

Brylawski's Decomposition of NBC Complexes of Abstract Convex Geometries and Their Associated Algebras

Kenji Kashiwabara¹ and Masataka Nakamura¹

¹ Department of Systems Science, University of Tokyo, Komaba 3-8, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan

We introduce a notion of a *broken circuit* and an *NBC complex* for an (abstract) convex geometry. Based on these definitions, we shall show the analogues of the Whitney-Rota's formula and Brylawski's decomposition theorem for broken circuit complexes on matroids for convex geometries. We also present an Orlik-Solomon type algebra on a convex geometry, and show the NBC generating theorem. This note is on the same line as the studies in [10, 11, 12].

Keywords: broken circuit, characteristic polynomial, NBC basis theorem

1 Closure Systems, Matroids, and Convex Geometries

A collection $K \subseteq 2^E$ of subsets of a finite set E is a *closure system* if

- (1) $E \in K$,
- (2) $X, Y \in K \implies X \cap Y \in K$.

An element of K is called a *closed set*. A closure system determines a closure operator

$$\sigma(A) = \bigcap_{X \in K, A \subseteq X} X \quad (A \subseteq E). \quad (1.1)$$

An element in $\bigcap \{X : X \in K\} = \sigma(\emptyset)$ is a *loop*, and K is *loop-free* if it has no loops.

A map $Ex : 2^E \rightarrow 2^E$ defined by $Ex(A) = \{e \in A : e \notin \sigma(A \setminus e)\}$ ($A \subseteq E$) is an *extreme function*. We say that an element in $Ex(A)$ is an *extreme element* of A , and we call an extreme element of the entire set E a *coloop*. A subset $A \subseteq E$ is an *independent set* if $Ex(A) = A$. A set which is not independent is *dependent*, and a minimal dependent set is called a *circuit*. It is easy to see that any subset of an independent set is independent.

When a closure operator satisfies the Steinitz-McLane exchange property below,

$$\text{if } x, y \notin \sigma(A) \text{ and } y \in \sigma(A \cup x), \text{ then } x \in \sigma(A \cup y) \quad (x, y \in E, A \subseteq E), \quad (1.2)$$

then the corresponding closure system is the set of flats (closed sets) of a matroid M on E , and vice versa. The notions of an independent set and a circuit introduced above as a closure system agree with the ordinary definitions of matroid theory.

Let M be a matroid on E , and suppose we have a linear order ω on E . When C is a circuit of M and e is the minimum element in C with respect to ω , we call $C \setminus e$ a *broken circuit*.

A subset of E is *nbc-independent* if it contains no broken circuits of M . Evidently an nbc-independent set is an independent set of M . The collection of nbc-independent sets forms a simplicial complex $NBC(M, \omega)$, which is called a *broken circuit complex* of M (with respect to ω).

When the closure operator satisfies the anti-exchange property below

$$\text{if } x, y \notin \sigma(A) \text{ and } y \in \sigma(A \cup x), \text{ then } x \notin \sigma(A \cup y) \quad (x, y \in E, A \subseteq E), \quad (1.3)$$

the closure system K is called an (*abstract*) *convex geometry*. Convex geometries arise from various combinatorial objects such as affine point configurations, chordal graphs, posets, semi-lattices, searches on a rooted graph, and so on. (See [4, 9].)

Since a convex geometry itself is a closure system, we have the corresponding definitions of an independent set and a circuit for a convex geometry. In a circuit of a convex geometry there exists uniquely an element that is not extreme. (In a circuit of a matroid there is no element that is extreme.) That is, a circuit C of a convex geometry contains a unique element e such that $Ex(C) = C \setminus e$. We say that e is the *root* of C , and $X = C \setminus e$ is a *broken circuit* with respect to the root e . And (X, e) is a *rooted circuit*. Let us call a set *nbc-independent* if it contains no broken circuit. The collection of nbc-independent sets forms a simplicial complex, which is the *NBC complex* of K denoted by $NBC(K)$.

Note that to determine a broken circuit for a matroid it is required to assume a linear order on the underlying set, while there is no need to suppose such an order when we define a broken circuit for a convex geometry.

2 Whitney-Rota's Formula and Its Analogue

2.1 Matroid

The NBC complexes of matroids appear in the Whitney-Rota's formula. Let $\mathcal{L}(M)$ be the lattice consisting of the closed sets (flats) of M . The characteristic polynomial $p(M; \lambda)$ of M is defined by

$$p(\lambda; M) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}(M)} \mu(\sigma(\emptyset), X) \lambda^{r(E) - r(X)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the Whitney-Rota's formula for matroids is described as

Theorem 2.1 (Rota [14]) For an arbitrary linear order ω on E , we have

$$p(\lambda; M) = \sum_{X \in NBC(M, \omega)} (-1)^{|X|} \lambda^{r(E) - r(X)}. \quad (2.2)$$

2.2 Convex Geometry

Let K be a loop-free convex geometry on a finite set E . The characteristic function of K is

$$p(\lambda; K) = \sum_{X \in K} \mu_K(\emptyset, X) \lambda^{|E| - |X|} \quad (2.3)$$

where μ_K is the Möbius function of the lattice K . A set which is both closed and independent is a *free set*. The collection of the free sets constitutes a simplicial complex, called a free complex [3]. A free complex plays an important role in the counting formula of the interior points of an affine point configuration proved by Klain [8], and Edelman and Reiner [5]. A free complex of a convex geometry can be revealed to be equal to its NBC complex. That is,

Theorem 2.2 A subset of E is a free set if and only if it is nbc-independent. Equivalently, the free complex of a convex geometry coincides with its NBC complex.

Edelman [3] explicitly determined the values of μ_K as:

Lemma 2.1 (Edelman [3]) For a closed set $X \in K$,

$$\mu_K(\emptyset, X) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|X|} & \text{if } X \text{ is free,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 immediately give rise to the Whitney-Rota's formula for convex geometry:

Theorem 2.3 For a convex geometry K and the characteristic polynomial (2.3), it holds that

$$p(\lambda; K) = \sum_{X \in NBC(K)} (-1)^{|X|} \lambda^{|E|-|X|}. \quad (2.5)$$

3 Brylawskis Decomposition and Its Analogue

3.1 Matroid

Brylawski [2] showed a direct-sum decomposition theorem of NBC complex of a matroid below.

Theorem 3.1 (Brylawski [2]) Let (M, ω) be an ordered matroid, and x be the maximum element with respect to ω . Then

$$NBC(M, \omega) = NBC(M \setminus x, \omega) \uplus (NBC(M/x, \omega) * x) \quad (3.1)$$

where $NBC(M/x, \omega) * x = \{A \cup x : A \in NBC(M/x, \omega)\}$

3.2 Convex Geometry

Let K be a convex geometry on E . For a coloop e , $K \setminus e = \{X : X \in K, e \notin X\}$ is a convex geometry on $E \setminus e$, which is a *deletion* of e from K . For any element $e \in E$, $K/e = \{X \setminus e : X \in K, e \in X\}$ is a convex geometry on $E \setminus e$, which is a *contraction* of e from K . We have Brylawski's decomposition theorem for convex geometries as

Theorem 3.2 For a coloop $x \in E$ of a convex geometry K , we have

$$NBC(K) = NBC(K \setminus x) \uplus (NBC(K/x) * x) \quad (3.2)$$

4 Orlik-Solomon Algebra and Its Analogues

4.1 Matroid

An NBC complex is known to provide a linear basis of the Orlik-Solomon algebra, which we shall describe below. Suppose $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Taking e_1, \dots, e_n as generators, we denote a graded external algebra over the free module $\bigoplus_{e \in E} \mathbb{Z}e$ by $\bigwedge E = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge^i E$. A linear map $\partial : \bigwedge E \rightarrow \bigwedge E$ is defined by

- (1) $\partial_0 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (0)$, (2) $\partial_1 : \bigwedge^1 E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $\partial(e) = 1$ ($e \in E$),
 (3) for $k = 2, \dots, n$:

$$\partial_k : \bigwedge^k E \rightarrow \bigwedge^{k-1} E, \quad \partial_k(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_j}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$$

Although it is a little abuse of terminology, for the sake of simplicity, we associate a term $e_X = x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_t$ in $\bigwedge E$ with each subset $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\} \subseteq E$.

Suppose $I(M)$ to be an ideal generated by $\{\partial(e_C) : C \text{ is a circuit of } M \text{ with } |C| \geq 2\} \cup \{e : e \text{ is a loop of } M\}$. Then the *Orlik-Solomon algebra* of M is defined as

$$OS(M) = \left(\bigwedge E \right) / I(M). \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 4.1 (NBC basis theorem for the Orlik-Solomon algebra [1], [13]) Let M be a matroid on E , and ω be an arbitrary linear order on the underlying set. Then $\{e_X : X \in NBC(M, \omega)\}$ is a linear basis of module $OS(M)$.

4.2 Convex Geometry

Suppose K to be a loop-free convex geometry on $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. The graded external algebra $\bigwedge E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \bigwedge^i E$ and a linear map $\partial : \bigwedge E \rightarrow \bigwedge E$ are defined in the same way as before. And let $I(K)$ be the ideal in $\bigwedge E$ generated by $\{\partial(e_C) : C \text{ is a circuit of } K\}$, and let us define an *Orlik-Solomon type algebra of a convex geometry K* by

$$OS(K) = \left(\bigwedge E \right) / I(K). \quad (4.2)$$

It can be shown that $\{e_X : X \in NBC(K)\}$ is a linear generating set of $OS(K)$. That is, although the NBC basis theorem (Theorem 4.1) does not hold for $OS(K)$, we have a weaker form, the NBC generating theorem, below.

Theorem 4.2 An arbitrary element in $OS(K)$ can be represented as a linear combination of the terms in $\{e_X : X \in NBC(K)\}$.

There is an alternative definition of an Orlik-Solomon type algebra so that the NBC basis theorem would be satisfied. Let $J(K)$ be the ideal generated by $\{e_X : X \text{ is a broken circuit of } K\}$, and let us define an algebra

$$A(K) = \left(\bigwedge E \right) / J(K) \quad (4.3)$$

By definition $\{e_X : X \in NBC(K)\}$ is necessarily a linear basis of module $A(K)$.

Hence the decomposition of Theorem 3.2 readily implies the short exact split sequence theorem for $A(K)$.

Theorem 4.3 For a coloop x of a convex geometry K ,

$$0 \rightarrow A(K \setminus x) \xrightarrow{i_x} A(K) \xrightarrow{p_x} A(K/x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.4)$$

is an exact short split sequence.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Prof. M. Hachimori and Dr. Y. Kawahara for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] A. Björner, “The homology and shellability of matroids and geometric lattices.” in: N. White ed., *Matroid Applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992, 226–283.
- [2] T. Brylawski, “The broken-circuit complex,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 234 (1977) 417–433.
- [3] P. H. Edelman, “Abstract convexity and meet-distributive lattices,” *Contemporary Math.* 57 (1986) 127–150.
- [4] P. H. Edelman and R. E. Jamison, “The theory of convex-geometries,” *Geometriae dedicata* 19 (1985) 247–270.
- [5] P.H. Edelman and V. Reiner, “Counting interior points of a point configuration,” *Disc. Math.* 23 (2000) 1–13.
- [6] M. Falk, “Combinatorial and algebraic structure in Orlik-Solomon algebras,” *Europ. J. Combi.* 22 (2001) 687–698.
- [7] Y. Kawahara, “On matroids and Orlik-Solomon algebras,” *Annals of Combinatorics* 8 (2004) 63–80.
- [8] D. A. Klain, “An Euler relation for valuations on polytopes,” *Adv. in Math.* 147 (1999) 1–34.
- [9] B. Korte, L. Lovász and R. Schrader, *Greedoids*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [10] M. Nakamura, “Excluded-minor characterizations of antimatroids arisen from posets and graph searches,” *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 129/2-3 (2003) 487–498.
- [11] Y. Okamoto and M. Nakamura, “The forbidden minor characterization of line-search antimatroids of rooted digraphs,” *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 131 (2003) 523–533.
- [12] M. Nakamura, “The deletion-contraction rule and Whitney-Rota’s formula for the characteristic polynomials of convex geometries,” preprint.
- [13] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*, Springer, 1991.

- [14] G.-C. Rota, “On the Foundations of Combinatorial Theory I. Theory of Möbius Functions,” *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* 2 (1964) 340–368.
- [15] G. Ziegler, “Matroid shellability, β -Systems and Affine Hyperplane Arrangements,” *J. of Algebraic Combinatorics* 1 (1992) 283–300.