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Let \( G \) be a graph with chromatic number \( \chi(G) \). A vertex colouring of \( G \) is acyclic if each bichromatic subgraph is a forest. A star colouring of \( G \) is an acyclic colouring in which each bichromatic subgraph is a star forest. Let \( \chi_a(G) \) and \( \chi_s(G) \) denote the acyclic and star chromatic numbers of \( G \). This paper investigates acyclic and star colourings of subdivisions. Let \( G' \) be the graph obtained from \( G \) by subdividing each edge once. We prove that acyclic (respectively, star) colourings of \( G' \) correspond to vertex partitions of \( G \) in which each subgraph has small arboricity (chromatic index). It follows that \( \chi_a(G'), \chi_s(G') \) and \( \chi(G) \) are tied, in the sense that each is bounded by a function of the other. Moreover the binding functions that we establish are all tight.

The oriented chromatic number \( \chi^\rightarrow(G) \) of an (undirected) graph \( G \) is the maximum, taken over all orientations \( D \) of \( G \), of the minimum number of colours in a vertex colouring of \( D \) such that between any two colour classes, all edges have the same direction. We prove that \( \chi^\rightarrow(G') = \chi(G) \) whenever \( \chi(G) \geq 9 \).
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1 Introduction

Let \( G \) be a (finite, simple, undirected) graph with vertex set \( V(G) \) and edge set \( E(G) \). Let \( \delta(G) \) and \( \Delta(G) \) denote the minimum and maximum degrees of \( G \).

A vertex partition of \( G \) is a set \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) of induced subgraphs of \( G \) such that \( V(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V(G_i) \) and \( V(G_i) \cap V(G_j) = \emptyset \) for all distinct \( i \) and \( j \). A vertex \( k \)-colouring of \( G \) is a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which \( E(G_i) = \emptyset \) for all \( i \). A vertex in \( V(G_i) \) is said to be coloured \( i \), and a vertex \( k \)-colouring can be
viewed as a function that assigns one of $k$ colours to every vertex of $G$ such that adjacent vertices receive distinct colours. The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ has a vertex $k$-colouring.

An edge partition of $G$ is a set $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}$ of subgraphs of $G$ such that $E(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} E(G_i)$ and $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j) = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i$ and $j$. An edge $k$-colouring of $G$ is an edge partition $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}$ of $G$ in which each $G_i$ is a matching. An edge in $E(G_i)$ is said to be coloured $i$, and an edge $k$-colouring can be viewed as a function that assigns one of $k$ colours to every edge of $G$ such that pairs of edges with a common endpoint receive distinct colours. The chromatic index $\chi'(G)$ is the minimum $k$ such that $G$ has an edge $k$-colouring.

We will mainly be concerned with vertex colourings. Henceforth a colouring will mean a vertex colouring.

A colouring of $G$ is acyclic if every cycle receives at least three colours; that is, every bichromatic subgraph is a forest. The acyclic chromatic number $\chi_a(G)$ is the minimum number of colours in an acyclic colouring of $G$. An acyclic colouring is a star colouring if every 4-vertex path receives at least three colours; that is, every bichromatic subgraph is a union of disjoint stars. The star chromatic number $\chi_s(G)$ is the minimum number of colours in a star colouring of $G$. By definition every graph $G$ satisfies

$$\chi_a(G) \leq \chi_s(G). \quad (1)$$

It is folklore that $\chi_s(G) \leq \chi_a(G) \cdot 2\chi_s(G)^{-1}$ (see [27] [31]). Albertson et al. [3] recently improved this bound to $\chi_s(G) \leq \chi_a(G)\chi_s(G) - 1$. A general result by Nešetřil and Ossona de Mendez [44] states that $\chi_s(G)$ (and hence $\chi_a(G)$) is at most a quadratic function of the maximum chromatic number of a minor of $G$. Other references on acyclic and star colourings include [1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 13, 16, 17, 18, 21, 25, 26, 27, 29, 33, 34, 35, 40].

A directed graph obtained from a graph $G$ by giving each edge one of the two possible orientations is called an orientation of $G$. The arc set of an orientation $D$ is denoted by $A(D)$. A colouring of $D$ is oriented if between every pair of colour classes, all edges have the same direction. The oriented chromatic number $\chi^+(D)$ is the minimum number of colours in an oriented colouring of $D$. A tournament is an orientation of a complete graph. Observe that $\chi^+(D) \leq k$ if and only if there is a homomorphism $\phi$ from $D$ to a $k$-vertex tournament $H$; that is, for every arc $vw \in A(D)$, the image $\phi(v)\phi(w) \in A(H)$.

The oriented chromatic number of an (undirected) graph $G$, denoted by $\chi^+(G)$, is the maximum of $\chi^+(D)$, taken over all orientations $D$ of $G$. Oriented chromatic number is bounded by acyclic chromatic number. In particular, Raspaud and Sopena [48] proved that $\chi^+(G) \leq \chi_a(G) \cdot 2\chi_s(G)^{-1}$. Other reference on oriented chromatic number include [12, 14, 15, 28, 32, 34, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52].

A subdivision of a graph $G$ is a graph obtained from $G$ by replacing each edge by an internally disjoint path of at least one edge. The vertices of a subdivision of $G$ corresponding to vertices of $G$ are said to be original vertices. The remaining vertices are called division vertices. The subdivision of $G$ obtained by replacing each edge $vw$ by a 3-vertex path $(v, x, w)$ is denoted by $G'$. Clearly $\chi(G') \leq 2$ for every graph $G$.

1.1 Results

The star / acyclic / oriented chromatic numbers of $G'$ are the main topics of this paper. Our results on these topics are respectively presented in Sections [3, 4, 5]. We show that star (respectively, acyclic) colourings of $G'$ correspond to vertex partitions of $G$ in which each subgraph has small chromatic index (arboricity). It follows that $\chi_s(G')$, $\chi_a(G')$ and $\chi(G)$ are tied, in the sense that each is bounded by a function of the other. Moreover the binding functions that we establish are all tight. We start in Section [2]
with a general discussion of ‘partitionable’ parameters that may be of independent interest. In Section 5 we prove that \( \overline{\chi}(G') \) is strongly tied to \( \chi(G) \). In particular, \( \overline{\chi}(G') = \chi(G) \) whenever \( \chi(G) \geq 9 \). Finally in Section 6 we study the acyclic and star chromatic numbers of subdivisions in which each edge is replaced by a path of at least four vertices. We prove that such subdivisions have bounded star / acyclic / oriented chromatic numbers. A theme of this paper is that questions about graph colourings and partitions can be expressed in terms of colourings of subdivisions. Another example is that the total chromatic number of a square of \( G' \).

2 Partitionable Parameters

The following result by Lovász [38], which will be used in Section 3, says that the maximum degree is a ‘partitionable’ parameter; see [8, 9, 19, 22, 30, 36, 42] for related work.

**Lemma 1** ([38]). Let \( G \) be a graph. Let \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) be non-negative integers such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = \Delta(G) - k + 1 \). Then \( G \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which \( \Delta(G_i) \leq d_i \) for all \( i \).

A graph is **chordal** if it contains no induced cycle on at least four vertices. The **treewidth** \( tw(G) \) is the minimum \( k \) such that the graph \( G \) is a subgraph of a chordal graph with no \( (k + 2) \)-clique. The following result by Ding et al. [23] says that treewidth is partitionable.

**Lemma 2** ([23]). Let \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) be non-negative integers such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = d - k + 1 \). Then every graph \( G \) with treewidth \( tw(G) \leq d \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which each \( G_i \) has treewidth \( tw(G_i) \leq d_i \).

The **degeneracy** of \( G \) is defined to be

\[
d(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \delta(H).
\]

A graph with degeneracy at most \( d \) is **\( d \)-degenerate**. The following result due to Mihók [39] says that degeneracy is partitionable. We include the proof (which was discovered independently) for completeness.

**Theorem 1** ([39]). Let \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) be non-negative integers such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = d - k + 1 \). Then every \( d \)-degenerate graph \( G \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which each \( G_i \) is \( d_i \)-degenerate.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on \( |V(G)| \). The result is trivial if \( |V(G)| = 1 \). By definition, \( G \) has a vertex \( v \) of degree at most \( d \), and \( G \setminus v \) is also \( d \)-degenerate. By induction, \( G \setminus v \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which each \( G_i \) is \( d_i \)-degenerate. There is some \( i \) such that \( G_i \) contains at most \( d_i \) neighbours of \( v \), as otherwise \( v \) has degree at least \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} (d_i + 1) = d + 1 \). Let \( H \) be the subgraph of \( G \) induced by \( V(G_i) \cup \{v\} \). It follows that \( H \) is also \( d_i \)-degenerate (see [37, 41] for example). Thus \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{i-1}, H, G_{i+1}, \ldots, G_k\} \) is the desired vertex partition of \( G \).

It is easily seen that Theorem 1 is best possible for the complete graph \( K_n \) with \( n \equiv 0 \mod k(k + 1) \), and \( d_i = d_j \) for all \( 1 \leq i < j \leq k \).

For planar graphs, which are 5-degenerate, stronger results than Theorem 1 are possible. The 4-colour theorem [49] states that every planar graph has a vertex partition into four 0-degenerate subgraphs. Strengthening the 5-colour theorem, Thomassen [53] proved that every planar graph has a vertex partition

\[\text{Ding et al. [23] state Lemma 2 for positive integers } d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k. \text{ It is easily seen that the proof is still valid if some } d_i = 0. \text{ A graph has treewidth 0 if and only if it has no edges.}\]
into a 2-degenerate subgraph and a 1-degenerate subgraph (a forest), and Thomassen [54] proved that every planar graph has a vertex partition into a 3-degenerate subgraph and a 0-degenerate subgraph.

The **arboricity** \( a(G) \) is the minimum \( k \) such that the graph \( G \) has an edge partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which each \( G_i \) is a forest. Nash-Williams [43] proved that

\[
a(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \left\lfloor \frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H)| - 1} \right\rfloor.
\]

It is well known that (see [56] for example)

\[
a(G) \leq d(G) \leq 2a(G) - 1,
\]

and

\[
\chi(G) \leq d(G) + 1 \leq 2a(G).
\]

To what extent arboricity is a partitionable parameter will be important in Section 4. Theorem 1 and 3 imply:

**Corollary 1.** Let \( G \) be a graph with degeneracy \( d(G) \leq d \) (which is implied if \( G \) has arboricity \( a(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}(d+1) \)). Let \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) be non-negative integers such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = d - k + 1 \). Then \( G \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which each \( G_i \) has arboricity \( a(G_i) \leq d_i \).

**Corollary 2.** Let \( G \) be a graph with arboricity \( a(G) \leq d \). Let \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \) be non-negative integers such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = 2d - k \). Then \( G \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which each \( G_i \) has arboricity \( a(G_i) \leq d_i \).

### 3 Star Colourings of \( G' \)

In this section we study the star chromatic number of \( G' \). First we give a simple upper bound on \( \chi_s(G') \) in terms of \( \chi(G) \).

**Lemma 3.** For every graph \( G \), \( \chi_s(G') \leq \max\{\chi(G), 3\} \).

**Proof.** Consider a colouring of \( G \) with \( \chi(G) \) colours. Define a colouring of \( G' \) in which each original vertex inherits its colour from \( G \). If \( \chi(G) \leq 2 \) then let all the division vertices receive one new colour. Otherwise (if \( \chi(G) \geq 3 \)), for each division vertex, choose one of the \( \chi(G) \) colours different from the two colours assigned to its two neighbours. A 4-vertex path in \( G' \) contains a trichromatic path \( (v, x, w) \), where \( x \) is the division vertex of the edge \( vw \). Thus \( G' \) has a star colouring with max\{\( \chi(G), 3 \)\} colours.

In Lemma 3 the original vertices of \( G' \) inherit their colour from a colouring of \( G \). At the other extreme, the original vertices of \( G' \) are monochromatic.

**Lemma 4.** For every graph \( G \), the minimum number of colours in a star colouring of \( G' \) in which the original vertices are monochromatic is \( \chi'(G) + 1 \).

**Proof.** Given an edge colouring of \( G \), transfer the colour from each edge to the corresponding division vertex, and colour all of the original vertices with a new colour. Let \( P = (v, x, w, y) \) be a 4-vertex path of \( G' \). Without loss of generality, \( x \) is the division vertex of the edge \( vw \), and \( y \) is the division vertex of some edge \( wu \). In the edge colouring, \( vw \) and \( wu \) receive distinct colours. Hence \( x \) and \( y \) receive distinct colours, and
Proof. Let \( G' \) be a graph, and let \( k \geq 1 \) and \( d \geq 0 \) be integers. Suppose that \( G \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which \( \chi'(G_i) \leq d \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). Then \( \chi_s(G') \leq \max\{k + 1, d + 2\} \).

We now take an approach that is somewhere between the extremes of Lemmata 3 and 4.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( G \) be a graph, and let \( k \geq 1 \) and \( d \geq 0 \) be integers. Suppose that \( G \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which \( \chi'(G_i) \leq d \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). Then \( \chi_s(G') \leq \max\{k + 1, d + 2\} \).

**Proof.** Let \( m = \max\{k, d + 1\} \) and \( |m| = \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\} \). For each vertex \( v \in V(G_i) \), let \( \phi(v) = i - 1 \). Thus \( \phi(v) \in [m] \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), let \( \lambda_i \) be an edge \( d \)-colouring of \( G_i \), where \( 1 \leq \lambda_i(vw) \leq d \). Consider an edge \( vw \) of \( G \) whose division vertex in \( G' \) is \( x \). First suppose that \( \phi(v) = \phi(w) = i \). Let \( \phi(x) = (i + \lambda_i(vw)) \mod m \). Since \( i \in [m] \) and \( m > d \geq \lambda_i(vw) \geq 1 \), \( \phi(x) \in [m] \setminus \{i\} \). If \( \phi(v) \neq \phi(w) \), then let \( \phi(x) = m \). In both cases, \( x \) is coloured differently from both of its neighbours. Hence \( \phi \) is a colouring of \( G' \). Suppose that \( \phi \) is not a star colouring. That is, there is a path \( P = (v, x, w, y) \) in \( G' \), and \( \phi(v) = \phi(w) = \phi(x) = \phi(y) \). Without loss of generality, \( x \) is the division vertex of the edge \( vw \), and \( y \) is the division vertex of some edge \( uw \). First suppose that \( \phi(v) = \phi(u) = i \). Then \( vw \) and \( uw \) are in some \( G_i \). Hence the edge colours of \( vw \) and \( uw \) are distinct, and \( \phi(x) \neq \phi(y) \), a contradiction. If \( \phi(v) = \phi(w) = \phi(u) \) then \( \phi(x) \leq m - 1 \) and \( \phi(y) = m \), a contradiction. Therefore \( \phi \) is a star colouring of \( G' \) with \( m + 1 = \max\{k + 1, d + 2\} \) colours.

Converse to Lemma 5 we have the following.

**Lemma 6.** For every graph \( G \), if \( \chi_s(G') \leq k \) then \( G \) has a vertex partition \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) in which each \( G_i \) has chromatic index \( \chi'(G_i) \leq k - 1 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \phi \) be a star \( k \)-colouring of \( G' \). Let \( \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\} \) be the vertex partition of \( G \), where \( V(G_i) = \{v \in V(G) : \phi(v) = i\} \). By Lemma 4, \( \chi'(G_i) \leq k - 1 \) for all \( i \).

**Theorem 3.** For every graph \( G \), \( \chi_s(G') \leq \sqrt{\Delta(G)} + 3 \).
Proof. Let $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ and $k = \lceil \sqrt{\Delta} \rceil$. Let $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \in \{\lceil (\Delta - k + 1)/k \rceil, \lfloor (\Delta - k + 1)/k \rfloor\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = \Delta - k + 1$. By Lemma 1, $G$ has a vertex partition $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}$ in which $\Delta(G_i) \leq d_i \leq \lfloor (\Delta - k + 1)/k \rfloor \leq \Delta/k \leq \sqrt{\Delta}$ for all $i$. By Vizing’s Theorem, $\chi'(G_i) \leq \sqrt{\Delta} + 1$. By Lemma 5, $\chi_s(G') \leq \max\{\lceil \sqrt{\Delta} \rceil + 1, \sqrt{\Delta} + 3\} \leq \sqrt{\Delta} + 3$.

The following example shows that, up to the additive constant, the lower bound in Theorem 2 and the upper bound in Theorem 3 are tight.

Example 1. For all $n \geq 1$, $\sqrt{n} \leq \chi_s(K_n) \leq \sqrt{n - 1} + 3$.

We now prove that the upper bound in Theorem 2 is tight. Let $K(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$ denote the complete $k$-partite graph with $n_i$ vertices in the $i$-th colour class.

Example 2. For all $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq k - 1$, the complete $k$-partite graph $G = K(n, n, \ldots, n)$ satisfies $\chi_s(G') = k (= \chi(G))$.

Proof. That $\chi_s(G') \leq k$ follows from Lemma 3. Suppose on the contrary, that $\chi_s(G') \leq k - 1$. By Lemma 6, $G$ has a vertex partition $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{k-1}\}$ in which $\chi'(G_i) \leq k - 2$ for all $i$, which implies that $\Delta(G_i) \leq k - 2$. For some $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $|V(G_i)| = |V(G)|/(k - 1) = kn/(k - 1)$. For some $1 \leq j \leq k$, the number of vertices in $V(G_i)$ that are in the $j$-th colour class of $G$ is at most $|V(G_i)|/k$. Let $v$ be such a vertex. Vertices in distinct colour classes of $G$ are adjacent. Thus $v$ is adjacent to at least $|V(G_i)| - |V(G_i)|/k$ vertices in $G_i$. That is, $\Delta(G_i) \geq (k - 1)/k \geq n$. Thus we obtain the desired contradiction for $n \geq k - 1$.

4 Acyclic Colourings of $G'$

In this section we study the acyclic chromatic number of $G'$. The results are analogous to those for the star chromatic number in Section 3, with arboricity playing the same role as chromatic index. We start with an analogue of Lemma 4.

Lemma 7. For every graph $G$, the minimum number of colours in an acyclic colouring of $G'$ in which the original vertices are monochromatic is $a(G) + 1$.

Proof. Suppose we have an acyclic $(k + 1)$-colouring of $G'$ in which the original vertices are monochromatic. Then no division vertex receives the same colour as the original vertices. The edge partition of $G$ defined with respect to the colour of the corresponding division vertex consists of $k$ acyclic subgraphs, and $a(G) \leq k$. Conversely, given an edge partition $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}$ of $G$ into forests, let $i$ be the colour of each division vertex of an edge in $G_i$, and colour each original vertex 0. We obtain an acyclic $(k + 1)$-colouring of $G'$ in which the original vertices are monochromatic.

Lemma 8. Let $d \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers. If a graph $G$ has a vertex partition $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}$ in which each $G_i$ has arboricity $a(G_i) \leq d$, then $G'$ has acyclic chromatic number $\chi_s(G') \leq \max\{k, d + 1, 3\}$.

Proof. For each vertex $v \in V(G_i)$, let $\phi(v) = i - 1$. Let $m = \max\{k, d + 1, 3\}$ and $[m] = \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$. Thus $\phi(v) \in [m]$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $\{G_{i,1}, G_{i,2}, \ldots, G_{i,d}\}$ be an edge partition of $G_i$ into forests. Consider an edge $vw$ of $G$ whose division vertex in $G'$ is $x$. First suppose that $\phi(v) = \phi(w) = i$. Let $\phi(x) = (i + j) \mod m$, where $vw \in E(G_{i,j})$. Since $i \in [m]$ and $m > d \geq j \geq 1$, $\phi(x) \in [m] \setminus \{i\}$. Now suppose that
Lemma 7.\hspace{1em} \phi(v) \neq \phi(w). \hspace{1em} \text{Choose} \hspace{1em} \phi(x) \in [m] \setminus \{\phi(v), \phi(w)\}. \hspace{1em} \text{Since} \hspace{1em} m \geq 3 \text{there is such a colour. In both cases,} \hspace{1em} x \text{is coloured differently from both of its neighbours. Hence} \hspace{1em} \phi \text{is a colouring of} \ G'.

Suppose on the contrary that under \phi, there is a bichromatic cycle \(C\) in \(G'\). Then for some \(t\), \(C = (v_0, x_0, v_1, x_1, \ldots, v_{t-1}, x_{t-1})\), where each \(v_\alpha\) is an original vertex, each \(x_\alpha\) is the division vertex of \(v_\alpha v_{\alpha+1}\) (modulo \(t\)), and \(\phi(v_\alpha) = \phi(x_\beta)\) and \(\phi(x_\alpha) = \phi(x_\beta)\) for all \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\). Thus by the definition of \(\phi\), for some \(1 \leq i \leq k\), every vertex \(v_\alpha \in V(G_i)\), which implies that for some \(1 \leq j \leq d\), every edge \(v_\alpha v_{\alpha+1} \in E'_j\). Hence \(G_{i,j}\) contains a cycle, a contradiction. Thus \(\phi\) is an acyclic \(m\)-colouring of \(G'\).

**Theorem 4.** Let \(G\) be a graph and \(k \geq 2\) be an integer. Then \(\chi_a(G') \leq k\) if and only if \(G\) has a vertex partition \(\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}\) in which each \(G_i\) has arboricity \(a(G_i) \leq k-1\).

**Proof.** (\(\Rightarrow\)) This is Lemma 8 with \(d = k - 1\).
(\(\Rightarrow\)) Consider the vertex partition of \(G\) defined by an acyclic \(k\)-colouring of \(G'\) (restricted to \(G\)). By Lemma 7 each subgraph has arboricity at most \(k - 1\). \(\□\)

**Theorem 5.** For every graph \(G\) with degeneracy \(d(G) \leq d\) (which is implied if \(G\) has arboricity \(a(G) \leq \sqrt{d}\)), \(\chi_a(G') \leq \sqrt{d} + 1\).

**Proof.** Let \(k = \lceil \sqrt{d} \rceil\). Let \(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k \in \{(d - k + 1)/k, \lfloor(d - k + 1)/k\}\) such that \(\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = d - k + 1\). By Corollary 3, \(G\) has a vertex partition \(\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}\) in which \(a(G_i) \leq d_i \leq \lfloor(d - k + 1)/k\) \(\leq d/k \leq \sqrt{d}\) for all \(i\). By Lemma 8, \(\chi_a(G') \leq \max\{\lceil \sqrt{d} \rceil, \sqrt{d} + 1\} = \max\{\sqrt{d} + 1, 3\}\). \(\□\)

**Theorem 6.** For every graph \(G\), if \(\chi_a(G') \leq k\) then \(\chi(G) \leq 2k(k - 1)\).

**Proof.** Let \(\phi\) be an acyclic \(k\)-colouring of \(G'\). Let \(H\) be the spanning subgraph of \(G\) with edge set \(E(H) = \{vw \in E(G) : \phi(v) = \phi(w)\}\). Then every connected component of \(H\) is monochromatic under \(\phi\). By Lemma 7, \(H\) has arboricity at most \(k - 1\). By (6), \(H\) has a vertex \(2(k - 1)\)-colouring \(\phi\). Now colour each vertex \(v \in V(G)\) by the pair \((\phi(v), \phi(v))\). Consider an edge \(vw \in E(G)\). If \(vw \in E(H)\) then \(\phi(v) \neq \phi(w)\). If \(vw \notin E(H)\) then \(\phi(v) = \phi(w)\). Thus we have a \(2k(k - 1)\)-colouring of \(G\). \(\□\)

Lemma 3 and Theorem 4 and (1) imply that \(\chi_a(G')\) is tied to \(\chi(G)\).

**Corollary 3.** For every graph \(G\), the acyclic chromatic number of \(G'\) satisfies:

\[
\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}} \chi(G) < \chi_a(G') \leq \max\{\chi(G), 3\}.
\]

The following example shows that the lower bound in Corollary (3) is tight up to an additive constant.

**Example 3.** For all \(n\), \(\sqrt{n/2} < \chi_a(K_n') < \sqrt{n/2} + \frac{3}{2}\).

**Proof.** The lower bound follows from Corollary 3. Now we prove the upper bound. Observe that \(a(K_n) = \lfloor n/2\rfloor\) by (2). Let \(k = \lfloor \sqrt{n/2} \rfloor\). Let \(\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k\}\) be a vertex partition of \(K_n\), in which \(|V(G_i)| \in \{\lfloor n/k\rfloor, \lceil n/k\rceil\}\) for all \(i\). By the above observation,

\[
a(G_i) \leq \lceil \frac{1}{2} \lfloor n/k \rfloor \rceil \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \lfloor n/\sqrt{n/2} \rfloor \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2n} \right\rfloor < \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{2n} + 1) \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \sqrt{n/2} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.
\]
By Lemma 8, $K'_n$ has acyclic chromatic number

$$\chi_a(K'_n) \leq \max \left\{ \left\lfloor \sqrt{n/2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \sqrt{n/2} + 1 \right\rfloor + 1, 3 \right\} < \sqrt{n/2} + \frac{3}{2}.$$ 

We now prove that the above upper bound in Corollary 3 is tight.

**Example 4.** For all $k \geq 3$ and $n > n(k)$, the complete $k$-partite graph $G = K(n, n, \ldots, n)$ satisfies $\chi_a(G') = k (= \chi(G))$.

**Proof.** That $\chi_a(G') \leq k$ follows from Corollary 3. Suppose on the contrary, that $\chi_a(G') \leq k - 1$. By Theorem 4, $G$ has a vertex partition $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{k-1}\}$ in which each $G_i$ has arboricity $a(G_i) \leq k - 2$. For some $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $|V(G_i)| \geq |V(G)|/(k - 1) = kn/(k - 1)$. It is easily seen that any complete $k$-partite graph $H$ on $m$ vertices has arboricity at least the arboricity of the complete $k$-partite graph $K(1, 1, \ldots, 1, m - (k - 1))$. This graph has $(k - 1)(m - (k - 1))$ edges. By (2),

$$a(H) \geq \frac{(k - 1)(m - (k - 1))}{m - 1} = k - 1 - \frac{(k - 1)(k - 2)}{m - 1}.$$ 

Applying this observation with $H = G_i$ and $m \geq kn/(k - 1)$, we have

$$a(G_i) \geq k - 1 - \frac{(k - 1)(k - 2)}{kn/(k - 1) - 1}.$$ 

Since $a(G_i) \leq k - 2$, it follows that we obtain a contradiction for $n > n(k) = ((k - 1)^2(k - 2) + (k - 1))/k$. \qed

## 5 Oriented Colourings of $G'$

We now relate the oriented chromatic number of $G'$ to the chromatic number of $G$.

**Theorem 7.** For every graph $G$, the oriented chromatic number of $G'$ satisfies

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi_a(G') \leq \begin{cases} 7 & \text{if } \chi(G) \leq 7 \\ 9 & \text{if } \chi(G) = 8 \\ \chi(G) & \text{if } \chi(G) \geq 9 \end{cases}.$$ 

**Proof.** First we prove the lower bound (which is well known). Let $D'$ be an orientation of $G'$ in which each division vertex has one incoming arc and one outgoing arc. Consider an edge $vw \in E(G)$ whose division vertex in $G'$ is $x$. In any oriented colouring of $D'$, $v$ and $w$ receive distinct colours, as otherwise the arcs $vx$ and $wx$ (or $xv$ and $wx$) are in opposite directions between the same pair of colour classes. Thus an oriented colouring of $D'$ contains a colouring of $G$. Hence $\chi_a(D') \geq \chi_a(G)$, which implies that $G'$ has oriented chromatic number $\chi_a(G') \geq \chi(G)$.

Now for the upper bound. A tournament $H$ is $k$-existentially closed if for every $k$-element set of vertices $S \subseteq V(H)$ and for every (possibly empty) $T \subseteq S$, there is a vertex $z \in V(H) \setminus (S \cup T)$ such that $vz \in A(H)$ for every vertex $v \in S \setminus T$, and $zw \in A(H)$ for every vertex $w \in T$. Almost every sufficiently large tournament
is \( n \)-existentially closed (see \[7\], \[10\], \[24\]). Note that a tournament \( H \) is 2-existentially closed if and only if for every pair of vertices \( v, w \in V(H) \), there exists four vertices \( a, b, c, d \in V(H) \) such that
\[
va, wa, bv, bw, vc, cw, dv, wd \in A(H) .
\] (5)

Bonato and Cameron \[10\] proved that there is a 2-existentially closed tournament on \( n \) vertices if and only if \( n \geq 7 \) and \( n \neq 8 \). Moreover, they provided explicit examples for all such \( n \). These examples are based on the so-called Paley tournament, which for prime \( n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), has vertex set \( \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \), and \( ij \) is an arc whenever \( j-i \) is a quadratic residue modulo \( p \). Note that Ananchuen \[6\] also proved that a sufficiently large Paley tournament is \( k \)-existentially closed, and Ochem \[47\] recently used Paley tournaments in results about oriented colourings.

Let \( n \) be the claimed upper bound on \( \chi'_{\gt}(G') \). Then \( n \geq 7 \) and \( n \neq 8 \). Thus there is a 2-existentially closed tournament \( H \) on \( n \) vertices. Let \( D' \) be an orientation of \( G' \). Note that \( n \geq \chi(G) \). Fix a vertex \( n \)-colouring of \( G \). Let \( \phi \) be a function from the original vertices of \( G' \) to \( V(H) \), such that \( \phi(v) = \phi(w) \) if and only if \( v \) and \( w \) receive the same colour in the colouring of \( G \). Consider a division vertex \( x \) of an edge \( vw \in E(G) \). By (5), there are four other vertices \( a, b, c, d \in V(H) \) such that
\[
\phi(v)a, \phi(w)a, b\phi(v), b\phi(w), \phi(v)c, c\phi(w), d\phi(v), \phi(w)d \in A(H) .
\]

Define
\[
\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 
a & \text{if } vx, wx \in A(D') 
b & \text{if } xv, xw \in A(D') 
c & \text{if } vx, xw \in A(D') 
d & \text{if } xv, wx \in A(D') .
\end{cases}
\]

Clearly \( \phi \) is a homomorphism from \( D' \) to \( H \). Thus \( \chi'_{\gt}(G') \leq n \). \qed

6 Large Subdivisions

In this section we consider colourings of subdivisions other than \( G' \). First we consider acyclic colourings.

**Lemma 9.** Let \( X \) be a subdivision of a graph \( G \) in which every edge of \( G \) is replaced in \( X \) by a path with at least four vertices; that is, every edge is subdivided at least twice. Then \( \chi_a(X) \leq 3 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \phi(v) = 2 \) for every original vertex \( v \) of \( X \). Let \( D \) be an arbitrary orientation of \( G \). Consider an arc \( vw \in A(D) \) that is replaced by a path \( (v, x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k, w) \) in \( X \) (for some \( k \geq 1 \)). Let \( \phi(x_i) = i \pmod{2} \). Every cycle of \( X \) contains a 3-vertex path \( (v, x_0, x_1) \), which is coloured \((2, 0, 1)\). Thus \( \phi \) is an acyclic \( 3 \)-colouring of \( X \). \qed

Now we consider star colourings of subdivisions other than \( G' \).

**Lemma 10.** Let \( X \) be a subdivision of a graph \( G \) such that for every edge \( vw \) of \( G \), for some \( k \geq 4 \) with \( k \neq 6 \), \( vw \) is replaced by a \( k \)-vertex path in \( X \). Then \( \chi_s(X) \leq 3 \).

**Proof.** Colour each original vertex \( \phi(v) = 2 \). Consider an edge \( vw \) of \( G \) that is replaced by the \( k \)-vertex path \( P = (v, x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{k-3}, w) \) in \( X \).
Case 1. \( k \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \) and \( k \neq 6 \): Let \( \phi(x_i) = i \pmod{3} \) for all \( i \), \( 0 \leq i \leq k - 6 \). Let \( \phi(x_{k-5}) = 2 \), \( \phi(x_{k-4}) = 1 \), and \( \phi(x_{k-3}) = 0 \). Hence \( P \) is coloured \((2,012,012,012,0,210,2)\).

Case 2. \( k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \): Let \( \phi(x_i) = i \pmod{3} \) for all \( i \), \( 0 \leq i \leq k - 5 \). Let \( \phi(x_{k-4}) = 1 \) and \( \phi(x_{k-3}) = 0 \). Hence \( P \) is coloured \((2,012,012,012,10,2)\).

Case 3. \( k \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \): Let \( \phi(x_i) = i \pmod{3} \) for all \( i \), \( 0 \leq i \leq k - 4 \). Let \( \phi(x_{k-3}) = 0 \). Hence \( P \) is coloured \((2,012,012,012,0,1,0,2)\).

If \( Q \) is a 4-vertex path in \( X \) with at least two original vertices then \( Q = (v,x_0,x_1,w) \), where \( Q \) replaced an edge \( vw \) of \( G \), and by Case 2 with \( k = 4 \), \( Q \) is coloured \((2,1,0,2)\), and is thus not bi-chromatic.

If the edge \( vw \) of \( G \) is replaced by the path \((v,x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{k-3},w)\), then the subpaths \((v,x_0,x_1)\) and \((w,x_{k-3},x_{k-2})\) are trichromatic. (This is not the case if \( k = 6 \)) Thus a 4-vertex path containing exactly one original vertex is not bi-chromatic.

The case-analysis above shows that there is no bi-chromatic 4-vertex path with no original vertex. Thus there is no bi-chromatic 4-vertex path in \( X \). Therefore \( \chi_s(X) \leq 3 \).

\[ \square \]

Lemma 11. Let \( X \) be a subdivision of a graph \( G \) in which every edge of \( G \) is replaced in \( X \) by a path with at least four vertices; that is, every edge is subdivided at least twice. Then \( \chi_s(X) \leq 4 \).

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 10, the only obstruction to \( X \) having a star colouring with three colours is an edge \( vw \) of \( G \) that is replaced in \( X \) by a 6-vertex path \( P = (v,x_0,x_1,x_2,x_3,w) \). In this case we introduce a fourth colour, and \( P \) can be coloured \((2,0,1,3,0,2)\).

Let \( G'' \) be the subdivision of a graph \( G \) with every edge \( vw \) of \( G \) replaced by a 4-vertex path with endpoints \( v \) and \( w \); that is, every edge is subdivided twice. A \( k \)-cycle in \( G \) becomes a \( 3k \)-cycle in \( G'' \). Thus \( G \) is bipartite if and only if \( G'' \) is bipartite. If \( G \) contains an odd cycle, then \( \chi(G') = \chi_s(G'') = \chi_s(G'') = 3 \). This provides an infinite family of graphs for which the chromatic number, star chromatic number and acyclic chromatic number coincide.

Finally we consider oriented colourings of subdivisions other than \( G' \).

Lemma 12. Let \( X \) be a subdivision of a graph \( G \) in which every edge of \( G \) is replaced in \( X \) by a path with at least four vertices; that is, every edge is subdivided at least twice. Then \( \chi(X) \leq 5 \).

Proof. Let \( H \) be the tournament with \( V(H) = \{0,1,2,3,4\} \), where \( ij \in A(H) \) if and only if \( (j - i) \mod 5 \in \{1,2\} \). Let \( D \) be an orientation of \( X \). We will construct a homomorphism \( \phi \) from \( D \) to \( H \). First define \( \phi(v) = 0 \) for every original vertex \( v \) of \( X \). Consider the path \((v = d_0,d_1,d_2,\ldots,d_{t-1},w = d_t)\) in \( X \) corresponding to an edge \( vw \in E(G) \). Then \( t \geq 3 \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq t \), define \( x_i = 1 \) if \( d_{i-1}d_i \in A(D) \), and define \( x_i = -1 \) if \( d_{i-1}d_i \in A(D) \). By Lemma 13 below, there exist \( y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_t \) such that \( y_i \in \{1,2\} \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^t x_i y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq t - 1 \), set \( \phi(d_i) = (\sum_{j=1}^i x_j y_j) \pmod{5} \).

Consider \( 1 \leq i \leq t \). We have \( \phi(d_i) - \phi(d_{i-1}) \in \{1,2\} \) whenever \( x_i = 1 \); that is, when \( d_{i-1}d_i \in A(D) \). Similarly \( \phi(d_i) - \phi(d_{i-1}) \in \{-1,-2\} \) whenever \( x_i = -1 \); that is, when \( d_{i-1}d_i \in A(D) \). By the definition of \( \phi \), \( \phi(d_{i-1}) \phi(d_i) \in A(H) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq t \). Hence \( \phi \) is a homomorphism from \( D \) to \( H \), and \( \chi(X) \leq 5 \).

\[ \square \]

Lemma 13. For all integers \( t \geq 3 \) and \( x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_t \in \{1,-1\} \), there exist \( y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_t \) such that \( y_i \in \{1,2\} \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^t x_i y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \).

Proof. Let \( \phi \) be a homomorphism from \( D \) to \( H \), and \( \chi(X) \leq 5 \).
Proof. Initially set every \( y_i = 1 \). If \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \), then we are done.

Now suppose that \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i y_i \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \). If there exists \( x_i = -1 \), then set \( y_i = 2 \), and we are done. Otherwise \( x_i = 1 \). Thus \( t \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \) and \( t \geq 6 \). Set \( y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = y_4 = 2 \), and we are done.

Now suppose that \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i y_i \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \). If there exists \( x_i = x_j = -1 \) for some \( i \neq j \), then set \( y_i = y_j = 2 \), and we are done. Otherwise every \( x_i = 1 \). Thus \( t \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \) and \( t \geq 7 \). Set \( y_1 = y_2 = y_3 = 2 \), and we are done.

The cases when \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i y_i \equiv 3 \pmod{5} \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_i y_i \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \) are symmetric. \( \square \)

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Ferran Hurtado and Prosenjit Bose for graciously hosting the author. Thanks to Pascal Ochem for a number of instructive comments. Thanks to an anonymous referee for pointing out an error in the original submission.

References


Acyclic, Star and Oriented Colourings of Graph Subdivisions


