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Abstract. We show that several of the main structural constants for symmetric functions (Littlewood-Richardson coefficients, Kronecker coefficients, plethysm coefficients, and the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials) share invariance properties related to the operations of taking complements with respect to rectangles and adding rectangles.

Résumé. Nous montrons que plusieurs des principales constantes de structure de la théorie des fonctions symétriques (les coefficients de Littlewood–Richardson, les coefficients de Kronecker, les coefficients du pléthysme, et les polynômes de Kostka–Foulkes) ont en commun des symétries décrites par des opérations de complémentation dans des rectangles et d’ajout de rectangles pour les partitions qui les étiquettent.
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1 Introduction

This paper investigates some invariance properties of four of the main families of coefficients in the theory of symmetric functions: the Kostka numbers (and their deformations, the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials), the Littlewood-Richardson, the Kronecker, and the plethysm coefficients. These coefficients have applications to many different fields of mathematics such as representation theory, invariant theory and algebraic geometry, as well as physics and computer science. The presence of invariance relations often leads to a better understanding of the objects they enumerate, to simplifications in the number of cases in proofs, and in some cases, can be used to simplify computations.

In this paper we present a unified approach that shows some invariance relations for all these families of coefficients. These relations involve two operations on partitions: (i) taking complements in rectangles, or (ii) adding “tall” rectangles. We show that in the language of symmetric polynomials these symmetries...
appear as evaluation at the inverses of the variables, for type (i), and factorization by the product of the variables, for type (ii).

We let \( \Box_{k,a}(\lambda) \) denote the complement of \( \lambda \) with respect to a \( k \times a \) rectangle, as illustrated in Figure 1.

For example in the case of the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients we have shown in Theorem 1 that they satisfy the following invariance relation with respect to taking complements in rectangles:

\[
c^\nu_{\lambda,\mu} = c^\nu_{\Box_{l,n}(\lambda),\Box_{m,n}(\mu)}, \text{ when } \lambda \subseteq (l^n) \text{, } \mu \subseteq (m^n) \text{ and } \nu \subseteq ((l + m)^n)
\]

(see Figure 2). Moreover, in Theorem 2 we show the following invariance relation with respect to the operation of adding rectangles to the indexing partitions:

\[
c^\nu_{\lambda,\mu} = c^\nu_{\lambda+(k^n),\mu}, \text{ when } \nu \text{ and } \lambda \text{ have length at most } n.
\]

Our aim is to show that analogous results hold for the other families of coefficients mentioned earlier. To prove our results we use some identities in the ring of Laurent symmetric functions. The details of our methods is discussed in Section 2.

### 2 Algebraic tools

We assume that the reader is familiar with the various algebraic structures on the space of symmetric functions, \( \text{Sym} \), and in particular, with its main bases. For background information see [9, 13, 17]. We mainly follow the notation of [17], except for the fact that we draw our Ferrers diagrams using the French notation. Let \( \mathcal{P}^+(n) \) be the set of all weakly decreasing sequences \( (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \) of nonnegative integers. When dealing with weakly decreasing sequences of integers, it will be convenient not to distinguish between sequences that differ only by trailing zeros. Therefore \( \mathcal{P}^+(n) \) represents as well the set of integer partitions with length at most \( n \). Given any two integer partitions \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \), \( \lambda \subseteq \mu \) stands for the inclusion
of the corresponding Ferrers diagrams, \( \lambda' \) is the conjugate of \( \lambda \), and \( \lambda + \mu \) is the partition whose parts are the \( \lambda_i + \mu_i \). We use \( \ell(\lambda) \) to denote the number of nonzero parts of \( \lambda \), i.e., its length. Last, \( (k^n) \) stands for the sequence with \( n \) terms all equal to \( k \).

Let \( X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \) be a countable set of independent variables. For \( n \geq 0 \), we set \( X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \). The ring of symmetric polynomials, \( \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]^{S_n} \), admits as a linear basis the Schur polynomials, \( s_\lambda[X_n] = s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \), indexed by all \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+(n) \). They are defined by

\[
s_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)}[X] = \frac{\det(x_i^{\lambda_j} + 1)}{\det(x_i^{\lambda_j} - 1)}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.
\]

This is Jacobi’s definition of Schur polynomials as “bialternants” [13 I.§3.(3.1)].

Let us consider now \( \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n} \), the ring of symmetric Laurent polynomials in \( n \) variables. Let \( \mathcal{P}(n) \) be the set of all weakly decreasing sequences of integers \( (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) \). (Compared to the definition of \( \mathcal{P}^+(n) \), we dropped the requirement of nonnegativity). We define the Schur Laurent polynomials \( s_\lambda[X_n] \), for \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) \), again by (1). Denote by \( X_n^\vee \) the set of the inverses of the variables, i.e., \( X_n^\vee = \{\frac{1}{x_1}, \frac{1}{x_2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{x_n}\} \). For any sequence \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) \) and any integer \( k \), define the new sequence

\[ \square_{k,n}(\lambda) = (k - \lambda_n, k - \lambda_{n-1}, \ldots, k - \lambda_1). \]

This sequence is also in \( \mathcal{P}(n) \). This extends the definition given in the introduction, when \( \lambda \) is a partition that fits in the diagram of \( (k^n) \). In that case, \( \square_{k,n}(\lambda) \) is also a partition, “complement” of \( \lambda \) in the rectangle. It is immediate to check from (1) the following properties:

**Lemma 1.** For all \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) \) and all integers \( k \), we have

\[
s_{\lambda+(k^n)}[X_n] = (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^k s_\lambda[X_n].
\]

and

\[
s_\lambda[X_n^\vee] = s_{\square_{0,n}(\lambda)}[X_n].
\]

Formula (3) is well known, see [9] (I.4.12.), [17] Ex. 7.41 or [20] B].

**Lemma 2.** The Schur Laurent polynomials \( s_\lambda[X_n] \), for \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n) \), are a basis for \( \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n} \).

**Representation–theoretic interpretation of (3)**

Let \( V \) be a complex vector space of dimension \( n \). The Schur polynomials in \( n \) variables are the formal characters of the irreducible polynomial representations of \( GL(V) \), the \( S_\lambda(V) \) for \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+(n) \). The Schur Laurent polynomials are the formal characters of its rational irreducible representations.

Relation (2) corresponds to the isomorphism \( S_{\lambda+(k^n)}(V) \cong S_\lambda \otimes D_k \), where \( D_k \) is the one dimensional representation where \( g \in GL(V) \) acts as the multiplication by \( \det(g)^k \).

The Schur Laurent polynomial \( s_\lambda[X_n^\vee] \) is the formal character of the dual representation \( S_\lambda(V^*) \). The identity (3) means that \( S_\lambda(V^*) \cong S_{\square_{0,n}(\lambda)}(V) \).

We will now exploit (2) and (3) systematically to produce symmetries for the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients, the Kronecker coefficients and the plethysm coefficients. In Section 6 we will extend (2) and (3) to Hall–Littlewood polynomials, to produce symmetries for the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials.
3 Littlewood–Richardson coefficients

The Littlewood–Richardson coefficients are the structure constants in the ring of symmetric functions with respect to the basis of Schur functions.

\[s_\lambda s_\mu = \sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu s_\nu\]

In representation theory, the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients describe the multiplicities of tensor products of irreducible representations of general linear groups, and also the multiplicities in the decompositions of certain induced representations of the symmetric group. In algebraic geometry, they are the structure coefficients when multiplying Schubert classes in the cohomology ring of the Grassmannian.

In this section we will prove the rectangular invariances for the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients. Let \(n\) be a nonnegative integer and \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) be two partitions. Specializing at \(X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}\), we get

\[s_\lambda[X_n]s_\mu[X_n] = \sum_{\nu: \ell(\nu) \leq n} c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu s_\nu[X_n].\]  \((4)\)

If \(\ell(\lambda)\) or \(\ell(\mu)\) is bigger than \(n\), then the right–hand side is zero. Then all coefficients \(c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu\) in the right–hand side are zero. We assume now that \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) have length at most \(n\). Let us replace each \(x_i\) with \(1/x_i\). We obtain \(s_\lambda[X_n^\omega]s_\mu[X_n^\omega] = \sum_{\nu: \ell(\nu) \leq n} c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu s_\nu[X_n^\omega]\). By \((5)\), this can be written as

\[s_{\square_0,n(\lambda)}[X_n]s_{\square_0,n(\mu)}[X_n] = \sum_{\nu: \ell(\nu) \leq n} c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu s_{\square_0,n(\nu)}[X_n].\]

Let \(l \geq \lambda_1\) and \(m \geq \mu_1\). Let us multiply both sides with \((x_1x_2 \cdots x_n)^{l+m}\). We get, by \((2)\),

\[s_{\square_l,n(\lambda)}[X_n]s_{\square_m,n(\mu)}[X_n] = \sum_{\nu: \ell(\nu) \leq n} c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu s_{\square_{l+m},n(\nu)}[X_n].\]

This implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \(l, m, n\) be nonnegative integers and \(\lambda, \mu\) and \(\nu\) be three partitions such that \(\ell(\nu) \leq n\), \(\lambda_1 \leq l\) and \(\mu_1 \leq m\). If \(\lambda \subseteq (l^n)\), \(\mu \subseteq (m^n)\) and \(\nu \subseteq ((l+m)^n)\) then

\[c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu = c_{\square_{l+m},n(\nu)}[X_n].\]

In the other cases, \(c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu = 0\).

Note that the involution \(\omega\) (that maps the elementary symmetric function \(e_i\) to the complete sum \(h_i\), see \([12]\) I.52) yields an analogous invariance relation with respect to three rectangles of the same width, instead of height.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain the following well-known identity for skew Schur functions. Let \(\lambda \subseteq (m^n)\), then

\[s_{m^n}[X_n] = s_{m^n}\lambda[X_n].\]

In addition, by multiplying \((4)\) and \((x_1x_2 \cdots x_n)^k\) and using \((2)\) we obtain the following translational invariance relation for \(c_{\lambda,\mu}^\nu\).
Theorem 2. Let \( n \geq 0 \) and \( k \) be integers and \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \) be partitions such that \( n \geq \ell(\nu) \) and \( \lambda + (k^n) \) is a partition (i.e. \( \lambda_n + k \geq 0 \)). If \( \ell(\lambda) \leq n \) and \( \nu + (k^n) \) is a partition, then

\[
c^\nu_{\lambda,\mu} = c^\nu_{\lambda+(k^n),\mu},
\]

else \( c^\nu_{\lambda,\mu} = 0 \).

By means of the invariance relation \( c^\nu_{\lambda,\mu} = c^\nu_{\mu,\lambda} \), we also have that \( c^\nu_{\lambda,\mu} = c^{\nu+(k^l)}_{\lambda+(k^l),\mu} \).

4 Kronecker coefficients

The Kronecker coefficients describe the multiplicities of tensor products of irreducible representations of symmetric groups, and also the multiplicities in the decompositions of certain induced representations of the general linear group. Understanding the Kronecker coefficients is a major open problem in the representation theory of the symmetric and the general linear group. These coefficients also appear naturally in some interesting problems in quantum information theory \[11, 12\], geometric complexity theory \[4, 2\] and invariant theory.

In this section, we show how to use Formula (3) to derive a rectangular invariance relation for the Kronecker coefficients. We start with the following description of the Kronecker coefficients: let \( X \) and \( Y \) be two independent set of variables \( x_1, x_2, \ldots \) and \( y_1, y_2, \ldots \). Let \( f[XY] \) stand for the evaluation of the symmetric function \( f \) at all products \( x_i y_j \), this is a symmetric function in \( X \) and in \( Y \) and expands in the basis of the \( s_\lambda[X] s_\mu[Y] \). Then, for all partitions \( \nu \), (see \[13, I. §7.(7.9)\])

\[
s_\nu[XY] = \sum_{\lambda,\mu} g(\lambda,\mu,\nu) s_\lambda[X] s_\mu[Y].
\]

The similar proof as for the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients can be used to show the following rectangular and translational invariance relations for the Kronecker coefficients. For proofs and more details see \[3\].

Theorem 3. Let \( l, m \) and \( n \) be three nonnegative integers and \( \lambda, \mu \) and \( \nu \) be three partitions such that \( \lambda_1 \leq l, \mu_1 \leq m, \nu_1 \leq n \). If \( \lambda \subseteq (l^mn), \mu \subseteq (m^ln) \) and \( \nu \subseteq (n^lm) \), then

\[
g(\lambda,\mu,\nu) = g(\square_{l, mn}(\lambda), \square_{m, ln}(\mu), \square_{n, lm}(\nu)).
\]

In the other cases, \( g(\lambda,\mu,\nu) = 0 \).

Theorem 4. Let \( \lambda, \mu \) and \( \nu \) be partitions. Let \( l \geq 0, m \geq 0 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) be integers such that \( l \geq \ell(\lambda), m \geq \ell(\mu) \) and \( \nu + (k^lm) \) is a partition (i.e. has no negative components). If \( \ell(\nu) \leq lm \) and \( \lambda + ((km)^l) \) and \( \mu + ((kl)^m) \) are partitions, then

\[
g(\lambda,\mu,\nu) = g(\lambda + ((km)^l), \mu + ((kl)^m), \nu + ((k^lm)))
\]

and else \( g(\lambda,\mu,\nu) = 0 \).

An important class of Kronecker coefficients are those indexed by rectangular partitions. They are important in quantum information theory to model entanglement \[11, 12\] and also to advance the program of Geometric Complexity Theory \[4\]. As a corollary we easily recover the result of Stembridge \[20\] (C.1).
Corollary 1. Let \( k \) and \( d \) be nonnegative integers. If \( k \leq d^2 \),
\[
g((d^k), (d^k), (d^k)) = g((d^{d^2-k}), (d^{d^2-k}), (d^{d^2-k}))
\]
and when \( k > d^2 \), this Kronecker coefficient is zero.

**Weight reduction for Kronecker coefficients**

The naive algorithm to compute a Kronecker coefficient \( g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \) consists in converting Schur functions in power sums. Indeed, in the power sums basis, Kronecker products are trivial. This is the algorithm used, for instance, currently in SAGE [18] and the Maple package SF [19]. The cost of the computation depends then mainly on the weight of \( \lambda, \mu \) and \( \nu \). (Note that other algorithms are available and efficient for partitions of short height, regardless of the weight, see for instance [6]).

Theorem 3 shows that \( g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \) is equal to other Kronecker coefficients, that may be of smaller weight. Precisely, let \( N = |\lambda| = |\mu| = |\nu| \). Then the weight of \( g(\square_{l,m,n}(\lambda), \square_{m,l,n}(\mu), \square_{n,l,m}(\nu)) \) (i.e. the weight of the indexing partitions) is \( lmn - N \). We can take \( l = \lambda_1, m = \mu_1 \) and \( n = \nu_1 \), the computation is reduced to the computation of a Kronecker coefficient of weight \( \lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1 - N \). Last, we may make use of the symmetries under conjugation \( g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = g(\lambda, \mu', \nu') = g(\lambda', \mu, \nu') = g(\lambda', \mu', \nu) \) to reduce the computation to the computation of a Kronecker coefficient whose weight is the smallest among

\[
K \frac{\lambda_1}{\ell(\lambda)} - N, \quad K \frac{\mu_1}{\ell(\mu)} - N, \quad K \frac{\nu_1}{\ell(\nu)} - N, \quad \text{and} \quad K \frac{\lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1}{\ell(\lambda)\ell(\mu)\ell(\nu)} - N
\]

**Representation–theoretic interpretation of Corollary 7.**

Let \( V \) be a complex vector space of dimension \( d \). Consider the exterior algebra: \( \Lambda(V \otimes V \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d^2} \Lambda^i(V \otimes V \otimes V). \) The group \( GL(V) \times GL(V) \times GL(V) \) acts on this exterior algebra. The Kronecker coefficient \( g(\lambda', \mu', \nu') \) is the multiplicity of its irreducible representation \( S_{\lambda}(V) \otimes S_{\mu}(V) \otimes S_{\nu}(V) \). In particular, \( \Lambda^i(V \otimes V \otimes V) \) contains non–trivial invariants for \( SL(V) \times SL(V) \times SL(V) \) only if there exists an integer \( k \) such that \( i = kd \). Then the dimension of the subspace of invariants is the rectangular Kronecker coefficient \( g((d^k), (d^k), (d^k)) \). Equation (5) follows from the \( SL(V) \times SL(V) \times SL(V) \) natural isomorphism \( \Lambda^i(V^* \otimes V^* \otimes V^*) \cong \Lambda^{d-i}(V \otimes V \otimes V). \)

5 **Plethysm coefficients**

The plethysm of two symmetric functions \( f \) and \( g \) is denoted by \( f[g] \). This operation was introduced by Littlewood [10] in the context of compositions of representations of the general linear groups. Plethysm has important applications to physics [23] and invariant theory [7]. The plethysm coefficients are the coefficients \( a^\nu_{\lambda, \mu} \) of the plethysm of two Schur functions, expanded in the Schur basis:

\[
s_{\lambda}[s_{\mu}] = \sum_{\nu} a^\nu_{\lambda, \mu} s_{\nu}.
\]

While there are algorithms for computing \( a^\nu_{\lambda, \mu} \) (see for example [5] [24]), no satisfying combinatorial description has been found. In this section we describe two rectangular symmetries satisfied by the plethysm coefficients.
It will be useful to extend the plethysm operation to the case when \( f \) is a symmetric function but \( g = g(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \) is any formal series. This is done by means of the following two rules: (1) the map \( f \mapsto f[g] \) is a morphism of algebras; and (2) for any positive integer \( n \), \( p_n[g] = g(x_1^n, x_2^n, \ldots) \) (here \( p_n \) is the \( n \)-th power sum symmetric function).

This determines \( f[g] \) for any symmetric function \( f \), since the algebra of symmetric functions with rational coefficients is freely generated by the power sums \( p_n \). When \( g \) is a symmetric function, \( f[g] \) defined as above coincides with the plethysm of \( f \) with \( g \), see [13, I. §8.] or [17, Def. A.2.6]. We will make use of the following property.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( f \) be a homogeneous symmetric function of degree \( L \) and \( g(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \) be a formal series. Let \( x^w \) be a monomial in \( x \). Then \( f[x^w g] = x^{Lw} f[g] \).

**First pair of symmetries for plethysm coefficients**

Let \( X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) be a set of \( n \) variables, where \( n \) is a nonnegative integer, and let \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) be two partitions. Evaluating (5) at \( X_n \) we get \( s_\lambda[s_\mu[X_n]] = \sum s_\nu \cdot \ell(\nu) \leq n \ a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} s_\nu[X_n] \). Applying similar ideas as for the Littlewood-Richardson and Kronecker coefficients we obtain the following symmetries for plethysm coefficients, for details see [3].

**Theorem 5.** Fix nonnegative integers \( m \) and \( n \) and let \( \lambda, \mu \) and \( \nu \) be partitions such that \( \mu \subseteq (m^n) \) and \( \ell(\nu) \leq n \). If \( \nu \subseteq (m|\lambda|)^n \), then
\[
a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = a_{\lambda,\nu}^{\mu(n|\lambda|).}
\]
Otherwise \( a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = 0 \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \lambda, \mu \) and \( \nu \) be partitions. Let \( n \geq 0 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) be integers such that \( \ell(\nu) \leq n \) and \( \mu + (k^n) \) is a partition.

If \( \nu + ((k|\lambda|)^n) \) is a partition, then
\[
a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu+(k|\lambda|)^n} = a_{\lambda,\mu+(k^n)}^\nu.
\]
Second pair of symmetries for plethysm coefficients

There is another way to exploit the alphabet of inverses for plethysm coefficients in order to obtain another rectangular invariance relation. Recall that the combinatorial definition of Schur functions says that,

\[ s_\mu = \sum_T x^w(T) \]

where the sum is carried over all semistandard tableaux \( T \) of shape \( \mu \). The exponent \( w(T) \) is the weight of \( T \), i.e., its \( i \)-th component is the number of occurrences of \( i \) in \( T \). For details see [17 §7.10.1].

If \( f \) is a symmetric function and \( g \) a sum of monomials, then \( f[g] \) is the specialization of \( f \) at these monomials [13, I. §8]: \( f[x^{\omega_1} + x^{\omega_2} + \ldots] = f(x^{\omega_1}, x^{\omega_2}, \ldots) \). This holds in particular for \( g = s_\mu[X] \).

A careful analysis of the evaluation at the alphabet of inverses leads to the following results. See [3] for further details.

**Theorem 7.** Let \( l \) and \( n \) be nonnegative integers and \( \mu, \nu, \) and \( \lambda \) be partitions such that \( \lambda_1 \leq l \), and \( \ell(\nu) \leq n \). Let \( r \) be the number of semistandard tableaux of shape \( \mu \) and entries in \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Then \( q = r|\mu|/n \) is an integer, and we have that if \( \lambda \subseteq (l^r) \) and \( \nu \subseteq ((qk)^n) \),

\[ a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu+((qk)^n)} \]

and otherwise \( a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = 0 \).

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \lambda, \mu \) and \( \nu \) be partitions. Let \( n \geq 0 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) be integers such that \( \ell(\nu) \leq n \). Let \( r \) and \( q \) be defined as in Theorem [7] Assume that \( \lambda + (k^r) \) is a partition. If \( \ell(\lambda) \leq r \) and \( \nu + ((qk)^n) \) is a partition, then

\[ a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu+((qk)^n)} = a_{\lambda+(k^r),\mu}^{\nu} \]

else \( a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu+((qk)^n)} = 0 \).

**Remark.** The number \( r = \#T_\mu(n) \) is given by the hook–content formula (see [17 pg. 376]).

**Weight reduction for Plethysm coefficients**

As for Kronecker products (see [4]), plethysms are trivial in the basis of power sums. Plethysm coefficients can thus be computed by means of conversions to the power sums basis (this is done this way in SAGE and SF [18,19]). When performing such a computation, it is very helpful to reduce the weight of the symmetric functions involved. This can be done, in some cases, by means of Theorem [5]. The weight for the plethysm coefficient \( a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} \) is \( N = |\nu| = |\lambda| \cdot |\mu| \). Theorem [5] shows that this plethysm coefficient is equal to another plethysm coefficient with weight \( \mu \ell(\nu)|\lambda| = N \). We can also make use of the symmetries ([13 I.§8.Ex.1(a)])

\[ a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} = \begin{cases} a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu'} & \text{when } |\mu| \text{ is even,} \\ a_{\lambda',\mu'}^{\nu'} & \text{when } |\mu| \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \]

Set \( K = \ell(\mu)\ell(\nu)|\lambda| \). We can obtain, therefore, a reduction to the weight: \( K \cdot \min \left( \frac{\mu}{\ell(\mu)}, \frac{\nu}{\ell(\nu)} \right) = N \).
6 Kostka–Foulkes polynomials

The Kostka-Foulkes polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ are the coefficients that appear in the decompositions of Schur functions in the basis of Hall–Littlewood polynomials $P_{\mu}(X; t)$:

$$s_{\lambda}[X] = \sum_{\mu} K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) \ P_{\mu}(X; t).$$

They are deformations of the Kostka numbers. We recover the Kostka numbers by evaluating the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials at $t = 1$.

On the other hand, their specialization at a finite set of variables $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$, with $n \geq \ell(\mu)$ is given [13, III.(2.1)] by

$$P_{\mu}(X_n; t) = \frac{1}{v_{\mu,n}(t)} \sum_{w \in S_n} w \left( x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \prod_{i<j} \frac{x_i - tx_j}{x_i - x_j} \right),$$

where $w \in S_n$ permutes the variables $x_i$ and $v_{\mu,n}(t) = \prod_i \prod_{r=1}^{m_i(\mu)} \frac{1-t^r}{1-t}$, and $m_i$ is the number of occurrences of $i$ in the sequence $\mu$, once it has been padded with zeros to get length $n$.

As in the case of Schur polynomials, this definition still makes sense perfectly when $\mu \in P(n)$ (with possible negative coordinates). We get the following generalization of (3):

**Lemma 4.** Let $\mu$ be a weakly decreasing sequence of integers, of length $n$, and $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$. We have

$$P_{\mu}(X_n; t) = P_{\mu(0),n}(\mu),$$

and for any integer $k$,

$$P_{\mu+(k^n)}(X_n; t) = (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^k \cdot P_{\mu}(X_n; t).$$

Using Lemma 4 and (6) we get the following result.

**Theorem 9.** Let $k$ and $n$ be nonnegative integers.

Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be partitions such that $\lambda_1 \leq k$ and $\ell(\mu) \leq n$. If $\lambda \subseteq (k^n)$ and $\mu \subseteq (k^n)$ then

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = K_{\mu(0),n}(\lambda), \mu(0),n(t).$$

Else $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = 0$.

Specializing (5) at $X_n$ and multiplying with $(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^k$, and using (2) and (6), we get the following result.

**Theorem 10.** Let $n$ and $k$ be integers, with $n \geq 0$. Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be partitions, with $\ell(\mu) \leq n$ and such that $\lambda + (k^n)$ is a partition.

If $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ and $\mu + (k^n)$ is a partition then

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = K_{\lambda+(k^n),\mu+(k^n)}(t),$$

else $K_{\lambda,\mu}(t) = 0$. 
7 Final Remarks

1. All the symmetries described in this extended abstract involve the operations of (i) taking complements in rectangles, or (ii) adding “tall” rectangles to the parts. They can also be shown to hold using the language of representation theory. The symmetries of type (i) actually follow from duality between representations of general linear groups, and those of type (ii) from factoring by determinant representations.

2. Other invariances for the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients, such as $c_{\nu,\lambda,\mu} = c_{\mu,\lambda,\nu}$ and $c_{\nu,\lambda,\mu} = c_{m,n-(\mu)}^{m,n-(\lambda)}$, have been extensively studied. In particular there are several bijective proofs for them, see [14, 15, 21] and the references therein. These other invariances, that generate a full symmetric group $S_3$, are also obvious in the setting of Schubert calculus, since the numbers $c_{\lambda,\nu,\mu}$ interpret as triple intersections of Schubert varieties [8, §4, Eq. (23)]. We believe that it would be interesting to find a bijective proof of Theorem [1].

3. The invariance relation presented in Theorem [1] is probably folklore, but we did not find it in the literature in the way presented here. It is, however, equivalent to the invariance relation mentioned in [1] §2. rem.(a)], as the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup in a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S_3$ group of symmetries (the factor $S_3$ is the group of other invariances mentioned above in Remark 2).

4. The identity presented in Theorem [2] is very easily established from the combinatorial descriptions of the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients (e.g. the Littlewood–Richardson rule). The analogous identities described for Kronecker and plethysm coefficients, for which akin combinatorial descriptions are unavailable, are then more difficult to prove without Schur polynomials (or equivalent representation–theoretic considerations).

5. The invariance relation described in [3] is equivalent to the one found by Stembridge [20]. The method is basically the same, except for the presentation: where Stembridge uses representations of general linear groups we use their formal characters (symmetric Laurent polynomials). Our version is slightly more symmetric.

6. The translational invariance for the Kronecker coefficients described in Theorem [4] is well-known. It appears, for instance in [22 Theorem 3.1], [4 Lemma 2.1].

7. The bijection in the solution of exercise 7.41 in [17] gives a bijective proof for the specialization of Theorem [9] to the Kostka numbers. But this does not generalize to a bijective proof for the identity for the Kostka-Foulkes polynomials. The authors would like to see a bijective proof of this result.

8. The invariance relation described in Theorem [9] also follows from a much more elaborate result by Shimozono and Weyman on the Poincaré polynomials of graded characters of isotopic components of a natural family of $GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$–modules supported in the closure of a nilpotent conjugacy class [16 Eq. (2.16)].
9. The Macdonald polynomials $P_{\lambda}(X^n; q, t)$, defined in [13] Ch. VI, satisfy the relation

$$(x_1 \cdots x_n)^k P_{\lambda}(X^n; q, t) = P_{\Delta_k}(\lambda)(X; q, t).$$

Hence one can obtain similar relations for coefficients related to these basis. The results of this investigation will appear as a separate note.
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