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\frac{2 k-2 m-4}{3}}, v_{\frac{2 k+1}{3}}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m-2}{3}}, x_{\frac{k-1}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Clearly, $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.

Case 7. $k \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\frac{2 k-2 m-4}{3}}, v_{\frac{2 k-1}{3}}, v_{\frac{2 k+2}{3}}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m-2}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Clearly, $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.

Case 8. $k \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\underline{2 k-2 m-2}}^{3}, v_{\frac{2 k+2}{3}}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m-1}{3}}\right\} .
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Clearly, $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.

Case 9. $k \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$.
Let

$$
M=G(k)-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\frac{2 k-2 m}{3}}\right\}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{k-m}{3}}\right\}
$$

Then $M=G(m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $G(m)$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. Clearly, $G(k)$ contains $G$ as a subgraph.

We now define sequence $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{k}$ as follows. Let $\pi_{0}=\pi$. We define the sequence

$$
\pi_{1}=\left(d_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, d_{k}^{(1)}, d_{k+1}^{(1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(1)}\right)
$$

from $\pi_{0}$ by deleting $d_{1}$, decreasing the first $d_{1}$ remaining nonzero terms each by one unity, and then reordering the last $n-k$ terms to be non-increasing. Note that the definition of the residual sequence obtained from $\pi$ by laying off $d_{k}$ is to reorder all the remaining terms to be non-increasing.

For $2 \leq i \leq k$, we define the sequence

$$
\pi_{i}=\left(d_{i+1}^{(i)}, \ldots, d_{k}^{(i)}, d_{k+1}^{(i)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(i)}\right)
$$

from

$$
\pi_{i-1}=\left(d_{i}^{(i-1)}, \ldots, d_{k}^{(i-1)}, d_{k+1}^{(i-1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(i-1)}\right)
$$

by deleting $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$, decreasing the first $d_{i}^{(i-1)}$ remaining nonzero terms each by one unity, and then reordering the last $n-k$ terms to be non-increasing.

Lemma 2.6 Let $k \geq 6, n \geq k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil}, d_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil+1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ satisfy $d_{i} \geq k-\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil$ for $i=1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil$. If $\pi_{k}$ is graphic, then $\pi$ has a realization containing $G(k)$ as a subgraph.

Proof: Suppose that $\pi_{k}$ is realized by graph $G_{k}$ with vertex set $V\left(G_{k}\right)=\left\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that $d_{G_{k}}\left(v_{i}\right)=d_{i}^{(k)}$ for $k+1 \leq i \leq n$. For $i=k, \ldots, 1$ in turn, form $G_{i-1}$ from $G_{i}$ by adding a new vertex $v_{i}$ that is adjacent to the vertices of $G_{i}$ whose degrees are reduced by one in going from $\pi_{i-1}$ to $\pi_{i}$. Then, for each $i, G_{i}$ has degrees given by $\pi_{i}$. In particular, $G_{0}$ has degrees given by $\pi$. Since $\pi$ satisfies $d_{i} \geq k-\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil$ for $i=1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil$, by the definition of $\pi_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ in turn, we can see that $G_{0}\left[\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}\right]$ contains $G(k)$ as a subgraph.

Lemma 2.7 Let $k \geq 6, n \geq k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil}, d_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil+1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$. Let $\pi_{1}^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the residual sequence obtained from $\pi$ by laying off $d_{1}$ and $\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n-2}\right)$ be the residual sequence obtained from $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ by laying off the term $d_{2}-1$. If $\pi$ satisfies one of $(a)-(c)$, where
(a) $d_{1}=d_{2}=n-1$,
(b) $d_{1}=n-1, d_{2} \leq n-2$ and $d_{k}>d_{d_{2}+2}$,
(c) $d_{1} \leq n-2, d_{k}>d_{d_{2}+2}$ and $d_{k}-d_{d_{1}+2} \geq 2$,
then $\rho_{1}=d_{3}-2, \rho_{2}=d_{4}-2, \ldots, \rho_{k-2}=d_{k}-2$.
Proof: If $\pi$ satisfies (a), then $\rho=\left(d_{3}-2, \ldots, d_{n}-2\right)$, and so $\rho_{1}=d_{3}-2, \rho_{2}=d_{4}-2, \ldots, \rho_{k-2}=d_{k}-2$.
If $\pi$ satisfies (b), then $\pi_{1}^{\prime}=\left(d_{2}-1, d_{3}-1, \ldots, d_{n}-1\right)$. By $d_{k}-2 \geq d_{d_{2}+2}-1$, we further have that $\rho_{1}=d_{3}-2, \rho_{2}=d_{4}-2, \ldots, \rho_{k-2}=d_{k}-2$.
Assume that $\pi$ satisfies (c). If $d_{d_{2}+2}>d_{d_{1}+2}$, then $d_{d_{2}+2}-1 \geq d_{d_{1}+2}$, and hence $d_{1}^{\prime}=d_{2}-$ $1, \ldots, d_{d_{2}+1}^{\prime}=d_{d_{2}+2}-1$. By $d_{k}>d_{d_{2}+2}$, we have $d_{k}-2 \geq d_{d_{2}+2}-1$, implying that $\rho_{1}=d_{3}-2, \rho_{2}=$ $d_{4}-2, \ldots, \rho_{k-2}=d_{k}-2$. If $d_{d_{2}+2}=\cdots=d_{d_{1}+2}$, then $d_{d_{2}+2}-1<d_{d_{1}+2}$. By $d_{k}-d_{d_{1}+2} \geq 2$, we have $d_{1}^{\prime}=d_{2}-1, \ldots, d_{k-1}^{\prime}=d_{k}-1$ and $d_{d_{2}+1}^{\prime} \leq d_{d_{1}+2}$, implying that $\rho_{1}=d_{3}-2, \rho_{2}=d_{4}-2, \ldots, \rho_{k-2}=$ $d_{k}-2$.

Lemma 2.8 Let $k \geq 6, n \geq k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil}, d_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil+1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$. For each $\pi_{i}=\left(d_{i+1}^{(i)}, \ldots, d_{k}^{(i)}, d_{k+1}^{(i)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(i)}\right)$, let $t_{i}=\max \left\{j \mid d_{k+1}^{(i)}-d_{k+j}^{(i)} \leq 1\right\}$.
(1) If $\pi$ satisfies ( $d$ ) or ( $e$ ), where
(d) $d_{1} \leq n-2, d_{k}>d_{d_{2}+2}$ and $d_{k}-d_{d_{1}+2} \leq 1$,
(e) $d_{1} \leq n-2, d_{k}=d_{d_{2}+2}$ and $d_{d_{2}+2}=d_{d_{1}+2}$,
then $d_{k+r}^{(k)}=d_{k+r}$ for $r>t_{k}$.
(2) If $\pi$ satisfies ( $f$ ) or $(g)$, where
(f) $d_{1}=n-1, d_{2} \leq n-2$ and $d_{k}=d_{d_{2}+2}$,
(g) $d_{1} \leq n-2, d_{k}=d_{d_{2}+2}$ and $d_{d_{2}+2}>d_{d_{1}+2}$,
then $d_{k+r}^{(k)}=d_{k+r}^{(1)}$ for $r>t_{k}$.
Proof: (1) If $\pi$ satisfies (d) or (e), then $k+t_{0} \geq d_{1}+2$. Since $d_{k+1}^{(i-1)}-d_{k+t_{i-1}}^{(i-1)} \leq 1$ implies that $d_{k+1}^{(i)}-d_{k+t_{i-1}}^{(i)} \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have that $t_{k} \geq t_{k-1} \geq \cdots \geq t_{0} \geq d_{1}+2-k$. By $\min \left\{d_{k+1}^{(i-1)}-1, \ldots, d_{d_{i}+1}^{(i-1)}-1, d_{d_{i}+2}^{(i-1)}, \ldots, d_{k+t_{i-1}}^{(i-1)}\right\} \geq d_{k+1}^{(i-1)}-2 \geq d_{k+t_{i-1}+1}^{(i-1)} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n}^{(i-1)}$,
we have that $d_{k+t_{i-1}+m}^{(i)}=d_{k+t_{i-1}+m}^{(i-1)}$ for $m \geq 1$. Thus, $d_{k+r}^{(i)}=d_{k+r}^{(i-1)}$ for $r>t_{i}$. This implies that $d_{k+r}^{(k)}=d_{k+r}$ for $r>t_{k}$.
(2) If $\pi$ satisfies (f) or (g), then $t_{k} \geq t_{k-1} \geq \cdots \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0} \geq d_{2}+2-k$. Since $\min \left\{d_{k+1}^{(i-1)}-\right.$ $\left.1, \ldots, d_{d_{i}+1}^{(i-1)}-1, d_{d_{i}+2}^{(i-1)}, \ldots, d_{k+t_{i-1}}^{(i-1)}\right\} \geq d_{k+1}^{(i-1)}-2 \geq d_{k+t_{i-1}+1}^{(i-1)} \geq \cdots \geq d_{n}^{(i-1)}$ for $i \geq 2$, we have that $d_{k+t_{i-1}+m}^{(i)}=d_{k+t_{i-1}+m}^{(i-1)}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. Thus, $d_{k+r}^{(i)}=d_{k+r}^{(i-1)}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $r>t_{i}$. This implies that $d_{k+r}^{(k)}=d_{k+r}^{(1)}$ for $r>t_{k}$.

If $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ has a realization containing every 2-tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph, then $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic. If $\pi$ has a realization in which the subgraph induced by the $k$ vertices of largest degrees contains every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices as a subgraph, then $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(k)$-graphic. It is easy to see that if $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(k)$-graphic, then $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic.

Lemma 2.9 Let $k \geq 3, n \geq 6 k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $d_{n} \geq \frac{2 k}{3}-2$ and $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$. Then $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(k)$-graphic.

Proof: We use induction on $k$. If $k=3$, then by $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3} \geq 2 n$ and Theorem 2.7 (1), $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{3}$. By Theorem 2.4, $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(3)$-graphic. If $k=4$, then by $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3} \geq 3 n-1$ and Theorem 2.7(2), $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{4}-e$. By Theorem 2.4, $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(4)$-graphic. If $k=5$, then by $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3} \geq 5 n-6$ and Theorem 2.7(3), $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{5}-e$. Since $K_{5}-e$ contains every 2 -tree on 5 vertices, by Theorem 2.4, $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(5)$-graphic. Assume $k \geq 6$. We only need to prove that $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ has a realization in which the subgraph induced by the vertices with degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ contains every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices. Let $\pi_{1}^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the residual sequence obtained from $\pi$ by laying off $d_{1}$ and $\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n-2}\right)$ be the residual sequence obtained from $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ by laying off the term $d_{2}-1$. Then $n-2 \geq 6(k-3), \rho_{n-2} \geq\left(\frac{2 k}{3}-2\right)-2=\frac{2(k-3)}{3}-2$ and $\sigma(\rho)=\sigma(\pi)-2 d_{1}-2 d_{2}+2>$ $\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}-4(n-1)+2>\frac{4(k-3)(n-2)}{3}-\frac{5(n-2)}{3}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\rho$ has a realization $G_{1}$ in which the subgraph induced by the vertices with degrees $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k-3}$ contains every 2 -tree on $k-3$ vertices. Denote $F$ to be the subgraph induced by the vertices with degrees $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k-3}$ in $G_{1}$, and let $F^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $F$ by adding three new vertices $x, y, u$ such that $x, y$ are adjacent to each vertex of $F$ and $x y, x u, y u \in E\left(F^{\prime}\right)$.

Claim $F^{\prime}$ contains every 2-tree on $k$ vertices.
Proof of Claim. Let $G$ be any one 2-tree on $k$ vertices. Take $x y \in C(G)$ and $u \in B(x y)$, and denote $H=G \backslash\{x, y, u\}$. By Lemma 2.2 it is easy to get that $H$ is a spanning subgraph of some 2-tree on $k-3$ vertices. Since $F$ contains every 2 -tree on $k-3$ vertices, we have that $F$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. By the definition of $F^{\prime}$, we can see that $F^{\prime}$ contains $G$ as a subgraph. By the arbitrary of $G, F^{\prime}$ contains every 2-tree on $k$ vertices. This proves Claim.

If $\pi$ satisfies one of (a)-(c), by Lemma 2.7, then $\rho_{1}=d_{3}-2, \rho_{2}=d_{4}-2, \ldots, \rho_{k-2}=d_{k}-2$. Now by the definitions of $\rho$ and $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$, it is easy to get that $\pi$ has a realization $G^{\prime}$ in which the subgraph induced by the vertices with degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ contains $F^{\prime}$ as a subgraph. Thus by Claim, $\pi$ has a realization in which the subgraph induced by the vertices with degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ contains every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices.
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We now assume that $\pi$ satisfies one of (d)-(g). If $d_{k} \geq 2 k-3$, then by Theorem 2.5, $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{k}$, and hence $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(k)$-graphic by Theorem 2.4. Assume that $d_{k} \leq 2 k-4$. By $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$ and Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we have that $d_{i} \geq k-\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil$ for $i=1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil$ and $d_{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rceil+1} \geq 2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{3}\right\rfloor$. It is enough to prove that $\pi_{k}$ is graphic by Theorem 2.4 and Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 If $\pi$ satisfies (d) or (e), by Lemma 2.8 (1), then

$$
\pi_{k}=\left(d_{k+1}^{(k)}, \ldots, d_{k+t_{k}}^{(k)}, d_{k+t_{k}+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) .
$$

If $\pi$ satisfies (f) or (g), by Lemma 2.8 (2), then

$$
\pi_{k}=\left(d_{k+1}^{(k)}, \ldots, d_{k+t_{k}}^{(k)}, d_{k+t_{k}+1}^{(1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(1)}\right)
$$

If $t_{k}<n-k$, then $k+t_{k}<n$. By $d_{k+1}^{(k)} \leq d_{k+1} \leq d_{k} \leq 2 k-4$ and $d_{n} \geq d_{n}^{(1)} \geq d_{n}-1 \geq \frac{2 k}{3}-3 \geq 1$, we have that $d_{k+1}^{(k)} \leq 2 k-4$ and $d_{n} \geq d_{n}^{(1)} \geq\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right\rceil \geq 1$. Since $\frac{(2 k-3+x)^{2}}{4 x}$ is a monotone decreasing function of $x$ on the interval $(0,2 k-3]$, by $\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right\rceil \geq \frac{2 k}{3}-3$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right\rceil}\left\lfloor\frac{\left(2 k-4+\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right\rceil+1\right)^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor & \leq \frac{\left(2 k-3+\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right\rceil\right)^{2}}{4\left\lceil\left\lceil\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right\rceil\right.} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(2 k-3+\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right)^{2}}{4\left(\frac{2 k}{3}-3\right)} \\
& =\frac{\frac{16 k^{2}}{3}-24 k+27}{2 k-9} \\
& =\frac{\frac{8}{3} k(2 k-9)+27}{2 k-9} \\
& \leq \frac{8 k}{3}+9 \leq n-k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.3. $\pi_{k}$ is graphic. If $t_{k}=n-k$, then $d_{k+1}^{(k)}-d_{n}^{(k)} \leq 1$. Denote $d_{n}^{(k)}=m$. If $m=0$, then by $d_{k+1}^{(k)} \leq 1$ and $\sigma\left(\pi_{k}\right)$ being even, $\pi_{k}$ is clearly graphic. If $m \geq 1$, then $d_{k+1}^{(k)} \leq m+1$, and hence

$$
\frac{1}{m}\left\lfloor\frac{(m+1+m+1)^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor=\frac{(m+1)^{2}}{m} \leq m+3 \leq 2 k-4+3 \leq n-k .
$$

By Theorem 2.3. $\pi_{k}$ is also graphic.
Lemma 2.10 Let $k \geq 6, n=6 k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}+4 k^{2}-14 k$. Then $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(k)$-graphic.

Proof: By $\sigma(\pi) \geq \frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}+4 k^{2}-14 k+2=2 n(k-2)+2$ and Theorem $2.6 \pi$ has a realization containing $K_{k}$. By Theorem 2.4, $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(k)$-graphic.

Lemma 2.11 Let $k \geq 6$ and $n=6 k+t$, where $0 \leq t \leq 2 k^{2}-7 k$. If $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}+4 k^{2}-14 k-2 t$, then $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic.

Proof: We use induction on $t$. It is known from Lemma 2.10 that Lemma 2.11 holds for $t=0$. Suppose now that $1 \leq t \leq 2 k^{2}-7 k$. Then $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$. If $d_{n} \geq \frac{2 k}{3}-2$, then $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime \prime}(k)-$ graphic by Lemma 2.9. If $d_{n}<\frac{2 k}{3}-2$, then the residual sequence $\pi_{n}^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ obtained by
laying off $d_{n}$ from $\pi$ satisfies $\sigma\left(\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(\pi)-2 d_{n}>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}+4 k^{2}-14 k-2 t-2\left(\frac{2 k}{3}-2\right)>$ $\frac{4 k(n-1)}{3}-\frac{5(n-1)}{3}+4 k^{2}-14 k-2(t-1)$. By the induction hypothesis, $\pi_{n}^{\prime}$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic, and hence so is $\pi$.

We now prove Theorem 1.2
Proof of Theorem 1.2; Let $k \geq 3, n \geq 2 k^{2}-k$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$. We only need to prove that $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic. If $k=3$, then by $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3} \geq 2 n$ and Theorem 2.7 (1), $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{3}$, and hence $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(3)$-graphic. If $k=4$, then by $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3} \geq 3 n-1$ and Theorem 2.7 (2), $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{4}-e$, and hence $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(4)$-graphic. If $k=5$, then by $\sigma(\pi)>\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3} \geq 5 n-6$ and Theorem 2.7(3), $\pi$ has a realization containing $K_{5}-e$. Since $K_{5}-e$ contains every 2 -tree on 5 vertices, $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(5)$-graphic. Assume that $k \geq 6$. We now use induction on $n$. If $n=2 k^{2}-k$, then by Lemma $2.11\left(t=2 k^{2}-7 k\right), \pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic. Assume that $n \geq 2 k^{2}-k+1$. If $d_{n} \geq \frac{2 k}{3}-2$, then by Lemma 2.9. $\pi$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic. If $d_{n}<\frac{2 k}{3}-2$, then the residual sequence $\pi_{n}^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ obtained from $\pi$ by laying off $d_{n}$ satisfies $\sigma\left(\pi_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(\pi)-2 d_{n}>$ $\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}-2\left(\frac{2 k}{3}-2\right)>\frac{4 k(n-1)}{3}-\frac{5(n-1)}{3}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\pi_{n}^{\prime}$ is potentially $A^{\prime}(k)$-graphic, and hence so is $\pi$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In order to prove Theorem 1.3. we recursively define a new graph $F(k)$ on $k \geq 3$ vertices as follows. Let $F(3)=K_{3}$, and let $V(F(k-1))=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right\}$ for $k \geq 4$. Define $F(k)$ be the graph obtained from $F(k-1)$ by adding a new vertex $x_{k}$ and joining $x_{k}$ to $x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}$. Clearly, $F(k)$ is a 2-tree on $k$ vertices. Let $\alpha(G)$ denote the independence number of $G$. We need the following Lemma 3.1 .

Lemma 3.1 Let $k \geq 3$ and $e \in E(F(k))$. Then
(1) $\alpha(F(k)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$;
(2) If $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then $\alpha(F(k)-e) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$.

Proof: (1) We use induction on $k$. It is easy to check that Lemma 3.1. 1 ) holds for $k=3,4,5$. Assume that $k \geq 6$. Let $V(F(k))=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. By the construction of $F(k)$, we have that the subgraph induced by $\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\}$ in $F(k)$ is $K_{3}$. Let $X$ be a maximum independent set of $F(k)$. Then $\left|\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\} \cap X\right| \leq 1$. If $\left|\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\} \cap X\right|=0$, then $X$ is an independent set of $F(k)-$ $\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\}=F(k-3)$. By the induction hypothesis, we have that $\alpha(F(k))=|X| \leq \alpha(F(k-$ $3)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-3}{3}\right\rceil \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$. If $\left|\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\} \cap X\right|=1$, let $\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\} \cap X=\{x\}$, then $X \backslash\{x\}$ is an independent set of $F(k)-\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\}=F(k-3)$. By the induction hypothesis, we have that $\alpha(F(k))-1=|X \backslash\{x\}| \leq \alpha(F(k-3)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-3}{3}\right\rceil$, i.e., $\alpha(F(k)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-3}{3}\right\rceil+1=\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$.
(2) Clearly, Lemma 3.1.2) holds for $k=4$. Assume that $k \geq 7$. By the construction of $F(k)$, we have that $e=x_{i} x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ or $e=x_{j} x_{j+2}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-2$. Let $X$ be a maximum independent set of $F(k)-e$.

Firstly, we assume that $e=x_{i} x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. If $\left|\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \cap X\right| \leq 1$, then $X$ is an independent set of $F(k)$, and hence $\alpha(F(k)-e)=|X| \leq \alpha(F(k)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$. Assume that $\left|\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \cap X\right|=2$, i.e., $\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \subseteq X$. If $i=1$ (or $i=k-1$ ), then $X \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ (or $X \backslash\left\{x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right\}$ ) is an independent set of
$F(k)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}=F(k-4)\left(\right.$ or $\left.F(k)-\left\{x_{k}, x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}, x_{k-3}\right\}=F(k-4)\right)$. This implies that $\alpha(F(k)-e)-2=|X|-2 \leq \alpha(F(k-4)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-4}{3}\right\rceil$, i.e., $\alpha(F(k)-e) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-4}{3}\right\rceil+2=\left\lceil\frac{k+2}{3}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$ (as $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ ). If $i=2($ or $i=k-2)$, then $X \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ (or $X \backslash\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}\right\}$ ) is an independent set of $F(k)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}=F(k-5)\left(\right.$ or $F(k)-\left\{x_{k}, x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}, x_{k-3}, x_{k-4}\right\}=F(k-$ 5)). This implies that $\alpha(F(k)-e)-2=|X|-2 \leq \alpha(F(k-5)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-5}{3}\right\rceil$, i.e., $\alpha(F(k)-e) \leq$ $\left\lceil\frac{k-5}{3}\right\rceil+2=\left\lceil\frac{k+1}{3}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil($ as $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3))$. If $3 \leq i \leq k-3$, then $X \backslash\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\}$ is an independent set of $F(k)-\left\{x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}\right\}$. For convenience, we denote $F(i)=K_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Clearly, $\alpha(F(i)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{i}{3}\right\rceil$ for $i=1,2$. Since $F(k)-\left\{x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}\right\}$ is the disjoint union of $F(i-3)$ and $F(k-i-3)$, we have that $\alpha(F(k)-e)-2=|X|-2 \leq$ $\alpha\left(F(k)-\left\{x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}\right\}\right)=\alpha(F(i-3))+\alpha(F(k-i-3)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{i-3}{3}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{k-i-3}{3}\right\rceil=$ $\left\lceil\frac{i}{3}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{k-i}{3}\right\rceil-2$. Hence $\alpha(F(k)-e) \leq\left\lceil\frac{i}{3}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{k-i}{3}\right\rceil=\frac{k+2}{3}=\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil($ as $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3))$.

We now assume that $e=x_{j} x_{j+2}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-2$. If $\left|\left\{x_{j}, x_{j+2}\right\} \cap X\right| \leq 1$, then $X$ is an independent set of $F(k)$, and hence $\alpha(F(k)-e)=|X| \leq \alpha(F(k)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$. Assume that $\left|\left\{x_{j}, x_{j+2}\right\} \cap X\right|=2$, i.e., $\left\{x_{j}, x_{j+2}\right\} \subseteq X$. If $j=1$ (or $j=k-2$ ), then $X \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ (or $X \backslash\left\{x_{k-2}, x_{k}\right\}$ ) is an independent set of $F(k)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right\}=F(k-5)\left(\right.$ or $F(k)-\left\{x_{k}, x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}, x_{k-3}, x_{k-4}\right\}=F(k-$ 5)). This implies that $\alpha(F(k)-e)-2=|X|-2 \leq \alpha(F(k-5)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-5}{3}\right\rceil$, i.e., $\alpha(F(k)-e) \leq$ $\left\lceil\frac{k-5}{3}\right\rceil+2=\left\lceil\frac{k+1}{3}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil\left(\right.$ as $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ ). If $j=2($ or $j=k-3)$, then $X \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}\right\}$ (or $\left.X \backslash\left\{x_{k-3}, x_{k-1}\right\}\right)$ is an independent set of $F(k)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right\}=F(k-6)$ (or $F(k)-$ $\left.\left\{x_{k}, x_{k-1}, x_{k-2}, x_{k-3}, x_{k-4}, x_{k-5}\right\}=F(k-6)\right)$. This implies that $\alpha(F(k)-e)-2=|X|-2 \leq$ $\alpha(F(k-6)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-6}{3}\right\rceil$, i.e., $\alpha(F(k)-e) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k-6}{3}\right\rceil+2=\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$. If $3 \leq j \leq k-4$, then $X-$ $\left\{x_{j}, x_{j+2}\right\}$ is an independent set of $F(k)-\left\{x_{j-2}, x_{j-1}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, x_{j+3}, x_{j+4}\right\}$. Since $F(k)-$ $\left\{x_{j-2}, x_{j-1}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, x_{j+3}, x_{j+4}\right\}$ is the disjoint union of $F(j-3)$ and $F(k-j-4)$, we have that $\alpha(F(k)-e)-2=|X|-2 \leq \alpha\left(F(k)-\left\{x_{j-2}, x_{j-1}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, x_{j+3}, x_{j+4}\right\}\right)=\alpha(F(j-3))+$ $\alpha(F(k-j-4)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{j-3}{3}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{k-j-4}{3}\right\rceil=\left\lceil\frac{j}{3}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{k-j-1}{3}\right\rceil-2$. Hence $\alpha(F(k)-e) \leq\left\lceil\frac{j}{3}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{k-j-1}{3}\right\rceil \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$ (as $k \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ ).

Proof of Theorem 1.3; Let $k \geq 3$ with $k \equiv i(\bmod 3)$. Denote $H=K_{\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1}+\overline{K_{n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+1}}$. If $H$ contains $F(k)$ on the vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$, then $k-\left(\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1\right) \leq \alpha\left(H\left[\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}\right]\right) \leq \alpha(F(k)) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$ (Lemma 3.1. 1 )). This is impossible as $k-\left(\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1\right)=\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil+1$. Hence $H$ contains no $F(k)$.

For $i=0$ or 2 , we let $\pi=\left((n-1)^{\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1},\left(\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1\right)^{n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+1}\right)$. Then $\pi \in G S_{n}, \sigma(\pi)=2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor n-$ $2 n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor^{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor$ and $H$ is the unique realization of $\pi$. Since $H$ contains no $F(k)$, we have that $\pi$ has no realization containing $F(k)$. This implies that $\pi$ has no realization containing every 2-tree on $k$ vertices.

For $i=1$, we let $\pi=\left((n-1)^{\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1},\left(\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor\right)^{2},\left(\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1\right)^{n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1}\right)$. Then $\pi \in G S_{n}, \sigma(\pi)=$ $2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor n-2 n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor^{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+2$ and $H+e$ (a simple graph is obtained from $H$ by adding an edge $e$ ) is the unique realization of $\pi$. Assume that $H+e$ contains $F(k)$. Since $H$ contains no $F(k)$, we have that $H$ contains $F(k)-e$. If $H$ contains $F(k)-e$ on the vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$, then $k-\left(\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-1\right) \leq$ $\alpha\left(H\left[\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}\right]\right) \leq \alpha(F(k)-e) \leq\left\lceil\frac{k}{3}\right\rceil$ (Lemma 3.1(2)), a contradiction. Hence $\pi$ has no realization containing $F(k)$. This proves Theorem 1.3

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}}{2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor n-2 n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor^{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+1-(-1)^{i}}=\frac{\frac{4 k}{3}-\frac{5}{3}}{2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor-2} \approx 1
$$

we have that $\frac{4 k n}{3}-\frac{5 n}{3}$ is almost the best possible lower bound in Theorem 1.2

For $k \equiv i(\bmod 3)$, we feel that $2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor n-2 n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor^{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+1-(-1)^{i}$ is the best possible lower bound for sufficiently large $n$, thus we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture If $k \geq 3$ with $k \equiv i(\bmod 3)$, $n$ is sufficiently large, and $\pi \in G S_{n}$ with $\sigma(\pi)>2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor n-$ $2 n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor^{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+1-(-1)^{i}$, then $\pi$ has a realization $H$ containing every 2 -tree on $k$ vertices. Moreover, the lower bound $2\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor n-2 n-\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor^{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{2 k}{3}\right\rfloor+1-(-1)^{i}$ is the best possible.
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