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We find the formula for the cardinality of maximal set of integers from \([1, \ldots, n]\) which does not contain \(k + 1\) pairwise coprimes and has divisors from a specified set of primes. This formula is defined by the set of multiples of the generating set, which does not depend on \(n\).
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1 Formulation of the result

Let \(\mathbb{P} = \{p_1 < p_2, \ldots\}\) be the set of primes and \(\mathbb{N}\) be the set of natural numbers. Write \(\mathbb{N}(n) = \{1, \ldots, n\}\). \(\mathbb{P}(n) = \mathbb{P} \cap \mathbb{N}(n)\). For \(a, b \in \mathbb{N}\) denote the greatest common divisor of \(a\) and \(b\) by \((a, b)\).

Let \(S(n, k)\) be the family of sets \(A \subset \mathbb{N}(n)\) of positive integers which does not contain \(k + 1\) coprimes. Define

\[ f(n, k) = \max_{A \in S(n, k)} |A|. \]

In the paper [1] the following was proved.

**Theorem 1** For all sufficiently large

\[ f(n, k) = |E(n, k)|, \]

where

\[ E(n, k) = \{a \in \mathbb{N}(n) : a = up_i, \text{ for some } i = 1, \ldots, k\}. \quad (1) \]

Let now \(Q = \{q_1 < q_2 < \ldots < q_r\} \subset \mathbb{P}\) be finite set of primes and \(R(n, Q) \subset S(n, 1)\) is such family of sets of positive integers that for the arbitrary \(a \in A \subset R(n, Q)\), \((a, \prod_{j=1}^r q_j) > 1\). In [2] was proved the following

**Theorem 2** Let \(n \geq \prod_{j=1}^r q_j\), then

\[ f(n, Q) \triangleq \max_{A \in R(n, Q)} |A| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} |M(2q_1, \ldots, 2q_t, q_1 \ldots q_t) \cap \mathbb{N}(n)|, \quad (2) \]

where \(M(B)\) is the set of multiples of the set of integers \(B\).
In [2] the problem was stated of finding the maximal set of positive integers from \( \mathbb{N}(n) \) which satisfies the conditions of Theorems 1 and 2 simultaneously i.e. which is a set \( A \) without \( k+1 \) coprimes and such that each element of this set has a divisor from \( Q \). This paper is devoted to the solution of this problem. In our work we use the methods from the paper [1].

Denote \( R(n, k, Q) \subset S(n, k) \) the family of sets of positive integers with the property that an arbitrary \( a \in A \in R(n, k, Q) \) has divisor from \( Q \). For given \( s \) and \( T = \{ r_1 < r_2 < \ldots \} = \mathbb{P} - Q \) let \( F(n, k, s, Q) \subset R(n, k, Q) \) is the family of sets of squarefree positive numbers such that for the arbitrary \( a \in A \in F(n, k, s, Q) \) we have \((r_i, a) = 1, i > s \). For given \( s, r \) cardinality of the family \( F(n, k, s, Q) \) and cardinalities of each \( A \in F(n, k, s, Q) \) are bounded from above as \( n \to \infty \).

Next we formulate our main result which extent the result of the Theorems 1, 2 and in some sense include both of them.

**Theorem 3** If \( Q \neq \emptyset \), then for sufficiently large \( n \) the following relation is valid

\[
\varphi(n, k, Q) \triangleq \max_{A \in R(n, k, Q)} |A| = \max_{F \in F(n, k, s, Q)} |M(F) \cap \mathbb{N}(n)|, \tag{3}
\]

where \( s \) is the minimal integer which satisfies the inequality \( r_s > r \).

## 2 Proof of the Theorem 3

Let’s remind the definition of the left pushing which the reader can find in [2]. For the arbitrary

\[
a = up_j^\alpha, \; p_i < p_j, \; (p_ip_j, u) = 1, \; \alpha > 0 \text{ and } p_j \notin Q \text{ or } p_i, p_j \in Q \tag{4}
\]

define

\[
L_{i,j}(a, Q) = p_i^\alpha u.
\]

For \( a \) not of the form (4) we set \( L_{i,j}(a, Q) = a \). For \( A \subset \mathbb{N} \) denote

\[
L_{i,j}(a, A, Q) = \begin{cases} L_{i,j}(a, Q), & L_{i,j}(a, Q) \notin A, \\ a, & L_{i,j}(a, Q) \in A. \end{cases}
\]

At last set

\[
L_{i,j}(A, Q) = \{ L_{i,j}(a, A, Q); \; a \in A \}.
\]

We say that \( A \) is left compressed if for the arbitrary \( i < j \)

\[
L_{i,j}(A, Q) = A.
\]

It can be easily seen that every finite \( A \subset \mathbb{N} \) after finite number of left pushing operations can be made left compressed,

\[
|L_{i,j}(A, Q)| > |A|
\]

and if \( A \in R(n, k, Q) \), then \( L_{i,j}(A, Q) \in R(n, k, Q) \).

If we denote \( O(n, k, Q) \subset R(n, k, Q) \) the families of sets on which achieved \( \max \) in (3) and \( C(n, k, Q) \subset R(n, k, Q) \) is the family of left compressed sets from \( R(n, k, Q) \), then it follows that \( O(n, k, Q) \cap C(n, k, Q) \neq \emptyset \). Next we assume that \( A \in C(n, k, Q) \cap O(n, k, Q) \).
Sets of integers without \( k + 1 \) coprimes and with specified divisors

It is easy to see that

\[
\bigcup \text{Sets of integers without } k \text{ that } (2, a) \text{ generated by the division. The set of minimal elements from this ideal denote by squarefree numbers } a \text{.}
\]

Denote \( A \) and \( \bar{A} \) to \( A \). Then the set \( r \) of elements \( b = b_1 b_2 \leq n \), \( \left( b_1, \prod_{j=1}^{r} q_j \right) = 1 \) such that \( b_2 = q_j^{\beta_1} \cdots q_j^{\beta_i}, \beta > 0 \) and \( a_1 | b_1 \), \( a_1 | b_1 \), \( j < i \) is exactly the set

\[
B(a) = \left\{ u \leq n : u = \frac{\alpha}{i} \cdots \frac{\alpha}{i} r_{j_1} q_j^{\beta_1} \cdots q_j^{\beta_i} F; \alpha_i, \beta_i > 0, \left( F_i \prod_{j=1}^{r} r_j \prod_{j=1}^{r} q_j \right) = 1 \right\}.
\]

Denote

\[
P^\rho(a^2, A^*) = \left\{ a \in P(a^2, A^*) : (a, r_\rho) = r_\rho \right\},
\]

\[
P^\rho(A^*) = \left\{ a \in P^\rho(a^2, A^*) \text{ for some } a^2 \text{ such that } \left( a^2, \prod_{j=1}^{s} q_j \right) = q_s \right\}
\]

and

\[
L^\rho(a^2) = \bigcup_{a \in P^\rho(a^2, A^*)} B(a).
\]

Then the set \( \bigcup_{a=1}^{r} P^\rho(A^*) \) is exactly the set \( \bigcup_{a=1}^{r} P^\rho(a^2, A^*) \) of numbers which are divisible by \( r_\rho \). Because each \( a \in P(a^2, A^*) \) for all \( a^2 \) has divisor from \( n \) it follows that for some \( 1 \leq s \leq r \)

\[
\left| \bigcup_{a \in P^\rho(A^*)} B(a) \right| \geq \frac{1}{r} \left| \bigcup_{a=1}^{r} L^\rho(a^2) \right|.
\]

Next for this \( s \) we define the transformation

\[
\hat{P}(a^2, A^*) = (P(a^2, A^*) - P^\rho(a^2, A^*)) \bigcup R^\rho(a^2, A^*),
\]

where

\[
R^\rho(a^2, A^*) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{N}; \forall r_\rho \in P^\rho(a^2, A^*) \right\},
\]

\[
P^\rho(a^2, A^*) = \left\{ a = a_1 a_2 \in P^\rho(A^*) \right\}.
\]

It is easy to see that

\[
\bigcup_{a^2} P(a^2, A^*) \subset S(n, k, \mathbb{Q}).
\]
Next we prove that if \( r_p > r \), then

\[
|M \left( \bigcup_{a^2} P(a^2, A^*) \right) \cap \mathbb{N}(n) | > |A|
\]  

(6)

which gives the contradiction to the maximality of \( A \).

For \( a \in R^p(a^2, A^*) \), \( a = r_{i_1} \ldots r_{i_f} q_{j_1} \ldots q_{j_k} \), \( r_{i_1} < \ldots < r_{i_f} < r_p \), \( q_{j_1} \ldots q_{j_k} = a^2 \) denote

\[
D(a) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{N}(n) : v = r_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots r_{i_f}^{\alpha_f} q_{j_1}^{\beta_1} \ldots q_{j_k}^{\beta_k} T, \alpha_j, \beta_j \geq 1, \left( T, \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} r_j \prod_{j=1}^r q_j \right) = 1 \right\}.
\]

It can be easily seen that

\[
D(a) \cap D(a') = \emptyset, a \neq a'
\]

and

\[
M \left( \bigcup_{a^2} (P(a^2, A^*) - P^p(a^2, A^*)) \right) \cap D(a) = \emptyset.
\]

Thus to prove (6) it is sufficient to show, that for large \( n > n_0 \)

\[
|D(a)| > n |B(ar_p)|.
\]

(7)

To prove (7) we consider three cases. First case when \( n/(ar_p) \geq 2 \) and \( \rho > \rho_0 \). It follows that

\[
|B(ar_p)| \leq c_2 \sum_{\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 1} 1 \prod_{j=1}^{\rho} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r_j} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_j} \right)
\]

(8)

\[
= c_2 \frac{n}{(r_{i_1} - 1) \ldots (r_{i_f} - 1) (r_p - 1) (q_{j_1} - 1) \ldots (q_{j_k} - 1)} \prod_{j=1}^{\rho} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r_j} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_j} \right).
\]

At the same time

\[
\tilde{D}(a) \Delta \left\{ v \in \mathbb{N}(n) : v = r_{i_1} \ldots r_{i_f} q_{j_1} \ldots q_{j_k} F_1, \left( F_1, \prod_{j=1}^{\rho-1} r_j \prod_{j=1}^r q_j \right) = 1 \right\} \subset D(a)
\]

and we obtain the inequalities

\[
|D(a)| \geq |\tilde{D}(a)| \geq c_1 \frac{n}{r_{i_1} \ldots r_{i_f} q_{j_1} \ldots q_{j_k}} \prod_{j=1}^{\rho-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r_j} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_j} \right).
\]

(9)

Thus from (8), (9) it follows that

\[
\frac{|D(a)|}{B(ar_p)} \geq \frac{c_1}{c_2} \frac{n}{r_{i_1} \ldots r_{i_f} (r_{i_1} - 1) \ldots (r_{i_f} - 1)} \prod_{j \in [r] \setminus (j_1, \ldots, j_k)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_j} \right)
\]

\[
\geq \frac{c_1}{c_2} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r_j} \right) r_p \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_j} \right) > r.
\]
Now let's $n/(ar_\rho) \geq 2$, $\rho < \rho_0$. Then we obtain the inequalities

$$|B(ar_\rho)| < (1 + \epsilon)\frac{n}{r_i - 1}(r_i - 1)(r_j - 1)(q_{j_1} - 1)\ldots(q_{j_t} - 1)\prod_{j=1}^r\left(1 - \frac{1}{r_j}\right)\prod_{j=1}^\rho\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_j}\right),$$

$$|D(a)| > (1 - \epsilon)\frac{n}{r_i - 1}(r_i - 1)(q_{j_1} - 1)\ldots(q_{j_t} - 1)\prod_{j=1}^{\rho-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{r_j}\right)\prod_{j=1}^r\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_j}\right).$$

From these inequalities it follows that

$$\frac{|D(a)|}{|B(ar_\rho)|} > \frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} r_\rho > r.$$

Here the last inequality is valid for sufficiently small $\epsilon$ because $r_\rho > r$.

The last case is when $1 \leq n/(ar_\rho) < 2$. In this case $|B(ar_\rho)| = 1$. Let's $r_i, \ldots r_j, r_\rho q_{j_1}, \ldots q_{j_t} = B(ar_\rho)$. Then we choose $r_g > (q_{j_t})^\gamma$ and $n > \prod_{j=1}^g r_j^{r_j} \prod_{j=1}^\rho q_j$. We have $r_\rho > r_g$. Indeed, otherwise

$$n > \prod_{j=1}^g r_j^{r_j} \prod_{j=1}^\rho q_j > 2 \prod_{j=1}^\rho \prod_{j=1}^\rho q_j > 2ar_\rho$$

which is the contradiction to our case.

Hence

$$\{r_i, \ldots r_j, q_{j_1}, \ldots q_{j_t}, r_i, \ldots r_j, q_j^2, \ldots q_{j_t}, \ldots, r_i, \ldots r_j, q_j^2, \ldots q_{j_t}, r_i, \ldots r_j, q_j, \ldots q_j, r_\rho^2\} \subset D(a).$$

Thus in this case also $|D(a)| > r = r|B(ar_\rho)|$.

From the above follows that for sufficiently large $n > n_0(\mathbb{Q})$ for all $a \in R^e_\rho(a^2, A^*)$ inequality (7) is valid and taking into account (5) we obtain (6). This gives the contradiction to the maximality of $A$. Hence the maximal $r_\rho \in \mathbb{P} - \mathbb{Q}$ which appear as the divisor of some $a \in \bigcup_{a^2} P(a^2, A^*)$ such that $M(A^*) \cap \mathbb{N} \in O(n, k, \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies the condition $r_\rho \leq r$. This inequality gives the statement of Theorem.

This is joint work with R.Ahlswede.
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