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Selberg integrals and Catalan-Pfaffian Hankel determinants

Masao Ishikawa and Jiang Zeng

Abstract. In our previous works “Pfaffian decomposition and a Pfaffian analogue of q-Catalan Hankel determinants” (by M. Ishikawa, H. Tagawa and J. Zeng, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 120, 2013, 1263–1284) we have proposed several ways to evaluate certain Catalan-Hankel Pfaffians and also formulated several conjectures. In this work we propose a new approach to compute these Catalan-Hankel Pfaffians using Selberg’s integral as well as their $q$-analogues. In particular, this approach permits us to settle most of the conjectures in our previous paper.
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1 Introduction

In Ishikawa et al. (2013) the three authors presented several open problems concerning Pfaffian analogue of several Hankel determinants. Ishikawa and Koutschan (2012) partially settled Conjecture 6.2 in Ishikawa et al. (2013) by a computer proof using Zeilberger’s Holonomic Ansatz for Pfaffians. In this paper we settle most of the conjectures except Conjecture 6.3 in Ishikawa et al. (2013). Furthermore we give another proof of Theorem 3.1 in Ishikawa et al. (2013) by reducing it to the $k = 2$ case of Askey’s $q$-Selberg’s integral formula via de Bruijn’s formula. We believe that our new proof gives a simpler and essentially insightful method to Pfaffian analogues of several Hankel determinants.

We say a matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ (or $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$) is skew-symmetric if it satisfies $a_{j,i} = -a_{i,j}$ for $i, j \geq 1$. A skew-symmetric matrix is completely determined by its upper triangular entries so that
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*Email: ishikawa@edu.u-ryukyu.ac.jp. Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 25400018.
†Email: zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr.

1365–8050 © 2014 Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science (DMTCS), Nancy, France
we identify a skew-symmetric matrix \( A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1} \) (resp. \( A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \)) with the upper triangular matrix \( A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq n} \) (resp. \( A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \)). Let

\[
\mathcal{E}_{2n} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2n \\ \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{2n} \mid \sigma_{2i-1} < \sigma_{2i} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \right\}
\]

For instance \( \mathcal{E}_4 \) has the following 6 permutations: \((1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 3, 2, 4), (1, 4, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1, 4), (2, 4, 1, 3), (2, 3, 1, 4)\). This implies

\[
\text{Pf}(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} = a_{12}a_{14} - a_{13}a_{24} + a_{14}a_{23}.
\]

A hyperpfaffian is is a generalization of a Pfaffian, and first defined by Barvinok [Barvinok (1995)]. Here we adopt the definition by Matsumoto [Matsumoto (2008)], which is a special case of the definition by Barvinok.

**Definition 1.1** Let \( m \) and \( n \) be positive integers, and let \( B = (B(i_1, \ldots, i_{2m}))_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_{2m} \leq 2n} \) be an array which satisfies

\[
B(i_{\tau_1}(1), i_{\tau_1}(2), \ldots, i_{\tau_m}(2m-1), i_{\tau_m}(2m)) = \text{sgn}(\tau_1) \cdots \text{sgn}(\tau_m)B(i_1, \ldots, i_{2m})
\]

for all \( (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m) \in (\mathcal{S}_2)^m \). The hyperpfaffian \( \text{Pf}^{[2m]}(B) \) of \( B \) is defined by

\[
\text{Pf}^{[2m]}(B) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \in \mathcal{E}_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m)
\]

\[
\times \prod_{i=1}^{n} B(\sigma_1(2i-1), \sigma_1(2i), \ldots, \sigma_m(2i-1), \sigma_m(2i)).
\]

Throughout this paper we use the standard notation for \( q \)-series (see [Andrews et al. (2000); Gasper and Rahman (2004)]):

\[
(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty}
\]

for any integer \( n \). Usually \((a; q)_n\) is called the \( q \)-shifted factorial, and we frequently use the compact notation:

\[
(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r; q)_\infty = (a_1; q)_\infty (a_2; q)_\infty \cdots (a_r; q)_\infty, \\
(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_r; q)_n.
\]

The \( r+1 \phi_r \) basic hypergeometric series is defined by

\[
_{r+1} \phi_r \left[ \begin{array}{c} a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, \ldots, b_r \end{array} \right] ; q, z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1}; q)_n}{(q, b_1, \ldots, b_r; q)_n} z^n.
\]
2 Minor summation formula of Pfaffians

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$ be an array. When $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ is a row index set, $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ is a column index set, let $A^I_j = A^{i_1, \ldots, i_r}_{j_1, \ldots, j_r}$ denote the $r \times r$ minor of $A$ obtained by choosing the rows in $I$ and the columns in $J$. We use the notation $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ for a positive integer $n$. For example, if $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$, then we have

$$A_{1,2,4}^{1,2,5} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{15} \\ a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{25} \\ a_{12} & a_{43} & a_{45} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further, if $A$ is a skew-symmetric matrix, then we write $A_I$ for $A_I^I$ in short. For later use we cite the minor summation formula of Pfaffians here:

**Theorem 2.1** (Ishikawa and Wakayama [1995, 2006]) Let $n \leq N$ be positive integers and assume $n$ is even. Let $H = (h_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq N}$ be an $n \times N$ rectangular matrix, and let $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ be a skew symmetric matrix of size $N$. Then we have

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [N]} \text{Pf}(A_I) \det(H^I_I[n]) = \text{Pf}(Q),$$

where the skew symmetric matrix $Q$ is defined by $Q = (Q_{i,j}) = HAH^T$ whose entries may be written in the form

$$Q_{i,j} = \sum_{1 \leq k,l \leq N} \alpha_{k,l} \det(H^I_{k,l}[i,j]), \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

When $n$ is odd, we can immediately derive a similar formula from the case where $n$ is even. Matsumoto [2008] gave the following hyperpfaffian analogue of Theorem 2.1:

**Theorem 2.2** (Matsumoto [2008]) Let $m$, $n$ and $N$ be positive integers such that $2n \leq N$. Let $H(s) = (h_{ij}(s))_{1 \leq i \leq 2n, 1 \leq j \leq N}$ be $2n \times N$ rectangular matrices for $1 \leq s \leq 2m$, and let $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ be a skew symmetric matrix of size $N$. Then we have

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [2n]} \text{Pf}(A_I) \prod_{s=1}^m \det\left(H(s)^I_I[2n]\right) = \text{Pf}[2^m](Q),$$

where the array $Q = (Q_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2m}})_{1 \leq i_1,\ldots,i_{2m} \leq 2n}$ is defined by

$$Q_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2m}} = \sum_{1 \leq k,l \leq N} \alpha_{k,l} \prod_{s=1}^m \det(H(s)^I_{k,l}[i_1,\ldots,i_{2s-1},i_{2s}]).$$

We cite the following proposition from [Ishikawa and Wakayama, 1995, 2006] to compute certain Pfaffians in the following sections.

**Proposition 2.3** Let $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be any sequence, and let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $B = (b_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ be the skew-symmetric matrix defined by

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_i & \text{if } j = i + 1 \text{ for } i \geq 1, \\
-\alpha_j & \text{if } i = j + 1 \text{ for } j \geq 1, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

(2.3)
If $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_{2n})$ is an index set such that $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{2n}$, then
\[
Pf(B_I) = \begin{cases} 
\prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{i_{2k-1}} & \text{if } i_{2k} = i_{2k-1} + 1 \text{ for } k = 1, \ldots, n, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \tag{2.4}
\]

### 3 De Bruijn’s formula and Hankel Pfaffians

The $q$-Jackson integral from $0$ to $a$ is defined by
\[
\int_0^a f(x) \, dq \, x = (1 - q) a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(aq^n) q^n,
\]
which is absolutely convergent when $|q| < 1$. More generally, the $q$-integral on $[a, b]$ is defined by
\[
\int_a^b f(x) \, dq \, x = \int_0^b f(x) \, dq \, x - \int_0^a f(x) \, dq \, x.
\]

Let $\omega$ be the measure on an interval $[0, a]$ defined by a given weight function $w(x)$ such that $\omega(dq) = w(x) dq$. The moment $\mu_n(q)$ of the measure $\omega$ is defined by
\[
\mu_n(q) = \int_a^b x^n \, \omega(dq).
\]

A sequence of polynomials $p_n(x)$ ($n = 0, 1, \ldots$) is called an orthogonal polynomial sequence with respect to the measure $\omega$ if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) $\deg p_n(x) = n,$

(ii) $\int_a^b p_m(x) p_n(x) \omega(dq) = K_n \delta_{m,n}$ holds for any integers $m, n \geq 0$, where $K_n > 0$ is a constant.

The following proposition is usually called de Bruijn’s formula:

**Proposition 3.1** Let $n$ be a positive integer, and let $\phi_i(x)$ and $\psi_i(x)$ be functions on $[0, a]$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Then
\[
\int_0^a \cdots \int_0^a \det (\phi_j(x) \psi_j(x)) \, dq \, \mu(x_1) \cdots dq \, \mu(x_n) = Pf (Q_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}, \tag{3.1}
\]

where
\[
Q_{i,j} = \int_0^a \{ \phi_i(x) \psi_j(x) - \phi_j(x) \psi_i(x) \} \, dq \, \mu(x) \tag{3.2}
\]

and $(\phi_i(x_j) | \psi_i(x_j))$ denotes the $2n \times 2n$ matrix whose $i$th row is
\[
(\phi_i(x_1), \psi_i(x_1), \ldots, \phi_i(x_n), \psi_i(x_n))
\]
for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. 
In fact, Proposition 3.1 is a corollary of the following proposition, which is a hyperpfaffian version of de Bruijn’s formula.

**Proposition 3.2** Let \( m \) and \( n \) be positive integers. Let \( \phi_{s,i}(x) \) and \( \psi_{s,i}(x) \) be functions on \([0,a]\) for \( 1 \leq i \leq 2n, \, 1 \leq s \leq m \). Then we have

\[
\int \cdots \int_{0 \leq x_1 < \cdots < x_n \leq a} \prod_{s=1}^{m} \det (\phi_{s,i}(x_j)|\psi_{s,i}(x_j)) \, \omega(d_qx) = \operatorname{Pf}^{[2m]}(Q_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2m}})_{1 \leq i_1,\ldots,i_{2m} \leq 2n},
\]

where

\[
Q_{i_1,\ldots,i_{2m}} = \int_{0}^{a} \prod_{s=1}^{m} \{\phi_{s,i_2s-1}(x)\psi_{s,i_2s}(x) - \phi_{s,i_2s}(x)\psi_{s,i_2s-1}(x)\} \, \omega(d_qx)
\]

for \( 1 \leq i_1,\ldots,i_{2m} \leq 2n \).

**Corollary 3.3** Let \( \omega(d_qx) = w(x)dx \) be a measure on \([0,a]\), and let \( \mu_i = \int_{0}^{a} x^i \omega(d_qx) \) be the \( i \)th moment of \( \omega \). Then we have

\[
\operatorname{Pf}^{(q^i - 1)}_{[2]}(1 - q^n) \int_{[0,a]^n} \prod_{i} x_i^{i-1} \prod_{i<j} (x_i-x_j)^2 \prod_{i<j} (qx_i-x_j)(x_i-qx_j) \, \omega(d_qx).
\]

**Proof.** If one sets \( \varphi_i(x) = q^{i-1}x^{i-1} \) and \( \psi_i(x) = x^{i-r-1} \) in (3.2), then one obtains

\[
Q_{i,j} = (q^{i-j} - q^j) \int_{0}^{1} x^{i+j+r-2} \omega(d_qx) = (q^{i-j} - q^j)\mu_{i+j+r-2}.
\]

On the other hand, if one substitutes \( \varphi_i(x) \) and \( \psi_i(x) \) as above in (3.1), then one also gets

\[
\det (\phi_{i}(x_j)|\psi_{i}(x_j))_{1 \leq i \leq 2n, \, 1 \leq j \leq n} = \det (q^{i-1}x_j^{i-1}|x_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i \leq 2n, \, 1 \leq j \leq n}
\]

\[
= q^{(2)}(1 - q^r)(x_1 \ldots x_n)^{r+1} \prod_{i<j} (x_i-x_j)^2 \prod_{i<j} (qx_i-x_j)(x_i-qx_j),
\]

by using the Vandermonde determinant \( \det(a_{i-1}^{j-1}) = \prod_{i<j} (a_j - a_i) \). Hence one concludes that

\[
\operatorname{Pf}^{(q^{i-1} - q^j)}_{[2]}(1 - q^n) \int_{0}^{1} x^{i+j+r-2} \prod_{i<j} (x_i-x_j)^2 \prod_{i<j} (qx_i-x_j)(x_i-qx_j) \, \omega(d_qx)
\]

from (3.1). One sees that (3.5) is an easy consequence of this identity.
If we let $q \to 1$ in Corollary 3.3 then we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.4** Let $\psi(dx) = \psi'(x)dx$ be a measure on an interval $[0, a]$, and let $\mu_i = \int_0^a x^i \psi(dx)$ denote the $i$th moment. Then we have

$$
\int_{[0,a]} \prod_{i} x_i^{r+1} \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j)^4 \psi(dx).
$$

If we set $\phi_{s,t}(x) = ix^{s-1}$ and $\psi_{s,t}(x) = x^{t+r-1}$ in Proposition 3.2 as in the proof of Corollary 3.3 then we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.5** Let $\psi(dx) = \psi'(x)dx$ be a measure on an interval $[0, a]$, and let $\mu_i = \int_0^a x^i \psi(dx)$ denote the $i$th moment. Then we have

$$
\int_{[0, a]} \prod_{i} x_i^{r+m} \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j)^{4m} \psi(dx).
$$

## 4 Selberg-Askey integral formula

In this section we give a sketch of another proof of Masao Ishikawa and Jiang Zeng [2013] Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.1** For integers $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, we have

$$
\text{Pf} \left( \prod_{s=1}^{m} \left( (i_{2s} - i_{2s-1} \cdot \mu_{i_{1}+\ldots+i_{2m}+r} \right) \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[a, b]} \prod_{i} x_i^{r+m} \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j)^{4m} \psi(dx).
$$

Let $\omega$ be the measure on $[0, 1]$ defined by

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \omega(dx) = \frac{(aq; q)_\infty}{(abq^2; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (aq)_k (q)_k (aq)_k f(q^k)
$$

which implies

$$
\omega(x) = \frac{1}{1-q} \cdot \frac{(aq, bq; q)_\infty}{(abq^2, q; q)_\infty} \cdot (qx; q)_\infty \cdot x^{a+1},
$$

where $a = q^n$. The $n$th moment is given by

$$
\mu_n = \int_{0}^{1} x^n \omega(dx) = \frac{(aq; q)_n}{(abq^2; q)_n} \cdot (aq)_n (aq)_n (aq)_n \cdot (aq)_n (aq)_n (aq)_n (aq)_n
$$

which is the moment of the Little $q$-Jacobi polynomials [Gasper and Rahman 2004; Koekoek et al. 2010]

$$
p_n(x; a, b; q) = \frac{(aq; q)_n}{(abq^{n+1}; q)_n} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \cdot \left[ q^{-n}, abq^{n+1}; q, xq \right].
$$
The $q$-gamma function is defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ by
\[
\Gamma_q(a) = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^a; q)_\infty} (1 - q)^{1-a}.
\]

First we obtain
\[
\text{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1}) (aq; q)_{i+j+r-2} (abq^2; q)_{i+j+r-2}\right)_1^{\leq i, j \leq 2n} = C \int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{i<j} t_i^{2k} (q^{1-k} t_j/t_i; q)_{2k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(t_i q; q)_\infty}{(t_i q^2; q)_\infty} d_q t = q^{kx(z') + 2k^2(z')} S_n(x, y; q),
\]
where
\[
S_n(x, y; q) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma_q(x + (j-1)k) \Gamma_q(y + (j-1)k) \Gamma_q(jk + 1)}{\Gamma_q(x + y + (n + j - 2)k) \Gamma_q(k + 1)}.
\]

Habsieger [1987] showed that [4.5] implies the following variation”

**Theorem 4.2** (Habsieger [1987]) [4.5] implies
\[
\int_{[0,1]^n} \prod_{i<j} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_j - q^i t_i) (t_j - q^{i-1} t_i) \frac{(t_i q; q)_\infty}{(t_i q^2; q)_\infty} d_q t = n! q^{kx(z') + 2k^2(z')} S_n(x, y; q) \frac{\Gamma_q(n+1)}{\Gamma_q^x(n+1)}.
\]

If one combines (3.5) with this result then one sees that (4.1) follows from (4.7) by using
\[
\frac{S_n(x, y; q)}{\Gamma_q^x(n+1)} = \frac{(1 - q)^n}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{q^{x+y+(n+j-2)k}}{(q^x+(j-1)k, q^x+(j-1)k)}.
\]

5 Al-Salam and Carlitz I,II

In this section we use the standard $q$-exponential functions:
\[
e_q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(x; q)_\infty}, \quad E_q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)}}{(q; q)_n} x^n = (-x; q)_\infty.
\]
Al-Salam and Carlitz defined the sequences \(\{U_n^{(a)}(y; q)\}\) \((a < 0)\) and \(\{V_n^{(a)}(x; q)\}\) of orthogonal polynomials by

\[
\rho_a(x; q)e_q(x)dy = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n^{(a)}(y; q) \frac{x^n}{(q; q)_n},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\rho_a(x; q)}E_q(-xy) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n^{(a)}(y; q) \frac{(-1)^n y^n}{(q; q)_n},
\]

where

\[
\rho_a(x; q) = (x; q)_\infty(a; x; q)_\infty = E_q(-x)E_q(-ax).
\]

These sequences \(\{U_n^{(a)}(y; q)\}\) and \(\{V_n^{(a)}(x; q)\}\) are called the Al-Salam and Carlitz I polynomials and the Al-Salam and Carlitz II polynomials, respectively. The orthogonality relations of these polynomials are given by

\[
\int_a^1 U_m^{(a)}(x; q)U_n^{(a)}(x; q)w_{U}^{(a)}(x; q)dx = (1 - q)(-a)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} (q; q)_n \delta_{m,n},
\]

\[
\int_1^\infty V_m^{(a)}(x; q)V_n^{(a)}(x; q)w_{V}^{(a)}(x; q)dx = (1 - q)a^n q^{-n^2} (q; q)_n \delta_{m,n},
\]

where the weight functions \(w_{U}^{(a)}(x; q)\) and \(w_{V}^{(a)}(x; q)\) are defined by

\[
w_{U}^{(a)}(x; q) = \frac{(qx; q)_{\infty}(\frac{aq}{x}; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}(aq; q)_{\infty}(\frac{q}{a}; q)_{\infty}},
\]

\[
w_{V}^{(a)}(x; q) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}(aq; q)_{\infty}(\frac{q}{a}; q)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}(\frac{x}{a}; q)_{\infty}}.
\]
Let $\text{Theorem 5.1}$ and they are corrected in the following theorem.

In the following theorem in which (5.3) and (5.4) were stated in [Ishikawa et al., 2013, Conjecture 6.1].

Selberg integrals and Hankel determinants

\[ F_n^{(a)}(a; q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right]_q a^k, \quad \text{and } G_n^{(a)}(a; q) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right]_q a^{k(n-k)}. \]

Here \( \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right]_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} \) denotes the \( q \)-binomial coefficient. The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem in which (5.3) and (5.4) were stated in [Ishikawa et al., 2013, Conjecture 6.1]. However, our conjectures in [Ishikawa et al., 2013, Conjecture 6.1] had some mistakes in the power of \( q \), and they are corrected in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1** Let \( F_n(a; q) \) and \( G_n(a; q) \) be as above. Then we have

\[
\text{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})F_{i+j-3}(a; q)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = a^{n(n-1)/2}q^{(n-1)(4n-5)/6} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (q; q)_{2k-1}, \tag{5.3}
\]

\[
\text{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})F_{i+j-2}(a; q)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = a^{n(n-1)/2}q^{(n-1)(4n+1)/3} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (q; q)_{2k-1}, \tag{5.4}
\]

\[
\text{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})G_{i+j-3}(a; q)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = a^{n(n-1)/2}q^{(n-1)(2n-1)/3} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (q; q)_{2k-1}, \tag{5.5}
\]

\[
\text{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})G_{i+j-2}(a; q)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = a^{n(n-1)/2}q^{(n-1)(2n-1)/3} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (q; q)_{2k-1}, \tag{5.6}
\]

In this section we prove this theorem. For that purpose we use

\[
\text{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})F_{i+j+r-2}(a; q)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = \frac{1}{n!} q^{n(n-1)(1-q)^n} \times \int_{[0, 1]^n} \prod_{i<j} \prod_{l=0}^{1} (x_i - q^l x_j)(x_i - q^{-l} x_j) \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{r+1} w_{i}^{(a)}(x_i; q) d_q x, \tag{5.7}
\]

\[
\text{Pf}\left((q^{i-1} - q^{j-1})G_{i+j+r-2}(a; q)\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n} = \frac{1}{n!} q^{n(n-1)(1-q)^n} \times \int_{[1, \infty)^n} \prod_{i<j} \prod_{l=0}^{1} (x_i - q^l x_j)(x_i - q^{-l} x_j) \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{r+1} w_{i}^{(a)}(x_i; q) d_q x. \tag{5.8}
\]

which is a consequence of (5.5). Here we only need the case where \( r = -1, 0 \). Next, let \( \tau_i \) denote the \( q \)-shift operator in the \( i \)th variable, i.e.,

\[
\tau_i f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, q x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n).
\]
Let $M_1$ denote the Macdonald operator defined by

$$ M_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i(t) \tau_i, \quad A_i(t) := \prod_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{tx_i - x_j}{x_i - x_j}, $$

which acts on the ring of the symmetric polynomials of $n$ variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Further we set

$$ E_k := \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^k A_i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial q x_i}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q x_i} := \frac{1 - \tau_i}{(1 - q)x_i}, $$

and we let $\widetilde{M}_1$ denote the operator obtained by replacing $q$ and $t$ by $q^{-1}$ and $t^{-1}$, respectively, in $M_1$. Let $U^{(a)}_{\lambda}(x; q, t)$ denote the symmetric polynomial in the variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, which is defined by

$$ \mathcal{H} U^{(a)}_{\lambda}(x; q, t) = \bar{c}(\lambda) U^{(a)}_{\lambda}(x; q, t). $$

Here $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the linear operator defined by

$$ \mathcal{H} = \widetilde{M}_1 - (1 + a)[E_0, \widetilde{M}_1] + a[E_0, [E_0, \widetilde{M}_1]], $$

and $\bar{c}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{-\lambda_i} t^{-n+1}$. Define the symmetric polynomials $V^{(a)}_{\lambda}(x; q, t)$ by

$$ V^{(a)}_{\lambda}(x; q, t) = U^{(a)}_{\lambda}(x; q^{-1}, t^{-1}). $$

Baker and Forrester (2000) proved

$$ \int_{[1,1]^n} \Delta_2^2(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n} w^{(a)}_{U}(x_i; q) d_q x $$

$$ = (1 - q)^n (-a)^{\frac{k(n-1)}{2}} q^{k^2(\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{k^2(k+1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(q; q)_{k i}}{(q; q)_{k}}, \quad (5.9) $$

$$ \int_{[1,\infty]^n} \Delta_2^2(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n} w^{(a)}_{V}(x_i; q) d_q x $$

$$ = (1 - q)^n a^{\frac{k(n-1)}{2}} q^{-2k^2(\frac{1}{2}) - k^2(\frac{1}{2})} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(q; q)_{k i}}{(q; q)_{k}}, \quad (5.10) $$

where

$$ \Delta_2^2(x) = \prod_{i<j}^{k} (x_i - q^j x_j). $$

Further they proved the orthogonality relations

$$ \int_{[1,\infty]^n} U^{(a)}_{\mu}(x; q, t) U^{(a)}_{\nu}(x; q, t) \Delta_2^2(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n} w^{(a)}_{U}(x_i; q) d_q x = 0, \quad (5.11) $$

$$ \int_{[1,\infty]^n} V^{(a)}_{\mu}(x; q, t) V^{(a)}_{\nu}(x; q, t) \Delta_2^2(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n} w^{(a)}_{V}(x_i; q) d_q x = 0, \quad (5.12) $$
when $\lambda \neq \mu$. We can derive (5.3) from (5.7) and (5.9), and also (5.5) from (5.8) and (5.10). But, here we have no space to state the details. To prove (5.4) and (5.6), we use the $r = 0$ case of (5.7) and (5.8), then expand the product $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i = e_n(x)$ by the symmetric polynomials $U_\lambda^{(a)}(x; q, t)$ or $V_\lambda^{(a)}(x; q, t)$, and use the orthogonality relations (5.11) or (5.12).
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